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#### Abstract

A compact finite difference scheme is derived for a time fractional differential equation subject to Neumann boundary conditions. The proposed scheme is secondorder accurate in time and fourth-order accurate in space. In addition, a high order alternating direction implicit (ADI) scheme is also constructed for the two-dimensional case. The stability and convergence of the schemes are analysed using their matrix forms.
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## 1. Introduction

Fractional differential equations have become the focus of many studies, due to their various applications. Many problems from signal processing, anomalous diffusion and finance can be modelled more accurately using equations with fractional derivatives. For example, when studying universal electromagnetic responses involving the unification of diffusion and wave propagation phenomena, there are processes that are described by equations with time fractional derivatives of order between 1 and 2 (to "interpolate" between diffusion equations and wave equations). Reference may be made to the books [1,2] for further information, where theoretical results such as solution existence and uniqueness can also be found. One of the key features of fractional derivatives is nonlocal dependence, which causes difficulties when numerical schemes for solving fractional differential equations are designed, but substantial progress has been made in recent years - e.g. see Refs. [3-25], and in particular Refs. [21-25] where time fractional differential equations subject to Neumann boundary conditions are discussed.

[^0]We consider high order finite difference schemes for the numerical solution in a region $\Omega$ for problems in the following form:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\gamma} u(\mathbf{x}, t)=\kappa_{1} \Delta u(\mathbf{x}, t)-\kappa_{2} u(\mathbf{x}, t)+g(\mathbf{x}, t), \\
\mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad 0<t \leq T, \quad 1<\gamma<2, \tag{1.1}
\end{array}
$$

subject to the initial conditions

$$
u(\mathbf{x}, 0)=\psi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \frac{\partial u(\mathbf{x}, 0)}{\partial t}=\phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \bar{\Omega}=\Omega \cup \partial \Omega
$$

and the zero flux boundary condition

$$
\frac{\partial u(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}=0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega, \quad 0<t \leq T
$$

where $\partial \Omega$ is the boundary of $\Omega, \partial / \partial \mathbf{n}$ is the differentiation in the normal direction and $\kappa_{1}$, $\kappa_{2}$ are positive constants. Here ${ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\gamma} u$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of $u$ with respect to the time variable $t$ :

$$
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\gamma} u(\mathbf{x}, t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial^{2} u(\mathbf{x}, s)}{\partial s^{2}}(t-s)^{1-\gamma} d s,
$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function. Eq. (1.1) can be rewritten as (cf. [15])

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\partial u(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t}=\phi(\mathbf{x})+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\kappa_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u(\mathbf{x}, s)}{\partial x^{2}}-\kappa_{2} u(\mathbf{x}, s)\right] d s+f(\mathbf{x}, t) \\
\mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad 0<t \leq T
\end{array}
$$

where $0<\alpha=\gamma-1<1, f(\mathbf{x}, t)={ }_{0} I_{t}^{\alpha} g(\mathbf{x}, t)$, and ${ }_{0} I_{t}^{\alpha}$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order $\alpha$ defined as

$$
{ }_{0} I_{t}^{\alpha} g(\mathbf{x}, t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(\mathbf{x}, s) d s
$$

We also note that $\partial \psi(\mathbf{x}) / \partial \mathbf{n}=0$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$.
By applying the weighted and shifted Grünwald difference (cf. [13, 14, 16]) to the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral, in this article we establish compact schemes with second-order temporal accuracy and fourth-order spatial accuracy. Our analysis is based on the matrix form of the schemes, which turns out to render some of the intuitive ideas on certain norms and inner products noted in previous related articles. In Section 2 and Section 3, we first consider the one-dimensional case of Eq. (1.1), where we propose a high order scheme and study its convergence. In Section 4, a high order alternating direction implicit scheme is then proposed for the two-dimensional case. Numerical examples are given in the last section.

## 2. Proposed Compact Difference Scheme

In this section, we develop a high order scheme for the one-dimensional case - viz.

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\gamma} u(x, t)=\kappa_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}-\kappa_{2} u(x, t)+g(x, t), \\
& 0 \leq x \leq L, \quad 0<t \leq T, \quad 1<\gamma<2,  \tag{2.1}\\
& u(x, 0)=\psi(x), \quad \frac{\partial u(x, 0)}{\partial t}=\phi(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq L,  \tag{2.2}\\
& \frac{\partial u(0, t)}{\partial x}=0, \quad \frac{\partial u(L, t)}{\partial x}=0, \quad 0<t \leq T, \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where we assume that $\psi \equiv 0$ in (2.2) without loss of generality (since we can solve the equation for $v(x, t)=u(x, t)-\psi(x)$ in general). An equivalent form of (2.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}=\phi(x)+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\left[\kappa_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, s)}{\partial x^{2}}-\kappa_{2} u(x, s)\right] d s+f(x, t) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq x \leq L, 0<t \leq T, 0<\alpha=\gamma-1<1, f(x, t)={ }_{0} I_{t}^{\alpha} g(x, t)$.
For given integers $M$ and $N$, we discretize the equation using the spatial step size $h=L / M$ and the temporal step size $\tau=T / N$. For $i=0,1, \cdots, M$ and $k=0,1, \cdots, N$, we denote $x_{i}=i h, t_{k}=k \tau$ and $u^{k}=\left(u_{0}^{k}, u_{1}^{k}, \cdots, u_{M}^{k}\right)^{T}$, and then for the grid function $u=\left\{u_{i}^{k} \mid 0 \leq i \leq M, 0 \leq k \leq N\right\}$ approximating the solution we adopt the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{x} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}=\frac{1}{h}\left(u_{i}^{k}-u_{i-1}^{k}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq M \\
& \delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{k}= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{h} \delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{k}, & i=0, \\
\frac{1}{h}\left(\delta_{x} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-\delta_{x} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right), & 1 \leq i \leq M-1 \\
-\frac{2}{h} \delta_{x} u_{M-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}, & i=M\end{cases} \\
& \mathscr{H} u_{i}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{6}\left(5 u_{0}+u_{1}\right), & i=0, \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{i-1}+10 u_{i}+u_{i+1}\right), & 1 \leq i \leq M-1 \\
\frac{1}{6}\left(u_{M-1}+5 u_{M}\right), & i=M\end{cases} \\
& \langle u, v\rangle=h \sum_{i=0}^{M} u_{i} v_{i},\|u\|^{2}=\langle u, u\rangle, \quad\|u\|_{\infty}=\max _{0 \leq i \leq M}\left|u_{i}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

The discretisation of $\partial^{2} u / \partial x^{2}$ is based on the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. (cf. Ref. [25]). Denote $\zeta(s)=(1-s)^{3}\left[5-3(1-s)^{2}\right]$.
(I) If $f(x) \in \mathscr{C}^{6}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{5}{6} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{6} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right]-\frac{2}{h}\left[\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{h}-f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right] } \\
= & -\frac{h}{6} f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{h^{3}}{90} f^{(5)}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{h^{4}}{180} \int_{0}^{1} f^{(6)}\left(x_{0}+s h\right) \zeta(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

(II) If $f(x) \in \mathscr{C}^{6}\left[x_{M-1}, x_{M}\right]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{1}{6} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{M-1}\right)+\frac{5}{6} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{M}\right)\right]-\frac{2}{h}\left[f^{\prime}\left(x_{M}\right)-\frac{f\left(x_{M}\right)-f\left(x_{M-1}\right)}{h}\right] } \\
= & \frac{h}{6} f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{M}\right)-\frac{h^{3}}{90} f^{(5)}\left(x_{M}\right)+\frac{h^{4}}{180} \int_{0}^{1} f^{(6)}\left(x_{M}-s h\right) \zeta(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

(III) If $f(x) \in \mathscr{C}^{6}\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}\right], 1 \leq i \leq M-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{12}\left[f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i-1}\right)+10 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)+f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[f\left(x_{i-1}\right)-2 f\left(x_{i}\right)+f\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{h^{4}}{360} \int_{0}^{1}\left[f^{(6)}\left(x_{i}-s h\right)+f^{(6)}\left(x_{i}+s h\right)\right] \zeta(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our numerical scheme for Eq. (2.1) is derived using the equivalent form Eq. (2.4). We introduce the shifted Grünwald difference to the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral

$$
\mathscr{A}_{\tau, r}^{\alpha} f(t)=\tau^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{k} f(t-(k-r) \tau)
$$

where $\omega_{k}=(-1)^{k}\binom{-\alpha}{k}$, to obtain the second-order approximation for Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals as follows [13, 16].
Lemma 2.2. Let $f(t),-\infty I_{t}^{2-\alpha} f$ and $(i \omega)^{2-\alpha} \mathscr{F}[f](\omega)$ belong to $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, and define the weighted and shifted difference operator by

$$
\mathscr{I}_{\tau, p, q}^{\alpha} f(t)=\frac{2 q+\alpha}{2(q-p)} \mathscr{A}_{\tau, p}^{\alpha} f(t)+\frac{2 p+\alpha}{2(p-q)} \mathscr{A}_{\tau, q}^{\alpha} f(t) .
$$

Then we have

$$
\mathscr{I}_{\tau, p, q}^{\alpha} f(t)={ }_{-\infty} I_{t}^{\alpha} f(t)+O\left(\tau^{2}\right)
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $p$ and $q$ are integers and $p \neq q$.
With $(p, q)=(0,-1)$, which yields $\frac{2 q+\alpha}{2(q-p)}=1-\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $\frac{2 p+\alpha}{2(p-q)}=\frac{\alpha}{2}$ in Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{o} I_{t}^{\alpha} u\left(x_{i}, t_{n+1}\right) & =\tau^{\alpha}\left[\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \omega_{k} u_{i}^{n+1-k}+\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \omega_{k} u_{i}^{n-k}\right]+O\left(\tau^{2}\right) \\
& =\tau^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_{k} u_{i}^{n+1-k}+O\left(\tau^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{0} I_{t}^{\alpha} u_{x x}\left(x_{i}, t_{n+1}\right) & =\tau^{\alpha}\left[\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \omega_{k} \delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{n+1-k}+\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \omega_{k} \delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{n-k}\right]+O\left(\tau^{2}+h^{2}\right) \\
& =\tau^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_{k} \delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{n+1-k}+O\left(\tau^{2}+h^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}=\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \omega_{0}, \quad \lambda_{k}=\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \omega_{k}+\frac{\alpha}{2} \omega_{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A weighted Crank-Nicolson scheme for Eq. (2.4) is therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{u_{i}^{n+1}-u_{i}^{n}}{\tau}= & \phi_{i}+\frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{2}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_{k}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{n+1-k}-\kappa_{2} u_{i}^{n+1-k}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{n-k}-\kappa_{2} u_{i}^{n-k}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{i}^{n}+f_{i}^{n+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To derive a higher order scheme, we follow Ref. [25]. Thus beginning with $i=0$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \mathscr{H}\left(u_{0}^{n+1}-u_{0}^{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_{k}\left(\kappa_{1}\left[\frac{2}{h} \delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1-k}-\frac{2}{h} \frac{\partial u\left(0, t_{n+1-k}\right)}{\partial x}-\frac{h}{6} \frac{\partial^{3} u\left(0, t_{n+1-k}\right)}{\partial x^{3}}+\frac{h^{3}}{90} \frac{\partial^{5} u\left(0, t_{n+1-k}\right)}{\partial x^{5}}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} u_{0}^{n+1-k}\right) \\
& +\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(\kappa_{1}\left[\frac{2}{h} \delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n-k}-\frac{2}{h} \frac{\partial u\left(0, t_{n-k}\right)}{\partial x}-\frac{h}{6} \frac{\partial^{3} u\left(0, t_{n-k}\right)}{\partial x^{3}}+\frac{h^{3}}{90} \frac{\partial^{5} u\left(0, t_{n-k}\right)}{\partial x^{5}}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} u_{0}^{n-k}\right)+\tau \mathscr{H} \phi_{0}+\frac{\tau}{2} \mathscr{H}\left(f_{0}^{n}+f_{0}^{n+1}\right)+\tau R_{0}^{n+1} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{0}^{n+1}=O\left(\tau^{2}+h^{4}\right)$. We can now differentiate Eq. (2.1) with respect to $x$ to give

$$
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{r} \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}=\kappa_{1} \frac{\partial^{3} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{3}}-\kappa_{2} \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}+g_{x}(x, t) .
$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0^{+}$and noting the boundary condition (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{1} \frac{\partial^{3} u(0, t)}{\partial x^{3}}=-g_{x}(0, t) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the Caputo fractional derivative operator ${ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\gamma}$ acting on Eq. (2.7), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial^{3} u(0, t)}{\partial x^{3}}=-\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\gamma} g_{x}(0, t) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meanwhile, differentiating equation (2.1) three times with respect to $x$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial^{3} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{3}}=\kappa_{1} \frac{\partial^{5} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{5}}-\kappa_{2} \frac{\partial^{3} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{3}}+g_{x x x}(x, t) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once again, we let $x \rightarrow 0^{+}$in (2.9). We can then substitute Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) to Eq. (2.9) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{1} \frac{\partial^{5} u(0, t)}{\partial x^{5}}=-g_{x x x}(0, t)-\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1}} g_{x}(0, t)-\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\gamma} g_{x}(0, t) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting Eqs. (2.7) and (2.10) into Eq. (2.6) and noting the boundary condition (2.3), on omitting small terms the compact scheme for $i=0$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{H}\left(u_{0}^{n+1}-u_{0}^{n}\right) \\
= & \frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_{k}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} u_{0}^{n+1-k}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} u_{0}^{n+1-k}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} u_{0}^{n-k}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} u_{0}^{n-k}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_{k}\left(\frac{h}{6}\left(g_{x}\right)_{0}^{n+1-k}-\frac{h^{3}}{90}\left[\left(g_{x x x}\right)_{0}^{n+1-k}+\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1}}\left(g_{x}\right)_{0}^{n+1-k}+\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{x}\right)_{0}^{n+1-k}\right]\right) \\
& +\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(\frac{h}{6}\left(g_{x}\right)_{0}^{n-k}-\frac{h^{3}}{90}\left[\left(g_{x x x}\right)_{0}^{n-k}+\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1}}\left(g_{x}\right)_{0}^{n-k}+\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{x}\right)_{0}^{n-k}\right]\right) \\
& +\tau \mathscr{H} \phi_{0}+\frac{\tau}{2} \mathscr{H}\left(f_{0}^{n}+f_{0}^{n+1}\right), \quad 0 \leq n \leq N-1 . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The scheme at the other end can similarly be derived as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{H}\left(u_{M}^{n+1}-u_{M}^{n}\right) \\
= & \frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_{k}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} u_{M}^{n+1-k}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} u_{M}^{n+1-k}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} u_{M}^{n-k}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} u_{M}^{n-k}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_{k}\left(\frac{h}{6}\left(g_{x}\right)_{M}^{n+1-k}-\frac{h^{3}}{90}\left[\left(g_{x x x}\right)_{M}^{n+1-k}+\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1}}\left(g_{x}\right)_{M}^{n+1-k}+\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{x}\right)_{M}^{n+1-k}\right]\right) \\
& -\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(\frac{h}{6}\left(g_{x}\right)_{M}^{n-k}-\frac{h^{3}}{90}\left[\left(g_{x x x}\right)_{M}^{n-k}+\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1}}\left(g_{x}\right)_{M}^{n-k}+\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{x}\right)_{M}^{n-k}\right]\right) \\
& +\tau \mathscr{H} \phi_{M}+\frac{\tau}{2} \mathscr{H}\left(f_{M}^{n}+f_{M}^{n+1}\right), \quad 0 \leq n \leq N-1 . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

At any internal grid point, the scheme can be written

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{H}\left(u_{i}^{n+1}-u_{i}^{n}\right) \\
= & \frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_{k}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{n+1-k}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} u_{i}^{n+1-k}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{n-k}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} u_{i}^{n-k}\right)\right] \\
& +\tau \mathscr{H} \phi_{i}+\frac{\tau}{2} \mathscr{H}\left(f_{i}^{n}+f_{i}^{n+1}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq M-1, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N-1 . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The approximate solution is then found assuming

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{0}=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

At each time level, the difference scheme Eqs. (2.11)-(2.14) is a linear tridiagonal system with a strictly diagonal dominant coefficient matrix, so it has a unique solution.

## 3. Stability and Convergence of the Compact Scheme

The main convergence result for the scheme outlined in the previous section can be established via the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. (cf. Ref. [16]) With $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined as in (2.5), for any positive integer $k$ and real vector $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{k}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{k-1}\left(\sum_{p=0}^{n} \lambda_{p} v_{n+1-p}\right) v_{n+1} \geq 0
$$

Lemma 3.2. ([26]) Assume that $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ are nonnegative sequences, and the sequence $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ satisfies

$$
\phi_{0} \leq g_{0}, \quad \phi_{n} \leq g_{0}+\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} p_{l}+\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} k_{l} \phi_{l}, \quad n \geq 1
$$

where $g_{0} \geq 0$. Then the sequence $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ satisfies

$$
\phi_{n} \leq\left(g_{0}+\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} p_{l}\right) \exp \left(\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} k_{l}\right), \quad n \geq 1
$$

Our compact difference scheme (2.11)-(2.14) thus has high order convergence, as follows.
Theorem 3.1. Assume $u(x, t) \in \mathscr{C}_{x, t}^{6,2}([0, L] \times[0, T])$ is the solution of the problem (2.1)(2.3) and $\left\{u_{i}^{k} \mid 0 \leq i \leq M, 0 \leq k \leq N\right\}$ is a solution of the finite difference scheme (2.11)(2.14), respectively. Denote

$$
e_{i}^{k}=u\left(x_{i}, t_{k}\right)-u_{i}^{k}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N
$$

Then there exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\left\|e^{k}\right\| \leq c\left(\tau^{2}+h^{4}\right), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N
$$

Proof. We can easily get the following error equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{C}\left(e^{k+1}-e^{k}\right)= & -\frac{\kappa_{1} \tau^{\alpha+1}}{2 h^{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l} \bar{Q}\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right) \\
& -\frac{\kappa_{2} \tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l} \bar{C}\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right)+\tau \bar{R}^{k+1}, \quad e_{i}^{0}=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\|\bar{R}^{k+1}\right\| \leq c_{1}\left(\tau^{2}+h^{4}\right)$,

$$
\bar{C}=\frac{1}{12}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
10 & 2 & & &  \tag{3.2}\\
1 & 10 & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & 1 & 10 & 1 \\
& & & 2 & 10
\end{array}\right), \quad \bar{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & -2 & & & \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
& & & -2 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Multiplying Eq. (3.1) by $\frac{1}{2} \oplus I \oplus \frac{1}{2}$ where $I$ is the identity matrix, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
C\left(e^{k+1}-e^{k}\right)= & -\frac{\kappa_{1} \tau^{\alpha+1}}{2 h^{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l} Q\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right)-\frac{\kappa_{2} \tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l} C\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right) \\
& +\tau R^{k+1} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\|R^{k+1}\right\| \leq c_{2}\left(\tau^{2}+h^{4}\right)$,

$$
C=\frac{1}{12}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
5 & 1 & & &  \tag{3.4}\\
1 & 10 & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & 1 & 10 & 1 \\
& & & 1 & 5
\end{array}\right)=E^{2}
$$

(thus $E$ the square root of $C$ ), and

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & -1 & & &  \tag{3.5}\\
-1 & 2 & -1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
& & & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right)=S^{T} S
$$

with

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 1 & &  \tag{3.6}\\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M+1}
$$

Here we have used the fact that $C=\frac{1}{12} \operatorname{tri}[1,5,1]+\frac{5}{12}(0 \oplus I \oplus 0)$ is positive definite. Consequently, on multiplying Eq. (3.3) by $h\left(e^{k+1}+e^{k}\right)^{T}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(e^{k+1}+e^{k}\right)^{T} C\left(e^{k+1}-e^{k}\right) \\
= & -\frac{\kappa_{1} \tau^{\alpha+1}}{2 h} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(e^{k+1}+e^{k}\right)^{T} S^{T} S\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right) \\
& -\frac{\kappa_{2} h \tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(e^{k+1}+e^{k}\right)^{T} E^{2}\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right)+\tau h\left(e^{k+1}+e^{k}\right)^{T} R^{k+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ and noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(e^{k+1}+e^{k}\right)^{T} C\left(e^{k+1}-e^{k}\right)=h\left[\left(e^{k+1}\right)^{T} C e^{k+1}-\left(e^{k}\right)^{T} C e^{k}\right] \\
& h\left(e^{n}\right)^{T} C e^{n} \geq \frac{1}{4}\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from Lemma 3.1 we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4}\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \tau h\left(e^{n}+e^{n-1}\right)^{T} R^{n}+\tau h \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\left(e^{k+1}+e^{k}\right)^{T} R^{k+1} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{5}\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{5 \tau^{2}}{4}\left\|R^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{2}\left\|e^{n-1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{2}\left\|R^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+\tau \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\|R^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{5}\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{5 \tau^{2}}{4}\left\|R^{n}\right\|^{2}+\tau \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+\tau \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|R^{k}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq 25 \tau^{2}\left\|R^{n}\right\|^{2}+20 \tau \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+20 \tau \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|R^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 20 \tau \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+c_{3}\left(\tau^{2}+h^{4}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so the desired result follows from Lemma 3.2.
Remark 3.1. One can adopt aspects in the proof for Theorem 3.1 to show that the proposed compact scheme (2.11)-(2.14) is unconditionally stable. Indeed, consider the solution $\left\{\nu_{i}^{k}\right\}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{H}\left(v_{0}^{k+1}-v_{0}^{k}\right) \\
= & \frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2}\left[\sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \lambda_{l}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} v_{0}^{k+1-l}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} v_{0}^{k+1-l}\right)+\sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} v_{0}^{k-l}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} v_{0}^{k-l}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \lambda_{l}\left(\frac{h}{6}\left(g_{x}\right)_{0}^{k+1-l}-\frac{h^{3}}{90}\left[\left(g_{x x x}\right)_{0}^{k+1-l}+\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1}}\left(g_{x}\right)_{0}^{k+1-l}+\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{x}\right)_{0}^{k+1-l}\right]\right) \\
& +\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(\frac{h}{6}\left(g_{x}\right)_{0}^{k-l}-\frac{h^{3}}{90}\left[\left(g_{x x x}\right)_{0}^{k-l}+\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1}}\left(g_{x}\right)_{0}^{k-l}+\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{x}\right)_{0}^{k-l}\right]\right) \\
& +\tau \mathscr{H}\left(\phi_{0}+\tilde{\rho}_{0}\right)+\frac{\tau}{2} \mathscr{H}\left(f_{0}^{k}+f_{0}^{k+1}\right), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1,  \tag{3.7}\\
& \mathscr{H}\left(v_{M}^{k+1}-v_{M}^{k}\right) \\
= & \frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2}\left[\sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \lambda_{l}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} v_{M}^{k+1-l}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} v_{M}^{k+1-l}\right)+\sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} v_{M}^{k-l}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} v_{M}^{k-l}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \lambda_{l}\left(\frac{h}{6}\left(g_{x}\right)_{M}^{k+1-l}-\frac{h^{3}}{90}\left[\left(g_{x x x}\right)_{M}^{k+1-l}+\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1}}\left(g_{x}\right)_{M}^{k+1-l}+\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{x}\right)_{M}^{k+1-l}\right]\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(\frac{h}{6}\left(g_{x}\right)_{M}^{k-l}-\frac{h^{3}}{90}\left[\left(g_{x x x}\right)_{M}^{k-l}+\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1}}\left(g_{x}\right)_{M}^{k-l}+\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{x}\right)_{M}^{k-l}\right]\right) \\
& +\tau \mathscr{H}\left(\phi_{M}+\tilde{\rho}_{M}\right)+\frac{\tau}{2} \mathscr{H}\left(f_{M}^{k}+f_{M}^{k+1}\right), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1,  \tag{3.8}\\
& \mathscr{H}\left(v_{i}^{k+1}-v_{i}^{k}\right) \\
= & \frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2}\left[\sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \lambda_{l}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} v_{i}^{k+1-l}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} v_{i}^{k+1-l}\right)+\sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} v_{i}^{k-l}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} v_{i}^{k-l}\right)\right] \\
& +\tau \mathscr{H}\left(\phi_{i}+\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)+\frac{\tau}{2} \mathscr{H}\left(f_{i}^{k}+f_{i}^{k+1}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq M-1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1, \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

with $v_{i}^{0}=\rho_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq M$. Thus from (2.11)-(2.13) and (3.7)-(3.9), one can check that $\varepsilon_{i}^{l}=v_{i}^{l}-u_{i}^{l}-\rho_{i}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{H}\left(\varepsilon_{i}^{k+1}-\varepsilon_{i}^{k}\right) \\
&= \frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2}\left[\sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \lambda_{l}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} \varepsilon_{i}^{k+1-l}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} \varepsilon_{i}^{k+1-l}\right)+\sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} \varepsilon_{i}^{k-l}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} \varepsilon_{i}^{k-l}\right)\right]+\tau \mathscr{H} \tilde{\rho}_{i} \\
&+\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2}\left[\sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \lambda_{l}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} \rho_{i}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} \rho_{i}\right)+\sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} \rho_{i}-\kappa_{2} \mathscr{H} \rho_{i}\right)\right] \\
& \quad 0 \leq i \leq M, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1, \\
& \varepsilon_{i}^{0}=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

By following the proof for Theorem 3.1 and noting $\tau^{\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \lambda_{l}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+O(\tau)$, we then have the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varepsilon^{k}\right\|^{2} & \leq 20 \tau \sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\left\|\varepsilon^{l}\right\|^{2}+\left[\frac{5}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+1\right]^{2}\left[\left\|\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} \rho\right\|^{2}+\left\|\kappa_{2} \rho\right\|^{2}+\|\tilde{\rho}\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq e^{20 T}\left[\frac{5}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+1\right]^{2}\left[\left\|\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} \rho\right\|^{2}+\left\|\kappa_{2} \rho\right\|^{2}+\|\tilde{\rho}\|^{2}\right] . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|v^{k}-u^{k}\right\| & \leq\left\|v^{k}-u^{k}-\rho\right\|+\|\rho\| \\
& \leq e^{10 T}\left[\frac{5}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+1\right] \sqrt{\left\|\kappa_{1} \delta_{x}^{2} \rho\right\|^{2}+\left\|\kappa_{2} \rho\right\|^{2}+\|\tilde{\rho}\|^{2}}+\|\rho\|
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence the stability of the scheme.

## 4. The Compact ADI Scheme for the Two-Dimensional Problem

In this section, we consider the two-dimensional case

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\gamma} u=\Delta u-u+g(x, y, t), \quad(x, y) \in \Omega, \quad 0<t \leq T, \quad 1<\gamma<2,  \tag{4.1}\\
& u(x, y, 0)=0, \quad \frac{\partial u(x, y, 0)}{\partial t}=\phi(x, y), \quad(x, y) \in \bar{\Omega}=\Omega \cup \partial \Omega  \tag{4.2}\\
& \left.\frac{\partial u(x, y, t)}{\partial n}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \quad(x, y) \in \partial \Omega, \quad 0<t \leq T, \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the two-dimensional Laplacian and $n$ is the unit outward normal vector of the domain $\Omega=\left(0, L_{1}\right) \times\left(0, L_{2}\right)$ with boundary $\partial \Omega$. An equivalent form of Eq. (4.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u(x, y, t)}{\partial t}=\phi(x, y)+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1}[\Delta u(x, y, s)-u(x, y, s)] d s+f(x, y, t), \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x, y) \in \Omega, 0<t \leq T, 0<\alpha=\gamma-1<1, f(x, y, t)={ }_{0} I_{t}^{\alpha} g(x, y, t)$. The discretisation of Eq. (4.4) is carried out in steps similar to that of the one-dimensional case. Thus we let $h_{1}=L_{1} / M_{1}, h_{2}=L_{2} / M_{2}$ and $\tau=T / N$ be the respective spatial and temporal step sizes, where $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $N$ are some given integers. For $i=0,1, \cdots, M_{1}, j=0,1, \cdots, M_{2}$ and $k=0,1, \cdots, N$, we denote $x_{i}=i h_{1}, y_{j}=j h_{2}, t_{k}=k \tau$ and introduce the following notation on a grid function $u=\left\{u_{i j}^{k} \mid 0 \leq i \leq M_{1}, 0 \leq j \leq M_{2}, 0 \leq k \leq N\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{x} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}=\frac{1}{h_{1}}\left(u_{i j}-u_{i-1, j}\right), \\
& \delta_{x}^{2} u_{i j}= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{h_{1}} \delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}, j}, & i=0,0 \leq j \leq M_{2}, \\
\frac{1}{h_{1}}\left(\delta_{x} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-\delta_{x} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right), & 1 \leq i \leq M_{1}-1,0 \leq j \leq M_{2}, \\
-\frac{2}{h_{1}} \delta_{x} u_{M_{1}-\frac{1}{2}, j}, & i=M_{1}, 0 \leq j \leq M_{2},\end{cases} \\
& \mathscr{H}_{x} u_{i j}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{6}\left(5 u_{0, j}+u_{1, j}\right), & i=0,0 \leq j \leq M_{2}, \\
\frac{1}{1}\left(u_{i-1, j}+10 u_{i, j}+u_{i+1, j}\right), & 1 \leq i \leq M_{1}-1,0 \leq j \leq M_{2}, \\
\frac{1}{6}\left(u_{M_{1}-1, j}+5 u_{M_{1}, j}\right), & i=M_{1}, 0 \leq j \leq M_{2} .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

One can define similar notation in the $y$ direction. We further denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{H} u_{i j}=\mathscr{H}_{x} \mathscr{H}_{y} u_{i j}, \quad \Lambda u_{i j}=\left(\mathscr{H}_{y} \delta_{x}^{2}+\mathscr{H}_{x} \delta_{y}^{2}\right) u_{i j}, \\
& \langle u, v\rangle=h_{1} h_{2} \sum_{i=0}^{M_{1}} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{2}} u_{i j} v_{i j}, \quad\|u\|^{2}=\langle u, u\rangle, \quad\|u\|_{\infty}={\underset{0 \leq i \leq M_{1},}{ } \max _{0 \leq j \leq M_{2}}\left|u_{i j}\right| .}^{\text {. }} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With the above preparation, we obtain the compact ADI scheme as follows. We denote $\mu=\tau^{\alpha+1} / 2$ and $G_{i j}^{n}=\left(G_{i j}^{1}\right)^{n}+\left(G_{i j}^{2}\right)^{n}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(G_{i j}^{1}\right)^{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(\frac{h_{1}}{6} \mathscr{H}_{y}\left(g_{x}\right)_{0, j}^{n-k}-\frac{h_{1}^{3}}{90} \mathscr{H}_{y}\left[\left(g_{x x x}\right)_{0, j}^{n-k}-\left(g_{x y y}\right)_{0, j}^{n-k}+\left(g_{x}\right)_{0, j}^{n-k}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\quad+\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{x}\right)_{0, j}^{n-k}\right]\right), \quad i=0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq M_{2}, \\
0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M_{1}-1, \quad 0 \leq j \leq M_{2}, \\
-\mu \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(\frac{h_{1}}{6} \mathscr{H}_{y}\left(g_{x}\right)_{M_{1}, j}^{n-k}-\frac{h_{1}^{3}}{90} \mathscr{H}_{y}\left[\left(g_{x x x}\right)_{M_{1}, j}^{n-k}-\left(g_{x y y}\right)_{M_{1}, j}^{n-k}+\left(g_{x}\right)_{M_{1}, j}^{n-k}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.+{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{x}\right)_{M_{1}, j}^{n-k}\right]\right), \quad i=M_{1}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq M_{2},
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left(G_{i j}^{2}\right)^{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(\frac{h_{2}}{6} \mathscr{H}_{x}\left(g_{y}\right)_{i, 0}^{n-k}-\frac{h_{2}^{3}}{90} \mathscr{H}_{x}\left[\left(g_{y y y}\right)_{i, 0}^{n-k}-\left(g_{x x y}\right)_{i, 0}^{n-k}+\left(g_{y}\right)_{i, 0}^{n-k}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{y}\right)_{i, 0}^{n-k}\right]\right), \quad j=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M_{1}, \\
0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq M_{2}-1, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M_{1}, \\
-\mu \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left(\frac{h_{2}}{6} \mathscr{H}_{x}\left(g_{y}\right)_{i, M_{2}}^{n-k}-\frac{h_{2}^{3}}{90} \mathscr{H}_{x}\left[\left(g_{y y y}\right)_{i, M_{2}}^{n-k}-\left(g_{x x y}\right)_{i, M_{2}}^{n-k}+\left(g_{y}\right)_{i, M_{2}}^{n-k}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha+1} g_{y}\right)_{i, M_{2}}^{n-k}\right]\right), \quad j=M_{2}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Following the steps in the one-dimensional case, one can deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{H}\left(u_{i j}^{n+1}-u_{i j}^{n}\right)= \tau \mathscr{H} \phi_{i j}+\mu\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_{k}(\Lambda-\mathscr{H}) u_{i j}^{n+1-k}+\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}(\Lambda-\mathscr{H}) u_{i j}^{n-k}\right] \\
&+\frac{\tau}{2} \mathscr{H}\left(f_{i j}^{n}+f_{i j}^{n+1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(G_{i j}^{n}+G_{i j}^{n+1}\right)+\tau\left(R_{1}\right)_{i j}^{n+1}, \\
& u_{i j}^{0}=0, \quad\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) \in \bar{\Omega}, \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(R_{1}\right)_{i j}^{n+1}=O\left(\tau^{2}+h_{1}^{4}+h_{2}^{4}\right)$. Denoting $F_{i j}^{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau \mathscr{H} f_{i j}^{n}+G_{i j}^{n}\right)$, and adding a small term $\frac{\mu^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}}{1+\mu \lambda_{0}} \delta_{x}^{2} \delta_{y}^{2}\left(u_{i j}^{n+1}-u_{i j}^{n}\right)=O\left(\tau^{3+2 \alpha}\right)$ on both sides of Eq. (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{H}\left(u_{i j}^{n+1}-u_{i j}^{n}\right)+\frac{\mu^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}}{1+\mu \lambda_{0}} \delta_{x}^{2} \delta_{y}^{2}\left(u_{i j}^{n+1}-u_{i j}^{n}\right) \\
= & \tau \mathscr{H} \phi_{i j}+\mu\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_{k}(\Lambda-\mathscr{H}) u_{i j}^{n+1-k}+\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}(\Lambda-\mathscr{H}) u_{i j}^{n-k}\right]+F_{i j}^{n}+F_{i j}^{n+1}+\tau R_{i j}^{n+1} \\
& u_{i j}^{0}=0, \quad\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) \in \bar{\Omega}, \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

with $R_{i j}^{n+1}=O\left(\tau^{2}+h_{1}^{4}+h_{2}^{4}\right)$. Omitting the truncation error in Eq. (4.6), we reach the following scheme in the ADI setting:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sqrt{1+\mu \lambda_{0}} \mathscr{H}_{x}-\frac{\mu \lambda_{0}}{\sqrt{1+\mu \lambda_{0}}} \delta_{x}^{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{1+\mu \lambda_{0}} \mathscr{H}_{y}-\frac{\mu \lambda_{0}}{\sqrt{1+\mu \lambda_{0}}} \delta_{y}^{2}\right) u_{i j}^{n+1} \\
&= \mathscr{H} u_{i j}^{n}+\frac{\mu^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}}{1+\mu \lambda_{0}} \delta_{x}^{2} \delta_{y}^{2} u_{i j}^{n}+\mu\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \lambda_{k}(\Lambda-\mathscr{H}) u_{i j}^{n+1-k}+\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}(\Lambda-\mathscr{H}) u_{i j}^{n-k}\right] \\
&+\tau \mathscr{H} \phi_{i j}+F_{i j}^{n}+F_{i j}^{n+1}, \quad\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) \in \Omega, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N-1, \\
& u_{i j}^{0}=0, \quad\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) \in \bar{\Omega} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For ADI methods (cf. Ref. [27] for example), the solution $\left\{u_{i j}^{n+1}\right\}$ is determined by solving two independent one-dimensional problems. Specifically, the intermediate variables

$$
u_{i j}^{*}=\left(\sqrt{1+\mu \lambda_{0}} \mathscr{H}_{y}-\frac{\mu \lambda_{0}}{\sqrt{1+\mu \lambda_{0}}} \delta_{y}^{2}\right) u_{i j}^{n+1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M_{1}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq M_{2}
$$

are first solved from the following system with fixed $j \in\left\{0,1, \cdots, M_{2}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sqrt{1+\mu \lambda_{0}} \mathscr{H}_{x}-\frac{\mu \lambda_{0}}{\sqrt{1+\mu \lambda_{0}}} \delta_{x}^{2}\right) u_{i j}^{*} \\
= & \mathscr{H} u_{i j}^{n}+\frac{\mu^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}}{1+\mu \lambda_{0}} \delta_{x}^{2} \delta_{y}^{2} u_{i j}^{n}+\mu\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \lambda_{k}(\Lambda-\mathscr{H}) u_{i j}^{n+1-k}+\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}(\Lambda-\mathscr{H}) u_{i j}^{n-k}\right] \\
& +\tau \mathscr{H} \phi_{i j}+F_{i j}^{n}+F_{i j}^{n+1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\left\{u_{i j}^{*}\right\}$ is found, the approximate solution $\left\{u_{i j}^{n+1}\right\}$ is solved from the following system for fixed $i \in\left\{0,1, \cdots, M_{1}\right\}$ :

$$
\left(\sqrt{1+\mu \lambda_{0}} \mathscr{H}_{y}-\frac{\mu \lambda_{0}}{\sqrt{1+\mu \lambda_{0}}} \delta_{y}^{2}\right) u_{i j}^{n+1}=u_{i j}^{*}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq M_{2}
$$

Under the ADI method, the computational cost for solving a two-dimensional problem is usually greatly reduced.

We now proceed to give the convergence result of our compact ADI scheme (4.6), and one can show that the scheme is stable in the same sense as that given in Remark 3.1.
Theorem 4.1. Assume that $u(x, y, t) \in \mathscr{C}_{x, y, t}^{6,6,2}(\Omega \times[0, T])$ is the solution of the problem (4.1)-(4.3) and $\left\{u_{i j}^{k} \mid 0 \leq i \leq M_{1}, 0 \leq j \leq M_{2}, 0 \leq k \leq N\right\}$ is a solution of the finite difference scheme (4.6), respectively. Denote

$$
e_{i j}^{k}=u\left(x_{i}, y_{j}, t_{k}\right)-u_{i j}^{k}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M_{1}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq M_{2}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N .
$$

Then there exists a positive constant $\tilde{c}$ such that

$$
\left\|e^{k}\right\| \leq \tilde{c}\left(\tau^{2}+h_{1}^{4}+h_{2}^{4}\right), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N
$$

where $e^{k}=\left[e_{0,0}^{k}, e_{1,0}^{k}, \cdots, e_{M_{1}, 0}^{k}, e_{0,1}^{k}, e_{1,1}^{k}, \cdots, e_{M_{1}, 1}^{k}, \cdots, e_{0, M_{2}}^{k}, e_{1, M_{2}}^{k}, \cdots, e_{M_{1}, M_{2}}^{k}\right]^{T}$.

Proof. One can easily check that the following error equation holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\bar{C}_{M_{2}+1} \otimes \bar{C}_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1}-e^{k}\right)+\frac{\mu^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}}{\left(1+\mu \lambda_{0}\right) h_{1}^{2} h_{2}^{2}}\left(\bar{Q}_{M_{2}+1} \otimes \bar{Q}_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1}-e^{k}\right) \\
= & -\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2 h_{1}^{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(\bar{C}_{M_{2}+1} \otimes \bar{Q}_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right)-\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2 h_{2}^{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(\bar{Q}_{M_{2}+1} \otimes \bar{C}_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right) \\
& -\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(\bar{C}_{M_{2}+1} \otimes \bar{C}_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right)+\tau \bar{R}^{k+1}, \\
& e_{i j}^{0}=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M_{1}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq M_{2}, \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\|\bar{R}^{k+1}\right\| \leq \tilde{c}_{1}\left(\tau^{2}+h_{1}^{4}+h_{2}^{4}\right)$ and the matrices $\bar{C}_{M_{1}+1}, \bar{C}_{M_{2}+1}, \bar{Q}_{M_{1}+1}, \bar{Q}_{M_{2}+1}$ are given in (3.2), with the corresponding sizes given by the subscripts.

Multiplying the equation (4.7) with $\left(\frac{1}{2} \oplus I_{M_{2}-1} \oplus \frac{1}{2}\right) \otimes\left(\frac{1}{2} \oplus I_{M_{1}-1} \oplus \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(C_{M_{2}+1} \otimes C_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1}-e^{k}\right)+\frac{\mu^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}}{\left(1+\mu \lambda_{0}\right) h_{1}^{2} h_{2}^{2}}\left(Q_{M_{2}+1} \otimes Q_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1}-e^{k}\right) \\
= & -\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2 h_{1}^{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(C_{M_{2}+1} \otimes Q_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right)-\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2 h_{2}^{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(Q_{M_{2}+1} \otimes C_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right) \\
& -\frac{\tau^{\alpha+1}}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_{l}\left(C_{M_{2}+1} \otimes C_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1-l}+e^{k-l}\right)+\tau R^{k+1} \\
& e_{i j}^{0}=0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M_{1}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq M_{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\|R^{k+1}\right\| \leq \tilde{c}_{2}\left(\tau^{2}+h_{1}^{4}+h_{2}^{4}\right)$ and

$$
C_{M_{1}+1}=E_{M_{1}+1}^{2}, \quad C_{M_{2}+1}=E_{M_{2}+1}^{2}, \quad Q_{M_{1}+1}=S_{M_{1}+1}^{T} S_{M_{1}+1}, \quad Q_{M_{2}+1}=S_{M_{2}+1}^{T} S_{M_{2}+1}
$$

are as in (3.4) and (3.5), respectively. (Once again, we have used the subscripts to indicate the sizes of the matrices.) We can now multiply (4.8) by $h_{1} h_{2}\left(e^{k+1}+e^{k}\right)^{T}$ and add up the
equations for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(e^{k+1}+e^{k}\right)^{T}\left(C_{M_{2}+1} \otimes C_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1}-e^{k}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(e^{k+1}\right)^{T}\left(C_{M_{2}+1} \otimes C_{M_{1}+1}\right) e^{k+1}-\left(e^{k}\right)^{T}\left(C_{M_{2}+1} \otimes C_{M_{1}+1}\right) e^{k} \\
& \left(e^{k+1}+e^{k}\right)^{T}\left(Q_{M_{2}+1} \otimes Q_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(e^{k+1}-e^{k}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(e^{k+1}\right)^{T}\left(Q_{M_{2}+1} \otimes Q_{M_{1}+1}\right) e^{k+1}-\left(e^{k}\right)^{T}\left(Q_{M_{2}+1} \otimes Q_{M_{1}+1}\right) e^{k} \\
& h_{1} h_{2}\left(e^{n}\right)^{T}\left(C_{M_{2}+1} \otimes C_{M_{1}+1}\right) e^{n} \geq \frac{1}{16}\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \left(e^{n}\right)^{T}\left(Q_{M_{2}+1} \otimes Q_{M_{1}+1}\right) e^{n} \geq 0 \\
& \left(C_{M_{2}+1} \otimes Q_{M_{1}+1}\right)=\left(E_{M_{2}+1} \otimes S_{M_{1}+1}^{T}\right)\left(E_{M_{2}+1} \otimes S_{M_{1}+1}\right) \\
& \left(Q_{M_{2}+1} \otimes C_{M_{1}+1}\right)=\left(S_{M_{2}+1}^{T} \otimes E_{M_{1}+1}\right)\left(S_{M_{2}+1} \otimes E_{M_{1}+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from Lemma 3.1 we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{16}\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \tau h_{1} h_{2}\left(e^{n}+e^{n-1}\right)^{T} R^{n}+\tau h_{1} h_{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\left(e^{k+1}+e^{k}\right)^{T} R^{k+1} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{18}\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{9 \tau^{2}}{2}\left\|R^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{2}\left\|e^{n-1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{2}\left\|R^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+\tau \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\|R^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{18}\left\|e^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{9 \tau^{2}}{2}\left\|R^{n}\right\|^{2}+\tau \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\|e^{k}\right\|^{2}+\tau \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|R^{k}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as in the one-dimensional case.

## 5. Numerical Experiments

In this section, we describe numerical experiments for the finite difference scheme to illustrate our theoretical statements. All our tests were done in MATLAB. Although our theoretical results correspond to the discrete $L^{2}$ norm, we find that the maximum norm errors

$$
E_{\infty}(h, \tau)=\max _{0 \leq k \leq N}\left\|U^{k}-u^{k}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

between the exact and the numerical solutions also match the proposed order for the examples we have tested (we had similar observations in Ref. [16]). In the numerical examples given below, the maximum norm errors are therefore reported.

We first consider the following one-dimensional problem.


Figure 1: Exact solution and numerical solution for Example 5.1 at $t=1$, when $\alpha=0.5$ and $h=\tau=$ $1 / 100$.

## Example 5.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{0}^{c} D_{t}^{\gamma} u=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-u+g(x, t), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad 0<t \leq 1, \quad 1<\gamma<2, \\
& u(x, 0)=0, \quad \frac{\partial u(x, 0)}{\partial t}=0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\
& \frac{\partial u(0, t)}{\partial x}=0, \quad \frac{\partial u(L, t)}{\partial x}=0, \quad 0<t \leq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g(x, t)=\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+3)}{2} t^{2} e^{x} x^{2}(1-x)^{2}-e^{x} t^{\gamma+2}\left(2-8 x+8 x^{3}\right)$. Note that the differential equation can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}={ }_{0} I_{t}^{\alpha}\left[u_{x x}(x, t)-u(x, t)\right]+f(x, t), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad 0<t \leq 1,
$$

where $\alpha=\gamma-1, f(x, t)=(\alpha+3) e^{x} x^{2}(1-x)^{2} t^{\alpha+2}-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+4)}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+4)} e^{x}\left(2-8 x+8 x^{3}\right) t^{2 \alpha+3}$. The exact solution is $u(x, t)=e^{x} x^{2}(1-x)^{2} t^{\alpha+3}$.

Curves for the exact and numerical solutions for the problem at $t=1$ with $\alpha=0.5$ and $h=\tau=1 / 100$ are shown in Fig. 1. The maximum norm errors are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The temporal convergence order and spatial convergence order, denoted by

$$
\text { Rate } 1=\log _{2}\left(\frac{E_{\infty}(h, 2 \tau)}{E_{\infty}(h, \tau)}\right) \text { and Rate } 2=\log _{2}\left(\frac{E_{\infty}(2 h, \tau)}{E_{\infty}(h, \tau)}\right),
$$

respectively, are also reported.
Let us now consider the stability of the scheme by testing (3.11) numerically. We note that the bound in (3.11) has been magnified to a certain extend when it is derived theoretically. In our test, we find that the quantity $B \doteq\left[\frac{5}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+1\right] \sqrt{\left\|\delta_{x}^{2} \rho\right\|^{2}+\|\rho\|^{2}+\|\tilde{\rho}\|^{2}}$ only

Table 1: Numerical convergence orders in temporal direction with $h=1 / 50$ for Example 5.1.

| $\tau$ | $\alpha=0.3$ |  | $\alpha=0.5$ |  | $\alpha=0.7$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $E_{\infty}(h, \tau)$ | Rate 1 | $E_{\infty}(h, \tau)$ | Rate 1 | $E_{\infty}(h, \tau)$ | Rate 1 |
| $1 / 5$ | $1.6417 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $*$ | $2.3844 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $*$ | $3.1904 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $*$ |
| $1 / 10$ | $4.1558 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 1.9820 | $6.0481 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 1.9791 | $7.9961 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 1.9964 |
| $1 / 20$ | $1.0441 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 1.9929 | $1.5221 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 1.9904 | $2.0066 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 1.9945 |
| $1 / 40$ | $2.6115 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 1.9993 | $3.8133 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 1.9970 | $5.0254 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 1.9975 |
| $1 / 80$ | $6.4822 \mathrm{e}-6$ | 2.0103 | $9.5080 \mathrm{e}-6$ | 2.0038 | $1.2551 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 2.0015 |

Table 2: Numerical convergence orders in spatial direction with $\tau=1 / 2000$ when $\alpha=0.5$ for Example 5.1.

| $h$ | $E_{\infty}(h, \tau)$ | Rate 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 / 2$ | $2.2688 \mathrm{e}-2$ | $*$ |
| $1 / 4$ | $1.3235 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 4.0995 |
| $1 / 8$ | $8.2429 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 4.0050 |
| $1 / 16$ | $5.1310 \mathrm{e}-6$ | 4.0058 |
| $1 / 32$ | $3.0926 \mathrm{e}-7$ | 4.0524 |

Table 3: Stability of the scheme for Example 5.1 when $T=1, \alpha=0.5$.

| $\rho=\tilde{\rho}=0.1 x$ |  |  |  | $\rho=\tilde{\rho}=0.1 \sin (x)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $M=\frac{1}{h}$ | $N=\frac{1}{\tau}$ | $\left\\|\varepsilon^{N}\right\\|$ | $B$ | $M=\frac{1}{h}$ | $N=\frac{1}{\tau}$ | $\left\\|\varepsilon^{N}\right\\|$ | $B$ |
| 100 | 500 | 0.3097 | 5.4637 | 100 | 500 | 0.2668 | 6.0461 |
|  | 1000 | 0.3102 | 5.4637 |  | 1000 | 0.2672 | 6.0461 |
|  | 2000 | 0.3105 | 5.4637 |  | 2000 | 0.2674 | 6.0461 |
|  | 4000 | 0.3107 | 5.4637 |  | 4000 | 0.2675 | 6.0461 |
| 200 | 500 | 0.4349 | 7.6982 | 200 | 500 | 0.3747 | 8.5231 |
|  | 1000 | 0.4356 | 7.6982 |  | 1000 | 0.3752 | 8.5231 |
|  | 2000 | 0.4360 | 7.6982 |  | 2000 | 0.3755 | 8.5231 |
|  | 4000 | 0.4363 | 7.6982 |  | 4000 | 0.3757 | 8.5231 |

can serve as a fine upper bound for $\left\|\varepsilon^{N}\right\|$. We have considered two kinds of perturbation given by the discretisation of some functions $\rho$ and $\tilde{\rho}$, and the results are given in Table 3.

The next example is a two-dimensional problem.

Table 4: Numerical convergence orders in temporal direction with $h=\pi / 50$ for Example 5.2.

| $\tau$ | $\alpha=0.3$ |  | $\alpha=0.5$ |  | $\alpha=0.7$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $E_{\infty}(h, \tau)$ | Rate 1 | $E_{\infty}(h, \tau)$ | Rate 1 | $E_{\infty}(h, \tau)$ | Rate 1 |
| $1 / 5$ | $1.5208 \mathrm{e}-2$ | $*$ | $2.0989 \mathrm{e}-2$ | $*$ | $2.9859 \mathrm{e}-2$ | $*$ |
| $1 / 10$ | $3.7508 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 2.0196 | $5.2056 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 2.0115 | $7.5354 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 1.9864 |
| $1 / 20$ | $9.3437 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 2.0051 | $1.2874 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 2.0155 | $1.8780 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 2.0045 |
| $1 / 40$ | $2.3411 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 1.9968 | $3.1958 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 2.0102 | $4.6777 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 2.0053 |
| $1 / 80$ | $5.8774 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 1.9939 | $7.9583 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 2.0057 | $1.1666 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 2.0035 |

## Example 5.2.

$$
\begin{gathered}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\gamma} u=\Delta u-u+\cos (x) \cos (y)\left[\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+4)}{6} t^{3}+3 t^{\gamma+3}\right], \\
(x, y) \in \Omega=(0, \pi) \times(0, \pi), \quad 0<t \leq 1, \\
u(x, y, 0)=0, \quad \frac{\partial u(x, y, 0)}{\partial t}=0, \quad(x, y) \in \bar{\Omega}, \\
\left.\frac{\partial u(x, y, t)}{\partial n}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \quad(x, y) \in \partial \Omega, \quad 0<t \leq 1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that the differential equation can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{\partial u(x, y, t)}{\partial t}={ }_{0} I_{t}^{\alpha}(\Delta u-u)+\cos (x) \cos (y)\left[(\alpha+4) t^{\alpha+3}+\frac{3 \Gamma(\alpha+5)}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+5)} t^{2 \alpha+4}\right],
$$

where $\alpha=\gamma-1$. The exact solution for this problem is $u(x, t)=\cos (x) \cos (y) t^{\alpha+4}$.
We let $h_{1}=h_{2}=h$ in this example. Fig. 2 shows the exact solution (left) and numerical solution (right) for Example 5.2 when $\alpha=0.5$ and $h=\tau=1 / 50$. In addition, the maximum norm errors between the exact and the numerical solutions

$$
E_{\infty}(h, \tau)=\max _{0 \leq k \leq N} \max _{\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) \in \Omega}\left|u\left(x_{i}, y_{j}, t_{k}\right)-u_{i j}^{k}\right|
$$

are shown in Tables 4 and 5. The temporal convergence order and spatial convergence order, denoted by

$$
\text { Rate } 1=\log _{2}\left(\frac{E_{\infty}(h, 2 \tau)}{E_{\infty}(h, \tau)}\right) \text { and Rate } 2=\log _{2}\left(\frac{E_{\infty}(2 h, \tau)}{E_{\infty}(h, \tau)}\right)
$$

respectively, are again also reported. These tables confirm the theoretical analysis.
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Figure 2: The exact solution (left) and numerical solution (right) for Example 5.2, when $\alpha=0.5$, $h=\tau=1 / 50$.

Table 5: Numerical convergence orders in spatial direction with $\tau=1 / 20000$ when $\alpha=0.5$ for Example 5.2.

| $h$ | $E_{\infty}(h, \tau)$ | Rate 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\pi / 2$ | $3.4342 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $*$ |
| $\pi / 4$ | $2.0348 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 4.0770 |
| $\pi / 8$ | $1.2502 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 4.0247 |
| $\pi / 16$ | $7.7904 \mathrm{e}-7$ | 4.0043 |
| $\pi / 32$ | $4.9832 \mathrm{e}-8$ | 3.9665 |
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