

A SIMPLE WAY CONSTRUCTING SYMPLECTIC RUNGE-KUTTA METHODS*

Geng Sun

(Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, China)

Abstract

With the help of symplecticity conditions of Partitioned Runge-Kutta methods, a simple way constructing symplectic methods is derived. Examples including several classes of high order symplectic Runge-Kutta methods are given, and showed up the relationship between existing high order Runge-Kutta methods.

Key words: Symplecticity condition, Partitioned Runge-Kutta method.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let Ω be a domain in the oriented Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{2d} of point $(p, q) = ((p_1, \dots, p_d)^T, (q_1, \dots, q_d)^T)$. If $H(p, q)$ is a sufficiently smooth real function defined in Ω , then the Hamiltonian system of differential equations with Hamiltonian $H(p, q)$ is given by

$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} =: f_i(p, q), \quad \frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} =: g_i(p, q), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d. \quad (1.1)$$

The integer d is called the number of degrees of freedom and Ω is the phase space. Here we assume that all Hamiltonians considered are autonomous, i.e., time- independent.

Definition 1.1. *A one-step method is called symplectic if, as applied to the Hamiltonian system (1.1), the underlying formula generating numerical solutions (p^{n+1}, q^{n+1}) is a symplectic transformation, that is,*

$$\frac{\partial(p^{n+1}, q^{n+1})^T}{\partial(p^n, q^n)} J \frac{\partial(p^{n+1}, q^{n+1})}{\partial(p^n, q^n)} = J, \quad \forall(p^n, q^n) \in \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

Where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -I_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the standard symplectic matrix.

Definition 1.2. *One step of an s -stage Partitioned Runge-Kutta (PRK) method with stepsize h and initial values (p^n, q^n) applied to (1.1) reads*

$$P_i = p^n + h \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} F_j(P_j, Q_j), \quad Q_i = q^n + h \sum_{j=1}^s \bar{a}_{ij} G_j(P_j, Q_j), \quad (1.3a)$$

* Received October 16, 1996.

$$p^{n+1} = p^n + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i F_i(P_i, Q_i), \quad q^{n+1} = q^n + h \sum_{i=1}^s \bar{b}_i G_i(P_i, Q_i), \quad (1.3b)$$

Where a_{ij}, b_i and \bar{a}_{ij}, \bar{b}_i represent two different Runge-Kutta schemes, $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)^T$, $G = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d)^T$.

Definition 1.3. The local error of a PRK method (1.3) is defined by

$$\delta_{p_h}(t_n) = p^{n+1} - p(t_n + h), \quad \delta_{q_h}(t_n) = q^{n+1} - q(t_n + h)$$

Where $(p(t), q(t))$ is the exact solutions of (1.1) possing through (p^n, q^n) at t_n .

By definition 1.1, an s -stage symplectic PRK method can be characterized as follows:^{[7],[9],[12]}

Theorem 1.4. If the coefficients of an s -stage PRK method (1.3) satisfy the relation

$$b_i = \bar{b}_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, s \quad (1.4a)$$

$$b_i \bar{a}_{ij} + \bar{b}_j a_{ji} - b_i \bar{b}_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, s, \quad (1.4b)$$

then the PRK method is symplectic.

Remark 1. Symplectic Runge-Kutta methods are a special case of symplectic PRK methods with coefficients $\bar{a}_{ij} = a_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, s$.

Starting from a known s -stage RK method with $b_i \neq 0 (i = 1, \dots, s)$, an s -stage symplectic PRK method can be defined uniquely as follows:^[9]

Theorem 1.5. Suppose that an s -stage RK method with coefficients $a_{ij}, b_i \neq 0$ and distinct c_i , satisfies the following simplifying assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} B(p) : \sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i^{k-1} &= \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ C(\eta) : \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} c_j^{k-1} &= \frac{c_i^k}{k} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, s, k = 1, \dots, \eta, \\ D(\zeta) : \sum_{i=1}^s b_i c_i^{k-1} a_{ij} &= \frac{b_j}{k} (1 - c_j^k) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, s, k = 1, \dots, \zeta, \end{aligned}$$

then the s -stage PRK method with coefficients $a_{ij}, \bar{b}_i = b_i, \bar{c}_i = c_i$ and $\bar{a}_{ij} = b_j (1 - a_{ji}/b_i)$ is symplectic and satisfies

$$\delta_{p_h}(t_n) = O(h^{r+1}), \quad \delta_{q_h}(t_n) = O(h^{r+1}),$$

i.e., at least, order $r = \min(p, 2\eta + 2, 2\zeta + 2, \eta + \zeta + 1)$.

Remark 2. By using the W -transformation of Hairer and Wanner^[5] it can be shown that the RK method with coefficients $\bar{a}_{ij} = b_j (1 - a_{ji}/b_i), b_i$ and c_i satisfies $B(p), C(\zeta)$ and $D(\eta)$.

Remark 3. Moreover, suppose that the stability functions of RK methods with coefficients a_{ij} , $\bar{b}_i = b_i$, $\bar{c}_i = c_i$ and $\bar{a}_{ij} = b_j(1 - a_{ji}/b_i)$ are respectively $R(Z)$ and $\bar{R}(Z)$, if, the corresponding symplectic PRK method applied to a specially linear Hamiltonian system (referred to as a test equations of linear Hamiltonian systems)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dp}{dt} = -\lambda p \\ \frac{dq}{dt} = \lambda q, \end{cases}$$

then symplecticity conditions (1.2) become

$$R(-Z)\bar{R}(Z) = 1. \quad (1.5)$$

In particular with a symmetric RK method, then $R(Z) = \bar{R}(Z)$, with an L -stable RK method, then the other is not A -stable, and with $\bar{a}_{ij} = a_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, s$) then (1.5)^[4] is symplecticity condition for linear Hamiltonian systems.

Go a step further, let $a_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + b_j - b_j a_{ji}/b_i)$, by Remarks 2 and Butcher's order theorem^[2], we immediately obtain:

Theorem 1.6. *The s -stage RK method with coefficients $a_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ij})$, $b_i^* = b_i$ and $c_j^* = c_i$ is symplectic, and at least satisfies $B(p)$, $C(\xi)$ and $D(\xi)$, i.e., order $r = \min(p, 2\xi + 1)$, where $\xi = \min(\eta, \zeta)$.*

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & b_i a_{ij}^* + b_j a_{ji}^* - b_i b_j \\ &= \frac{1}{2} b_i (a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ij}) + \frac{1}{2} b_j (a_{ji} + \bar{a}_{ji}) - b_i b_j \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{(b_i \bar{a}_{ij} + b_j a_{ji} - b_i b_j) + (b_i a_{ij} + b_j \bar{a}_{ji} - b_i b_j)\} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, s, \end{aligned}$$

then the s -stage RK method is symplectic, the rest of this Theorem is a direct consequence of remarks 2 and Butcher's order Theorem^[2].

Remark 4. Suppose that an s -stage RK method with coefficients (a_{ij}, b_i, c_i) satisfies $b_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$), then the s -stage symplectic RK method generated by coefficients $\left(a_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2} \left(a_{ij} + b_j - \frac{b_j a_{ji}}{b_i}\right), b_i, c_i\right)$ is algebraic stable.

It can be seen from Theorem 1.6. that starting from a known high order RK method with $b_i \neq 0$, in order to obtain the high order symplectic RK method, values η and ζ in $C(\eta)$ and $D(\zeta)$ must be very close, that is $\eta \sim \zeta$.

In next section, by Theorem 1.5. and Theorem 1.6., high order symplectic Runge-Kutta methods can be derived very easily starting from known high order RK methods, and Examples given will show up the relationship between existing high order Runge-Kutta methods.

2. Construction of Symplectic RK Methods

In this Section examples of constructing several classes of high order symplectic Runge-Kutta methods are given, and will show up the relationship between existing high order RK methods.

Starting from an s -stage known high order symplectic PRK method (or an s -stage known high order RK method with coefficients $(a_{ij}, b_i \neq 0, c_i)$, satisfying $B(p), C(\eta)$ and $D(\zeta)$, by Theorem 1.5. an s -stage symplectic PRK method is uniquely defined, here the RK method with coefficients $(\bar{a}_{ij} = b_j(1 - a_{ji}/b_i), \bar{b}_i = b_i, \bar{c}_i = c_i)$, satisfies $(B(p), C(\zeta), D(\eta))$, and then let $a_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ij}), b_i^* = b$ and $c_i^* = c_i$, by Theorem 1.6., then the s -stage RK method with coefficients (a_{ij}^*, b_i^*, c_i^*) is symplectic and, at least, satisfies $B(p), C(\xi)$ and $D(\xi)$, i.e., order $r = \min(p, 2\xi + 1), \xi = \min(\zeta, \eta)$.

Example 1. For the s -stage symplectic PRK method Lobatto IIIA-IIIB with coefficients $(A, b, c) - (\bar{A}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$, satisfying $(B(2s-2), c(s), D(s-2)) - (B(2s-2), C(s-2), D(s))$, (see [9]) let $a_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ij})$ (i.e., $A^* = \frac{1}{2}(A + \bar{A})$), by Theorem 1.6., then the s -stage RK method with coefficients (A^*, b, c) is symplectic and satisfies $(B(2s-2), C(s-2), D(s-2))$. In fact, that is Lobatto IIIS method with the special case $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ (see [3]). For example, its members with 2,3 and 4-stage are generated as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \end{array},$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{5}{24} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{24} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \end{array} \right| \text{ and } \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} & 0 \end{array} \right| \implies \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & 0 \\ \frac{3}{16} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{48} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{9}{12} & \frac{1}{12} \end{array} \right|$$

$$\text{and} \quad \left| \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{11+\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{25-\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{25-13\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{120} \\ \frac{11-\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{25+13\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{25+\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{-1-\sqrt{5}}{120} \\ \frac{1}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{12} \\ \hline \frac{1}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{12} \end{array} \right| \text{ and } \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{1}{12} & \frac{-1-\sqrt{5}}{24} & \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{24} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{12} & \frac{25+\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{25-13\sqrt{5}}{120} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{12} & \frac{25+13\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{25-\sqrt{5}}{120} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{12} & \frac{11-\sqrt{5}}{24} & \frac{11+\sqrt{5}}{24} & 0 \\ \hline \frac{1}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{12} \end{array} \right|$$

⇒ $\left| \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{1}{24} & \frac{-1-\sqrt{5}}{48} & \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{48} & 0 \\ \frac{21+\sqrt{5}}{240} & \frac{5}{24} & \frac{25-13\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{240} \\ \frac{21-\sqrt{5}}{240} & \frac{25+13\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{5}{24} & \frac{-1-\sqrt{5}}{240} \\ \frac{1}{12} & \frac{21-\sqrt{5}}{48} & \frac{21+\sqrt{5}}{48} & \frac{1}{24} \\ \hline \frac{1}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{12} \end{array} \right|$

Since s -stage Lobatto IIIA (or IIIB) method is symmetric, by Remarks 3, then their stability function is all the same.

Example 2. For s -stage symplectic PRK method Lobatto IIIIC-III \bar{C} (referred to as III-process by Butcher [1]) with coefficients $(A, b, c) - (\bar{A}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$, all satisfying $(B(2s - 2), C(s - 1), D(s - 1))$, (see [11]) let $a_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ij})$, by Theorem 1.6. then the s -stage RK method with coefficients (A^*, b, c) is symplectic and, satisfies $(B(2s - 2), C(s - 1), D(s - 1))$. In fact, that is Lobatto III E method (see [6], [3]). For example, its members with 2,3 and 4-stage are generated as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ \hline \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array},$$

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} \frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{12} & \\ \hline \frac{5}{24} & \frac{4}{12} & -\frac{1}{24} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{12} & \frac{5}{6} & \frac{1}{12} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & \end{array}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc} \frac{1}{12} & -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{12} & \frac{\sqrt{5}}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{10-7\sqrt{5}}{60} & \frac{\sqrt{5}}{60} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{12} & \frac{10+7\sqrt{5}}{60} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{-\sqrt{5}}{60} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{60} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{15-7\sqrt{5}}{60} & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{60} & \frac{15+7\sqrt{5}}{60} & \frac{1}{6} & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{12} & \frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{12} & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{1}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc} \frac{1}{24} & -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{24} & \frac{\sqrt{5}}{24} & -\frac{1}{24} & \\ \hline \frac{10+\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{5}{24} & \frac{25-14\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{\sqrt{5}}{120} & \\ \hline \frac{10-\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{25+14\sqrt{5}}{120} & \frac{5}{24} & -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{120} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{8} & \frac{10-\sqrt{5}}{24} & \frac{10+\sqrt{5}}{24} & \frac{1}{24} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \end{array}.$$

Since s -stage Lobatto IIIIC method is L -stable, by Remarks 3, the s -stage Lobatto III \bar{C} method (III-process) is not A -stable.

Example 3. Starting from s -stage Lobatto X method with transformation matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\xi_1 & & & \\ \xi_1 & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & -\xi_{s-2} & \\ & & & \xi_{s-2} & 0 & -\xi_{s-1}\sigma_1 \\ & & & & \xi_{s-1}\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \neq \frac{1}{b^T P_{s-1}^2(C)}$ (see [10]), by Theorem 1.5. and Theorem 1.6., such method leads to symmetric and symplectic s -stage Lobatto IIIS method satisfying $(B(2s-2), C(s-2), D(s-2))$ (see[3]).

Remark 5. It can be seen from Example 3 that the starting scheme of generating s -stage Lobatto IIIS method is not unique. For example, all taking $\sigma_1 = 0, \sigma_2 =$ arbitrary real parameter and $\sigma_2 \neq \sigma_1 \neq 0$ lead to the s -stage Lobatto IIIS method.

Example 4. For s -stage symplectic PRK method Radau $IA - I\bar{A}$ (referred to as I -process by Butcher [1]) with coefficients $(A, b, c) - (\bar{A}, b, c)$, satisfying $(B(2s-1), C(s-1), D(s)) - (B(2s-1), C(s), D(s-1))$ (see [11]), let $a_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ij})$, by Theorem 1.6., then the s -stage RK method with coefficients (A^*, b, c) is symplectic and satisfies $(B(2s-1), C(s-1), D(s-1))$, i.e., what is called s -stage Radau IB method (see [8]).

For example, its members with 1,2 and 3-stage are generated as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline 1 & 1 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \\ \hline & 1 \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c|c} \frac{1}{2} & \\ \hline & 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{4} & \frac{5}{12} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} \frac{1}{8} & -\frac{1}{8} & \\ \hline \frac{7}{24} & \frac{3}{8} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & \end{array}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} \frac{1}{9} & \frac{-(1+\sqrt{6})}{18} & \frac{-1+\sqrt{6}}{18} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{9} & \frac{88+7\sqrt{6}}{360} & \frac{88-43\sqrt{6}}{360} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{9} & \frac{88+43\sqrt{6}}{360} & \frac{88-7\sqrt{6}}{360} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{9} & \frac{16+\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{16-\sqrt{6}}{36} & \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{9+\sqrt{6}}{75} & \frac{24+\sqrt{6}}{120} & \frac{168-73\sqrt{6}}{600} & \\ \hline \frac{9-\sqrt{6}}{75} & \frac{168+73\sqrt{6}}{600} & \frac{24-\sqrt{6}}{120} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{9} & \frac{16+\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{16-\sqrt{6}}{36} & \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} \frac{1}{18} & \frac{-1-\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{-1+\sqrt{6}}{36} & \\ \hline \frac{52+3\sqrt{6}}{450} & \frac{16+\sqrt{6}}{72} & \frac{472-217\sqrt{6}}{1800} & \\ \hline \frac{52-3\sqrt{6}}{450} & \frac{472+217\sqrt{6}}{1800} & \frac{16-\sqrt{6}}{72} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{9} & \frac{16+\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{16-\sqrt{6}}{36} & \end{array}$$

Since s -stage Radau IA method is L -stable, by Remarks 3, the s -stage Radau $I\bar{A}$ method (I -process) is not A -stable.

Example 5. For s -stage symplectic PRK method Radau $IIA - II\bar{A}$ (referred to as II -process by Butcher [1]) with coefficients $(A, b, c) - (\bar{A}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$, satisfying $(B(2s - 1), C(s), D(s - 1)) - (B(2s - 1), C(s - 1), D(s))$ (see [11]), let $a_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ij})$, by Theorem 1.6., then the s -stage RK method with coefficients (A^*, b, c) is symplectic and satisfies $(B(2s - 1), C(s - 1), D(s - 1))$, i.e., what is called the s -stage Radau IIB method (see [8]). For example, its members with 1, 2 and 3-stage are generated as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline & 1 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \\ \hline & 1 \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c|c} \frac{1}{2} & \\ \hline & 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \frac{5}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & \\ \hline \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \\ \hline \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \\ \hline 1 & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} \frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{24} & \\ \hline \frac{7}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \\ \hline \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \end{array}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} \frac{88-7\sqrt{6}}{360} & \frac{296-169\sqrt{6}}{1800} & \frac{-2+3\sqrt{6}}{225} & \\ \hline \frac{296+169\sqrt{6}}{1800} & \frac{88+7\sqrt{6}}{360} & \frac{-(2+3\sqrt{6})}{225} & \\ \hline \frac{16-\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{16+\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{1}{9} & \\ \hline \frac{16-\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{16+\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{1}{9} & \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} \frac{24-\sqrt{6}}{120} & \frac{14-11\sqrt{6}}{120} & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{24+11\sqrt{6}}{120} & \frac{24+\sqrt{6}}{120} & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{6-\sqrt{6}}{12} & \frac{6+\sqrt{6}}{12} & 0 & \\ \hline \frac{16-\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{16+\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{1}{9} & \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{c|ccc} \frac{16-\sqrt{6}}{72} & \frac{328-167\sqrt{6}}{1800} & \frac{-2+3\sqrt{6}}{450} & \\ \hline \frac{328+167\sqrt{6}}{1800} & \frac{16+\sqrt{6}}{72} & \frac{-2-3\sqrt{6}}{450} & \\ \hline \frac{85-10\sqrt{6}}{180} & \frac{85+10\sqrt{6}}{180} & \frac{1}{18} & \\ \hline \frac{16-\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{16+\sqrt{6}}{36} & \frac{1}{9} & \end{array}.$$

In the same reason as example 4, the s -stage Radau $II\bar{A}$ method (II -process) is not A -stable.

Example 6. For the s -stage PRK method Gauss $IA - I\bar{A}$ with coefficients $(A, b, c) - (\bar{A}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$, all satisfying $(B(2s), C(s - 1), D(s - 1))$ (see [11]), let $a_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ij})$, by Theorem 1.6., then the s -stage RK method with coefficients (A^*, b, c) is symplectic and, at least, satisfies $(B(2s), C(s - 1), D(s - 1))$. In fact, that is s -stage Gauss method with order $2s$. For example its members with 2 and 3-stage are generated as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \frac{1\pm 2\sigma}{4} & \frac{1\mp 2\sigma}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} & \\ \hline \frac{1\mp 2\sigma}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} & \frac{1\pm 2\sigma}{4} & \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \end{array} = \frac{a_{ij}}{\bar{a}_{ij}} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} (a_{ij})(\text{or } (\bar{a}_{ij})) \text{ with } \sigma = 0, \\ \text{i.e., Gauss method with order 4.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{5\pm 8\sigma}{36} & \frac{2\mp 4\sigma}{9} - \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & \frac{5\pm 8\sigma}{36} - \frac{\sqrt{15}}{30} \\ \frac{5\mp 10\sigma}{36} + \frac{\sqrt{15}}{24} & \frac{2\pm 5\sigma}{9} & \frac{5\mp 10\sigma}{36} - \frac{\sqrt{15}}{24} \\ \frac{5\pm 8\sigma}{36} + \frac{\sqrt{15}}{30} & \frac{2\mp 4\sigma}{9} + \frac{\sqrt{15}}{15} & \frac{5\pm 8\sigma}{36} \\ \hline \frac{5}{18} & \frac{4}{9} & \frac{5}{18} \end{array} \right| = \frac{a_{ij}}{\bar{a}_{ij}}, \quad \sigma > 0$$

$\implies (a_{ij})$ (or (\bar{a}_{ij})) with $\sigma = 0$, i.e., Gauss method with order 6.

References

- 1 J.C. Butcher, Implicit Runge-Kutta processes, *Math. Comput.*, **18** (1964), 50–64.
- 2 J.C. Butcher, The Numerical Analysis of Ordinary Differential Equations, John Wiley, Chichester, 1987.
- 3 R.P.K. Chan, On symmetric Runge-Kutta method of high order, *Computing*, **45** (1990), 301–309.
- 4 K. Feng, H.M. Wu, M.Z. Qin, Symplectic difference schemes for linear Hamiltonian canonical systems, *J. Comput. Math.*, **8**:4 (1990), 371–380.
- 5 E. Hairer, G. Wanner, Solving Ordinary Differential Equations II, Stiff and Differential-Algebraic Problems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- 6 S.P. Nørsett, G. Wanner, Perturbed collocation and Runge-Kutta methods, *Number. Math.*, **38** (1981), 193–208.
- 7 J.M. Sanz-Serna, Symplectic integrators for Hamiltonian problems, an overview, *Acta Numerica*, **1** (1992), 243–286.
- 8 Sun Geng, Construction of high order symplectic Runge-Kutta methods, *J. Comput. Math.*, **11**:3 (1993), 250–260.
- 9 Sun Geng, Symplectic Partitioned Runge-Kutta method, *J. Comput. Math.*, **11**:4 (1993), 365–372.
- 10 Sun Geng, Characterization and Construction of linear Symplectic RK methods, *J. Comput. Math.*, **12**:2 (1994), 101–112.
- 11 Sun Geng, Construction of high order symplectic PRK methods, *J. Comput. Math.*, **13**:1 (1995), 40–50.
- 12 Y. B.Suris, Hamiltonian Runge-Kutta type methods and their variational formulation, *Mathematical Simulation*, **2** (1990), 78–87.