

## A STABILITY ANALYSIS OF THE ( $k$ ) JACOBI MATRIX INVERSE EIGENVALUE PROBLEM\*

Hou Wenyuan (侯文渊)

Jiang Erxiong(蒋尔雄)

**Abstract** *In this paper we will analyze the perturbation quality for a new algorithm of the ( $k$ ) Jacobi matrix inverse eigenvalue problem.*

**Key words** *eigenvalue, Jacobi matrix, ( $k$ ) inverse problem.*

**AMS(2000)subject classifications** 65F18

### 1 Introduction

Let

$$T_n = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & \\ & \beta_2 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & & & \beta_{n-1} & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

be an  $n \times n$  unreduced symmetric tridiagonal matrix, and denote its submatrix  $T_{p,q}$ , ( $p < q$ ) as follows

$$T_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_p & \beta_p & & & 0 \\ \beta_p & \alpha_{p+1} & \beta_{p+1} & & \\ & \beta_{p+1} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \beta_{q-1} \\ 0 & & & \beta_{q-1} & \alpha_q \end{pmatrix} \quad p < q.$$

We call an unreduced symmetric tridiagonal matrix with  $\beta_i > 0$  as a Jacobi matrix.

Consider  $T_{1,n}$  and  $T_{p,q}$  to be Jacobi matrices. The matrix

$$W_k = \begin{pmatrix} T_{1,k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{k+1,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

is obtained by deleting the  $k^{th}$  row and the  $k^{th}$  column ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) from  $T_n$ .

---

\*Received: Mar. 15, 2003.

**Problem** If we don't know the matrix  $T_{1,n}$ , but we know all eigenvalues of matrix  $T_{1,k-1}$ , all eigenvalues of matrix  $T_{k+1,n}$ , and all eigenvalues of matrix  $T_{1,n}$ , could we construct the matrix  $T_{1,n}$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S1 &= (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{k-1}), \\ S2 &= (\mu_k, \mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{n-1}), \\ \lambda &= (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \end{aligned}$$

are the eigenvalues of matrices  $T_{1,k-1}$ ,  $T_{k+1,n}$  and  $T_{1,n}$  respectively. The problem is that from above  $2n-1$  data to find other  $2n-1$  data:

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1}.$$

Obviously, when  $k = 1$  or  $k = n$  this problem has been solved and there are many algorithms to construct  $T_{1,n}$  [3][6], and its stability analysis can be founded in [2]. While  $k = 2, 3 \dots n-1$ , a new algorithm has been put forward to construct  $T_{1,n}$  [1]. In this paper we will give some stability properties of the new algorithm in case  $k = 2, 3 \dots n-1$ .

## 2 Basic Theorem

**Theorem 2.1**<sup>[1]</sup> If there is no common number between  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{k-1}$  and  $\mu_k, \mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{n-1}$ , then the necessary and sufficient condition for the  $(k)$  problem having a solution is:

$$\lambda_1 < \mu_{j_1} < \lambda_2 < \mu_{j_2} < \dots < \mu_{j_{n-1}} < \lambda_n, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mu = (\mu_{j_1}, \mu_{j_2} \dots \mu_{j_{n-1}})$ , and  $\mu_i, (i = 1, 2 \dots n-1)$  are recorded as  $\mu_{j_i}, (i = 1, 2 \dots n-1)$  such that

$$\mu_{j_1} < \mu_{j_2} < \dots < \mu_{j_{n-1}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Furthermore, if a given  $(k)$  problem has a solution, then the solution is unique.

### Algorithm 2.2

<sup>[1]</sup>

Given three vectors  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n)^T$ ,  $S1 = (\mu_1, \mu_2 \dots \mu_{k-1})^T$  and  $S2 = (\mu_k, \mu_{k+1} \dots \mu_{n-1})^T$  which are satisfied with (2.1), then we can solve  $(k)$  problem by following algorithm:

Step 1 Find  $\alpha_k$  as

$$\alpha_k = \text{trace}(T_{1,n}) - \text{trace}(W_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i. \quad (2.3)$$