

# ESTIMATION OF THE SEPARATION OF TWO MATRICES (II)\*

SUN JI-GUANG (孙继广)

*(Computing Center, Academia Sinica, Beijing, China)*

## Abstract

In this paper we give a lower bound of the separation  $\text{sep}_F(A, B)$  of two diagonalizable matrices  $A$  and  $B$ . The key to finding the lower bound of  $\text{sep}_F(A, B)$  is to find an upper bound for the condition number  $\kappa(Q)$  of a transformation matrix  $Q$  which transforms a diagonalizable matrix  $A$  to a diagonal form. The obtained lower bound of  $\text{sep}_F(A, B)$  involves the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $B$  as well as the departures from normality  $\Delta_F(A)$  and  $\Delta_F(B)$ .

This is a continuation of [6]. In addition to the notation explained in [6] we use  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for the  $n$ -dimensional column vector space, and  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$  for the column space of a matrix  $X$ .  $\oplus$  denotes the direct sum of subspaces, and  $\mathcal{X}^\perp$  the orthogonal complement of a subspace  $\mathcal{X}$ . Besides,  $X^H$  stand for conjugate transpose of  $X$ .

## § 4. An Upper Bound for the Spectral Condition Number of a Diagonalizable Matrix

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be diagonalizable matrices with the eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}$  and  $\{\mu_i\}$  respectively,  $Q_A$  and  $Q_B$  be transformation matrices which transform  $A$  and  $B$  to diagonal forms. It is proved that if we set

$$\delta(A, B) = \min_{i,j} |\lambda_i - \mu_j| \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\kappa(Q) = \|Q\|_2 \|Q^{-1}\|_2, \quad (4.2)$$

then<sup>[5, 6]</sup>

$$\frac{\delta(A, B)}{\kappa(Q_A)\kappa(Q_B)} \leq \text{sep}_F(A, B) \leq \delta(A, B). \quad (4.3)$$

Therefore, estimation of a lower bound for the separation  $\text{sep}_F(A, B)$  is reduced to estimations of upper bounds for the condition numbers  $\kappa(Q_A)$  and  $\kappa(Q_B)$ .

In this section we use the characteristic of a diagonalizable matrix  $A$  to give an upper bound for the spectral condition number  $\inf_Q \kappa(Q)$  of  $A$ , here the inf taking over all  $Q$  which similarity transforms  $A$  to a diagonal form.

For a nonsingular matrix  $Q$ , we set

$$K(Q) = \|Q\|_F \|Q^{-1}\|_F. \quad (4.4)$$

The following lemma delineates the relation between the  $K(Q)$  and  $\kappa(Q)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose that  $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is nonsingular. Then*

\* Received February 17, 1984.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{K(Q) - m + \sqrt{K^2(Q) - m^2}}{m} &\leqslant \kappa(Q) \\ &\leqslant 1 + \frac{K(Q) - m + \sqrt{[K(Q) - m + 2]^2 - 4}}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

*Proof.* Let  $K = K(Q)$  and  $\kappa = \kappa(Q)$ . By Theorem 1 of [4],

$$m - 2 + \kappa + \kappa^{-1} \leqslant K \leqslant \frac{1}{2} m (\kappa + \kappa^{-1}). \quad (4.6)$$

Combining  $\kappa + \kappa^{-1} \geqslant 2$  and the first inequality of (4.6), we get  $K \geqslant m$ . From the second inequality of (4.6),

$$0 < \kappa \leqslant 1 - \frac{\sqrt{K^2 - m^2} - (K - m)}{m}, \quad \kappa \geqslant 1 + \frac{K - m + \sqrt{K^2 - m^2}}{m}; \quad (4.7)$$

and from the first inequality of (4.6),

$$1 - \frac{\sqrt{(K - m + 2)^2 - 4} - (K - m)}{2} \leqslant \kappa \leqslant 1 + \frac{K - m + \sqrt{(K - m + 2)^2 - 4}}{2}. \quad (4.8)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{K - m + \sqrt{K^2 - m^2}}{m} &\leqslant \frac{K - m + \sqrt{(K - m + 2)^2 - 4}}{2}, \\ 0 &\leqslant \frac{\sqrt{K^2 - m^2} - (K - m)}{m} \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{(K - m + 2)^2 - 4} - (K - m)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\sqrt{K^2 - m^2} - (K - m)}{m} = 0 \quad \text{iff } K = m \quad \text{iff } \kappa = 1,$$

hence, from (4.7) and (4.8) we obtain the inequalities (4.5) at once. ■

Now we cite a theorem proved by Elsner<sup>[2]</sup>, which is a generalization of a result due to Smith<sup>[4]</sup>.

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  with different eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$  of multiplicities  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  respectively. Let  $\mathbb{C}^m = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{X}_r$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_i$  be the invariant subspace of  $A$  corresponding to the  $\lambda_i$  with  $\dim(\mathcal{X}_i) = m_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . If we set  $\mathcal{Y}_i = \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathcal{X}_j^\perp$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , and

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q = (Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_r) : \mathfrak{R}(Q_i) = \mathcal{X}_i, i = 1, \dots, r\},$$

then

$$\min_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} K(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^{(j)}}, \quad (4.9)$$

where  $\{\sigma_i^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{m_i}$  are the singular values of  $P_i^H Q_i$  in which the  $P_i$  and  $Q_i$  satisfy  $\mathfrak{R}(P_i) = \mathcal{Y}_i$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}(Q_i) = \mathcal{X}_i$ , and

$$P_i^H P_i = Q_i^H Q_i = I^{(m_i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

The Schur decomposition of any diagonalizable matrix has an important characteristic clarified by the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times m$  diagonalizable matrix with Schur decomposition

$$U^H A U = A + M \equiv T, \quad (4.10)$$

where  $U$  is a unitary matrix,  $M$  is a strictly upper triangular matrix (i.e.,  $M$  is an upper triangular matrix with zeros on its diagonal) and

$$A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 I^{(m_1)}, \lambda_2 I^{(m_2)}, \dots, \lambda_r I^{(m_r)}), \quad \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j (i \neq j). \quad (4.11)$$

Then

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_{12} & M_{13} & \cdots & M_{1r} \\ & 0 & M_{23} & \cdots & M_{2r} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & M_{r-1,r} \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_i \times m_j}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq r. \quad (4.12)$$

The proof of Lemma 4.2 can be found in [1] ([1], Theorem 2) and [3] ([3], Lemma 2).

Let  $x, z$  be right and left eigenvectors of a matrix  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ , i.e.,

$$Ax = \lambda_i x, \quad z^H A = \lambda_i z^H. \quad (4.13)$$

It is well known that if  $\lambda_i$  is a simple eigenvalue then  $x, z$  are unique, except for a scalar multiple, and the condition number

$$|S_i|^{-1} = \frac{\|x\|_2 \cdot \|z\|_2}{|z^H x|} \quad (4.14)$$

is uniquely determined ([7], 68—69).

Smith<sup>[4]</sup> has given the following estimation for  $|S_i|^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $A$  be an  $m \times m$  matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ . If  $\lambda_i$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $A$ , then*

$$|S_i|^{-1} \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{m-1} \left( \frac{\Delta_F(A)}{\min_{j \neq i} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$\Delta_F(A) = \sqrt{\|A\|_F^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m |\lambda_i|^2}.$$

Let  $A$  be a diagonalizable matrix. Utilizing Theorems 4.1—4.2 and Lemma 4.2 we shall find an upper bound of  $K(Q)$  for a suitable transformation matrix  $Q$  of the  $A$ , and then utilizing Lemma 4.1 we obtain an upper bound of  $\kappa(Q)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be an  $m \times m$  diagonalizable matrix with different eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$  of multiplicities  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  respectively. Then there is a nonsingular matrix  $Q$  satisfying*

$$Q^{-1}AQ = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 I^{(m_1)}, \lambda_2 I^{(m_2)}, \dots, \lambda_r I^{(m_r)}) \quad (4.16)$$

such that

$$K(Q) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{m_i} \left\{ m_i - 1 + \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{r-1} \left( \frac{\Delta_F(A)}{\min_{j \neq i} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|} \right)^2 \right]^{r-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \equiv K_A \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$\kappa(Q) \leq 1 + \frac{K_A - m + \sqrt{(K_A - m + 2)^2 - 4}}{2} \equiv \kappa_A, \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$\Delta_F(A) = \sqrt{\|A\|_F^2 - \sum_{i=1}^r m_i |\lambda_i|^2} = \|M\|_F$$

is the departure from normality of  $A$ , and  $M$  is the strictly upper triangular matrix of the Schur decomposition of  $A$  (see (4.12)).

*Proof.* 1° We notice that if  $X_i, Y_i \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m_i}$ ,  $X_i^H X_i = Y_i^H Y_i = I^{(m)}$  and

$$AX_i = \lambda_i X_i, \quad Y_i^H A = \lambda_i Y_i^H, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r, \quad (4.19)$$

then there is a nonsingular  $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  satisfying (4.16) such that

$$K(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^r \text{tr} [(Y_i^H X_i X_i^H Y_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}]. \quad (4.20)$$

Here  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$  denotes the positive definite square root for a positive definite matrix  $H$ .

This conclusion can be proved as follows.

Let  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r)$ . The matrix  $X$  must be nonsingular. In fact, if  $X$  is singular, i.e., there exists a nonzero vector  $u = (u_1^T, u_2^T, \dots, u_r^T)^T \in \mathbb{C}^m$  with  $u_i \in \mathbb{C}^{m_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) such that  $Xu = 0$ , then from (4.19),

$$\begin{pmatrix} I^{(m)} & I^{(m)} & \dots & I^{(m)} \\ \lambda_1 I^{(m)} & \lambda_2 I^{(m)} & \dots & \lambda_r I^{(m)} \\ \lambda_1^2 I^{(m)} & \lambda_2^2 I^{(m)} & \dots & \lambda_r^2 I^{(m)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{r-1} I^{(m)} & \lambda_2^{r-1} I^{(m)} & \dots & \lambda_r^{r-1} I^{(m)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 u_1 \\ X_2 u_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_r u_r \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

From this we get  $X_i u_i = 0$  and then  $u_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . But this contradicts that  $u$  is a nonzero vector. Hence  $X$  is nonsingular. With the same argument we can prove that the  $Y$  is also nonsingular.

Let  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 I^{(m_1)}, \lambda_2 I^{(m_2)}, \dots, \lambda_r I^{(m_r)})$ . From (4.19),

$$AX = X\Lambda, \quad Y^H A = \Lambda Y^H.$$

Thus we have

$$Y^H X \Lambda = Y^H A X = \Lambda Y^H X.$$

Utilizing  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ) we get  $Y_i^H X_j = 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ).

Consequently, if we set  $\mathcal{X}_i = \mathfrak{R}(X_i)$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_i = \mathfrak{R}(Y_i)$ , then  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is the invariant subspace of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  with  $\dim(\mathcal{X}_i) = m_i$ , and

$$\mathcal{Y}_i = \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathcal{X}_j^\perp, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 4.1 there is a nonsingular matrix  $Q$  satisfying (4.16) such that the equality (4.20) is valid.

2° Observe that if  $X_i, Y_i \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m_i}$ ,  $X_i^H X_i = Y_i^H Y_i = I^{(m)}$  and for the Schur upper triangular form  $T$  (see (4.10))

$$TX_i = \lambda_i X_i, \quad Y_i^H T = \lambda_i Y_i^H,$$

then  $A(U X_i) = \lambda_i (U X_i)$ ,  $(U Y_i)^H A = \lambda_i (U Y_i)^H$

and  $\text{tr}\{[(U Y_i)^H (U X_i) (U X_i)^H (U Y_i)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\} = \text{tr}[(Y_i^H X_i X_i^H Y_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$ .

Hence, without loss of generality we can assume that  $A = T$ , i.e.,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 I^{(m_1)} & M_{12} & M_{13} & \cdots & M_{1r} \\ & \lambda_2 I^{(m_2)} & M_{23} & \cdots & M_{2r} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & M_{r-1,r} \\ 0 & & & & \lambda_r I^{(m_r)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.21)$$

3° For  $i=1$ , we take  $X_1 = (I^{(m_1)}, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . Obviously,  $AX_1 = \lambda_1 X_1$ . Then we take

$$Z_1 = (I^{(m_1)}, Z_{12}, \dots, Z_{1r})^H \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m_1}$$

with  $Z_{1j} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_1 \times m_j}$  ( $2 \leq j \leq r$ ) satisfying

$$Z_1^H A = \lambda_1 Z_1^H. \quad (4.22)$$

From (4.22), we have

$$Z_{1j} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_j} (M_{1j} + Z_{12} M_{2j} + \cdots + Z_{1,j-1} M_{j-1,j}), \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, r. \quad (4.23)$$

Let

$$\alpha_j = |\lambda_1 - \lambda_j|, \quad 2 \leq j \leq r; \quad \mu_{ij} = \|M_{ij}\|_F, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq r; \quad (4.24)$$

$$\zeta_j = \frac{1}{\alpha_j} (\mu_{1j} + \zeta_2 \mu_{2j} + \cdots + \zeta_{j-1} \mu_{j-1,j}), \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, r \quad (4.25)$$

and

$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_{12} & \mu_{13} & \cdots & \mu_{1r} \\ -\alpha_2 & \mu_{23} & \cdots & \mu_{2r} & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \mu_{r-1,r} \\ 0 & & & & -\alpha_r \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.26)$$

Obviously,  $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r > 0$ , and  $x = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{C}^r$  is a unity right eigenvector of  $\hat{A}$  corresponding to the simple eigenvalue zero. It is easy to verify that  $z = (1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_r)^H$  is a left eigenvector of  $\hat{A}$  corresponding to the simple eigenvalue zero, here  $\zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_r$  are defined by (4.25). By (4.14),

$$|s_1|^{-1} = \|z\|_2 = (1 + \zeta_2^2 + \cdots + \zeta_r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.27)$$

But according to Theorem 4.2, we have

$$|s_1|^{-1} \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{r-1} \left( \frac{\Delta_F(\hat{A})}{\min_{2 \leq j \leq r} \alpha_j} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{r-1}{2}}, \quad (4.28)$$

where

$$\Delta_F(\hat{A}) = \sqrt{\|\hat{A}\|_F^2 - \sum_{j=2}^r \alpha_j^2}.$$

Comparing the equality (4.27) with the inequality (4.28), we get

$$1 + \zeta_2^2 + \cdots + \zeta_r^2 \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{r-1} \left( \frac{\Delta_F(\hat{A})}{\min_{2 \leq j \leq r} \alpha_j} \right)^2 \right\}^{r-1}. \quad (4.29)$$

Observe that

$$\|Z_1\|_F^2 = m_1 + \|Z_{12}\|_F^2 + \cdots + \|Z_{1r}\|_F^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z_{1j}\|_F &\leq \frac{1}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_j|} (\|M_{1j}\|_F + \|Z_{12}\|_F \|M_{2j}\|_F + \cdots + \|Z_{1,j-1}\|_F \|M_{j-1,j}\|_F) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha_j} (\mu_{1j} + \zeta_2 \mu_{2j} + \cdots + \zeta_{j-1} \mu_{j-1,j}) = \zeta_j, \quad j=2, 3, \dots, r, \end{aligned}$$

hence from (4.29) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z_1\|_F^2 &\leq m_1 - 1 + (1 + \zeta_2^2 + \cdots + \zeta_r^2) \\ &\leq m_1 - 1 + \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{r-1} \left( \frac{\Delta_F(\hat{A})}{\min_{j \neq 1} |\lambda_1 - \lambda_j|} \right)^2 \right\}^{r-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Substituting the relation

$$\Delta_F^2(\hat{A}) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \mu_{ij}^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \|M_{ij}\|_F^2 = \Delta_F^2(A)$$

into (4.30), we obtain

$$\|Z_1\|_F \leq \left\{ m_1 - 1 + \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{r-1} \left( \frac{\Delta_F(A)}{\min_{j \neq 1} |\lambda_1 - \lambda_j|} \right)^2 \right]^{r-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.31)$$

Let  $Y_1 = Z_1 (Z_1^H Z_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Evidently,  $Y_1^H Y_1 = I^{(m_1)}$ ,  $Y_1^H A = \lambda_1 Y_1^H$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[(Y_1^H X_1 X_1^H Y_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}] &= \text{tr}\{[(Z_1^H Z_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_1^H X_1 X_1^H Z_1 (Z_1^H Z_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\} \\ &= \text{tr}[(Z_1^H Z_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}] \leq [m_1 \text{tr}(Z_1^H Z_1)]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{m_1} \|Z_1\|_F. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.31) into the right-hand of the above inequality we get

$$\text{tr}[(Y_1^H X_1 X_1^H Y_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}] \leq \sqrt{m_1} \left\{ m_1 - 1 + \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{r-1} \left( \frac{\Delta_F(A)}{\min_{j \neq 1} |\lambda_1 - \lambda_j|} \right)^2 \right]^{r-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.32)$$

4° Observe that for every natural number  $i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq r$ ) there is a corresponding unitary matrix  $U_i$  such that the scalar matrix  $\lambda_i I^{(m_i)}$  lies in the left-upper corner of the Schur upper triangular form  $T_i = U_i^H A U_i$ . Hence from the above proof we reach the following conclusion: For every  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ), there exist  $X_i$  and  $Y_i \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m_i}$  satisfying  $X_i^H X_i = Y_i^H Y_i = I^{(m_i)}$  and the relations (4.19), and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[(Y_i^H X_i X_i^H Y_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}] &\leq \sqrt{m_i} \left\{ m_i - 1 + \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\Delta_F(A)}{\min_{j \neq i} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|} \right)^2 \right]^{r-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ i &= 1, 2, \dots, r. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Substituting the inequalities (4.33) into (4.20) we obtain the estimation (4.17), and then utilizing Lemma 4.1 we get the estimation (4.18). ■

**Remark 4.1.** If all eigenvalues of  $A$  are simple (i.e.,  $r=m$  in (4.16)), then by Theorem 4.3 there is a nonsingular matrix  $Q$  satisfying

$$Q^{-1} A Q = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$$

such that

$$K(Q) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{m-1} \left( \frac{\Delta_F(A)}{\min_{j \neq i} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{m-1}{2}}. \quad (4.34)$$

This is exactly what Smith has reached conclusion in [4] (Theorem 3 and Theorem 5). Therefore Theorem 4.3 is a generalization of Smith's theorems.

**Remark 4.2.** In order to compare Theorem 4.3 with the related results of [3] and [6], we consider the case of  $r=2$  as follows.

Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  with different eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  of multiplicities  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ,  $m_1+m_2=m$ . Set  $\chi = \frac{\Delta_F(A)}{|\lambda_1-\lambda_2|}$ . By Theorem 1 of [3], there is a nonsingular matrix  $Q$  satisfying

$$Q^{-1}AQ = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 I^{(m_1)}, \lambda_2 I^{(m_2)}) \quad (4.35)$$

such that

$$\kappa(Q) \leq (1+\chi(1+\chi)^{m_1-1}\{1+\chi(1+\chi)^{m_1-1}+\dots+[\chi(1+\chi)^{m_1-1}]^{m_1-1}\})^2 \equiv J; \quad (4.36)$$

by Lemma 3.4 of [6] we have

$$\kappa(Q) \leq (1+\chi)^2 \equiv s_1; \quad (4.37)$$

by Lemma 3.5 of [6] we have

$$\kappa(Q) \leq \{1+\chi[1+\sqrt{2}\chi+\dots+(\sqrt{2}\chi)^{m_1+m_2-2}]\}^2 \equiv s_2; \quad (4.38)$$

but according to Theorem 4.3 of this paper, there is a nonsingular matrix  $Q$  satisfying (4.35) such that

$$\kappa(Q) \leq 1 + \frac{K_2 - m + \sqrt{(K_2 - m + 2)^2 - 4}}{2} \equiv s_3, \quad (4.39)$$

where

$$K_2 = \sqrt{m_1(m_1+\chi^2)} + \sqrt{m_2(m_2+\chi^2)}. \quad (4.40)$$

It follows from  $K_2 \leq m + \chi^2$  that

$$s_3 \leq 1 + \frac{\chi^2 + \chi\sqrt{\chi^2 + 4}}{2} \equiv \tilde{s}_3. \quad (4.41)$$

Comparing (4.36)–(4.41) we have

$$s_3 \leq \tilde{s}_3 \leq s_1 \leq s_2, \quad J.$$

**Remark 4.3.** According to Theorem 4.3 and the famous Bauer–Fike Theorem ([7], 87), we get the following corollary: Let  $A$  be an  $m \times m$  diagonalizable matrix with different eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$  of multiplicities  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$ , respectively. If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of a matrix  $A+E$ , then there is an eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  of  $A$  such that

$$|\lambda_i - \lambda| \leq \kappa_A \cdot \|E\|_2,$$

here  $\kappa_A$  is denoted by (4.18) and (4.17).

## § 5. Lower Bound of $\text{sep}_F(A, B)$ (III)

By the inequalities (4.3) and Theorem 4.3 we get the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times m$  diagonalizable matrix with different eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$  of multiplicities  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p$ ,  $B$  be an  $n \times n$  diagonalizable matrix with different eigenvalues  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_q$  of multiplicities  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_q$ , respectively. Let

$$\delta_i(A) = \min_{k \neq i} |\lambda_i - \lambda_k| \quad (1 \leq i \leq p),$$

$$\delta_j(B) = \min_{l \neq j} |\mu_j - \mu_l| \quad (1 \leq j \leq q),$$

$$\Delta_F(A) = \sqrt{\|A\|_F^2 - \sum_{i=1}^p m_i |\lambda_i|^2},$$

$$\Delta_F(B) = \sqrt{\|B\|_F^2 - \sum_{j=1}^q n_j |\mu_j|^2},$$

$$K_A = \sum_{i=1}^p \sqrt{m_i} \left\{ m_i - 1 + \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{p-1} \left( \frac{\Delta_F(A)}{\delta_i(A)} \right)^2 \right]^{p-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$K_B = \sum_{j=1}^q \sqrt{n_j} \left\{ n_j - 1 + \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{q-1} \left( \frac{\Delta_F(B)}{\delta_j(B)} \right)^2 \right]^{q-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\kappa_A = 1 + \frac{K_A - m + \sqrt{(K_A - m + 2)^2 - 4}}{2},$$

$$\kappa_B = 1 + \frac{K_B - n + \sqrt{(K_B - n + 2)^2 - 4}}{2}$$

and

$$\delta(A, B) = \min_{i \neq j} |\lambda_i - \mu_j|.$$

Then

$$\text{sep}_F(A, B) \geq \frac{\delta(A, B)}{\kappa_A \cdot \kappa_B}. \quad (5.1)$$

## References

- [1] E. Dollinger, Ein linear konvergentes zyklisches jacobiähnliches Verfahren für beliebige reelle Matrizen, *Numer. Math.*, **38** (1981), 245–253.
- [2] L. Elsner, Optimal block-diagonal scaling, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* (to appear)
- [3] E. Jiang, On spectral variation of a nonnormal matrix, *Linear Algebra and Appl.*, **42** (1982), 223–241.
- [4] R. A. Smith, The condition numbers of the matrix eigenvalue problem, *Numer. Math.*, **10** (1967), 232–240.
- [5] G. W. Stewart, Error and perturbation bounds for subspaces associated with certain eigenvalue problems, *SIAM Rev.*, **15** (1973), 727–764.
- [6] J. G. Sun, Estimation of the separation of two matrices, *J. Comp. Math.*, **2** (1984), 189–200
- [7] J. H. Wilkinson, *The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1965.