

Periodic solutions for singular Liénard equations with indefinite weight

Chentong Zhao, Shiping Lu

¹School of math and statistics, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing 210044, China (Received February 12 2018, accepted July 19 2018)

Abstract In this paper, the problem of periodic solutions is studied for singular Liénard equations

 $\ddot{x}(t) + f(x(t))\dot{x}(t) + \phi(t)x^{\mu}(t) = h(t),$

where $f: (0, +\infty) \to R$ is continuous and has a singularity at the origin, μ is a positive constant. By using a continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, a new result on the existence of positive periodic solutions is obtained. The interesting thing is that the sign of weight $\varphi(t)$ is allowed to change for $t \in [0, T]$.

Keywords: Liénard equation, Continuation theorem, Periodic solution

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of positive T -periodic solutions for the equations

$$\ddot{x}(t) + f(x(t))\dot{x}(t) + \phi(t)x^{\mu}(t) = h(t), \qquad (1.1)$$

where $f \in C((0, +\infty), R)$, φ is T –Periodic function with φ in L([0, T], R), μ is a positive constant. In this equation, the function f(x) has a singularity at x = 0, i. e., $\lim_{x\to 0^+} f(x) = +\infty$. Besides this, the sign of $\varphi(t)$ is allowed to change. The equations of this type arise in modelling of important problems appearing in many physical contexts (see [1]-[5] and the references therein).

In the past years, under the conditions of $\varphi(t) \ge 0$ and $\alpha(t) \ge 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, the problem of existence of periodic solutions to the equation without friction term

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \boldsymbol{\varphi}(t)\mathbf{x}(t) - \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)}{x^{\mu}} = \mathbf{h}(t)$$

has been extensively studied by [6]-[10]. Beginning with the paper of Habets-Sanchez [11], many researchers in [12]-[15] have considered the classical Liénard equation with a singularity of repulsive type

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}}(t) + f(\mathbf{x}(t))\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \varphi(t)\mathbf{x}(t) - \frac{\alpha(t)}{x^{\mu}} = h(t).$$

In these papers, apart from the function $\varphi(t)$ satisfies $\varphi(t) \ge 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, f(x) being continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ is needed. For the recent development of this area, we refer readers to the literature [16]-[19]. But up to our knowledge, few papers have considered the case where f(x) has a singularity at x = 0, and the sign of $\varphi(t)$ is indefinite. The reason for this is that, in such situation, the equation may have no a priori estimates.

Throughout this paper, let $C_T = \{x \in C(R, R) : x(t + T) = x(t) \text{ for all } t \in R\}$ with the norm defined by $|x|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in [0,T]} |x(t)|$, and $C_T^1 = \{x \in C^1(R, R) : x(t + T) = x(t) \text{ for all } t \in R\}$ with the norm defined by $||x||_{\infty} = \max\{|x|_{\infty}, |\dot{x}|_{\infty}\}$. For any T – periodic solution y(t) with $y \in L([0, T], R)$, $y_+(t)$ and $y_-(t)$ is denoted by $\max\{y(t), 0\}$ and $\min\{y(t), 0\}$, respectively, and $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T y(s) ds$. Clearly, $y(t) = y_+(t) - y_-(t)$ for all $t \in R$, and $\bar{y} = \overline{y_+} - \overline{y_-}$.

2. Preliminary lemmas

(2.1)

Lemma 2.1. [20] Assume that there exist positive constants m_0 , m_1 and M^* with $0 < m_0 < m_1$, such that the following conditions hold.

1. For any $\lambda \in (0,1]$, each possible positive T –periodic solution μ to the equation

$$)\ddot{u}(t) + \lambda f(u(t))\dot{u}(t) + \lambda \phi(t)u^{\mu}(t) = \lambda h(t)$$

satisfies the inequalities $m_0 < u(t) < m_1$ and $|\dot{u}(t)| < M^*$, for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Published by World Academic Press, World Academic Union

holds.

2. The inequality

$$(\bar{h} - \bar{\phi}m_0^{\mu})(\bar{h} - \bar{\phi}m_1^{\mu}) < 0$$

Then, equation (1.1) has at least one T –periodic solution u such that $m_0 < u(t) < m_1$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Lemma 2.2. Let $u: [0, \omega] \rightarrow R$ be an arbitrary absolutely continuous function with $u(0) = u(\omega)$. Then the inequality

$$(\max_{[0,T]} u(t) - \min_{[0,T]} u(t))^2 \le \frac{\omega}{4} \int_4^{\omega} |\dot{u}(s)|^2 ds$$

holds.

Now, we embed equation (1.1) into the following equations family with a parameter $\lambda \in (0,1]$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \lambda f(\mathbf{x}(t))\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \lambda \varphi(t)\mathbf{x}^{\mu}(t) = \lambda h(t), \lambda \in (0,1].$$

Let

$$D = \left\{ x \in C_{T}^{1}: \ddot{x}(t) + \lambda f(x(t))\dot{x}(t) + \lambda \phi(t)x^{\mu}(t) = \lambda h(t), \lambda \in (0,1]; x(t) > 0, \forall t \in [0,T] \right\},$$

$$F(x) = \int_{1}^{x} f(s)ds, G(x) = F(x) + x^{\mu}T\overline{\phi_{-}}, x \in (0,+\infty),$$
(2.2)

where f(x) and μ are determined in (1.1).

Lemma 2.3. Assume $\overline{\phi} > 0$, then for each $u \in D$, there are constants $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in [0, T]$ such that

$$\mathbf{u}(\xi_1) \le \left(\frac{\overline{\mathbf{h}}}{\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \coloneqq \eta \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\mathbf{u}(\xi_2) \ge \left(\frac{\overline{\mathbf{h}}}{|\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \coloneqq \eta_0. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Let $u \in D$, then

$$\ddot{u}(t) + \lambda f(u(t))\dot{u}(t) + \lambda \varphi(t)u^{\mu}(t) = \lambda h(t)$$

which together with the fact of u(t) > 0 for all $t \in [0, T]$ gives

$$\frac{\ddot{u}(t)}{u^{\mu}(t)} + \frac{\lambda f(u(t))\dot{u}(t)}{u^{\mu}(t)} + \lambda \phi(t) = \lambda h(t).$$

Integrating the above equality over the interval [0, T], we obtain

$$\int_0^T \frac{\ddot{u}(t)}{u^{\mu}(t)} dt + \lambda \int_0^T \varphi(t) dt = \lambda \int_0^T \frac{h(t)}{u^{\mu}(t)} dt,$$

i. e.,

$$\int_0^T \frac{\ddot{u}(t)}{u^{\mu}(t)} dt + \lambda T \overline{\phi} = \lambda \int_0^T \frac{h(t)}{u^{\mu}(t)} dt.$$

Since the inequality

$$\int_0^T \frac{\ddot{u}(t)}{u^{\mu}(t)} dt \ge 0$$

is easily obtained by a simple integration by parts, it follows from (2.1) that

$$T\overline{\phi} \leq \int_0^T \frac{h(t)}{u^{\mu}(t)} dt = \frac{T\overline{h}}{u^{\mu}(\xi_1)}$$

By using mean value theorem of integrals, we have that there exists a point $\eta \in [0, T]$ such that

$$T\overline{\varphi} \leq \frac{Th}{u^{\mu}(\xi_1)},$$

i. e.,

JIC email for contribution: editor@jic.org.uk

224

$$u(\xi_1) \le \left(\frac{\overline{h}}{\overline{\phi}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \coloneqq \eta$$

So, inequality (2.3) holds.

Multiplying two sides of (1.1) with $u^{\mu}(t)$ and integrating it over the interval [0, T], we obtain that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \varphi(t) u^{\mu}(t) dt = \int_{0}^{T} h(t) dt, \qquad (2.5)$$

which together with

$$\left|\int_{0}^{T} \varphi(t) u^{\mu}(t) dt\right| = \left|\int_{0}^{T} h(t) dt\right| = T\overline{h}$$

yields

$$\left|\int_{0}^{T} \varphi(t) u^{\mu}(t) dt\right| \leq \int_{0}^{T} |\varphi(t)| u^{\mu}(t) dt = u^{\mu}(\xi_{2}) T \overline{|\varphi|}.$$

Thus, there is a point $\eta \in [0, T]$ such that

$$u(\xi_2) \ge \left(\frac{\overline{h}}{\overline{|\phi|}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \coloneqq \eta_0$$

So, inequality (2.4) holds.

The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that the following assumptions are satisfied.

$$[H_1] \lim_{x \to 0^+} F(x) = +\infty,$$

$$[H_2] \lim_{x \to +\infty} (F(x) + T\overline{\varphi_+} x^{\mu}) = -\infty,$$

where F(x) is determined in (2.2), $\eta_0 = \left(\frac{\overline{h}}{|\overline{\phi}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ is defined by (2.4). Then there exists a constant $\gamma_0 > 0$, such that

$$\min_{t \in [0,T]} u(t) \ge \gamma_0, \text{ uniformly for } u \in D.$$

Proof. Let $u \in D$, then u satisfies

$$\ddot{u}(t) + \lambda f(u(t))\dot{u}(t) + \lambda \phi(t)u^{\mu}(t) = \lambda h(t), \lambda \in (0,1],$$

since $u \in D$, it is easy to see that there exist points $t_1, t_2 \in R$ such that $0 < t_2 - t_1 < T$,

$$u(t_1) = \max_{t \in [0,T]} u(t)$$

and

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}_2) = \min_{\mathbf{t} \in [0,T]} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}).$$

Assumptions of $\bar{h} > 0$ and $\varphi(t) \ge 0$ for a. e. $t \in [0, T]$ with $\bar{\varphi} > 0$ holds. This gives $\eta_0 \le u(t_1) < +\infty$,

to which by using $[H_1]$, we have

$$F(u(t_1)) \le \sup_{\eta_0 \le s \le +\infty} F(s) < +\infty.$$

When the condition $\varphi(t) \ge 0$ for $a. e. t \in [0, T]$ with $\overline{\varphi} > 0$ that

$$\begin{split} F(u(t_2)) &= F(u(t_1)) - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi(t) u^{\mu}(t) dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} h(t) dt \\ &\leq F(u(t_1)) + \int_0^T \varphi_-(s) u(s) ds + T\bar{h} \\ &\leq F(u(t_1)) + u^{\mu}(t_1) T\overline{\varphi_-} + T\bar{h}, \end{split}$$

we have

$$G(u) = F(u) + u^{\mu}T\overline{h}$$

and then

$$F(u(t_2)) \leq G(u(t_1)) + T\overline{h} \leq \sup_{[\eta_0, +\infty)} G(u).$$

JIC email for subscription: publishing@WAU.org.uk

If there exists a constant $\gamma_0 > 0$, combining the above equations, we can get

$$\min_{t\in[0,T]} u(t) = u(t_2) \ge \gamma_0.$$

The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.5. Assume $\overline{\phi} > 0$ and $h(t) \ge 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ with $\overline{h} > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\rho > 0$ with $\rho > \gamma_0$, such that

$$\max_{t \in [0,T]} u(t) \le \rho, \text{ uniformly for } u \in D.$$
(2.6)

Proof. Since $u \in D$, it is easy to see that there exist points $t_1, t_2 \in R$ such that $0 < t_2 - t_1 < T$, $u(t_1) = \max u(t)$

$$u(t_1) = \max_{t \in [0,T]} u(t$$

and

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}_2) = \min_{\mathbf{t} \in [0,T]} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}).$$

Assumptions of $\bar{h} > 0$ and $\varphi(t) \ge 0$ for a. e. $t \in [0, T]$ with $\bar{\varphi} > 0$ holds. When the condition $\varphi(t) \ge 0$ for a. e. $t \in [0, T]$ with $\bar{\varphi} > 0$ that

$$F(u(t_2)) - F(u(t_1)) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi(t) u^{\mu}(t) dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} h(t) dt.$$

So, we get

$$F(u(t_1)) = F(u(t_2)) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi(t) u^{\mu}(t) dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} h(t) dt$$

$$\geq F(u(t_2)) - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi_{-}(t) u^{\mu}(t) dt - \int_{0}^{T} h(t) dt$$

Which together with (2.5) yields,

$$F(u(t_{1})) = F(u(t_{2})) + \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \varphi(t)u^{\mu}(t)dt - \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} h(t)dt$$

$$\geq F(u(t_{2})) - \int_{0}^{T} \varphi_{-}(t)u^{\mu}(t)dt - \int_{0}^{T} \varphi(t)u^{\mu}(t)dt$$

$$= F(u(t_{2})) - \int_{0}^{T} \varphi_{+}(t)u^{\mu}(t)dt$$

$$\geq F(u(t_{2})) - u^{\mu}(t_{1})T\overline{\varphi_{+}}$$

$$F(u(t_{1})) + T\overline{\varphi_{+}}u^{\mu}(t_{1}) \geq F(u(t_{2})) \geq \min_{t \in [\gamma_{0},\eta]} F(x) > -\infty.$$
(2.7)

Using [H₂] in Lemma 2.4 we get, exists $\rho > 0$, when $x \in [\rho, +\infty]$,

$$F(x(t)) + T\overline{\varphi_{+}}x^{\mu}(t) < \min_{t \in [\rho_{0},\eta]} F(x(t)).$$

From (2.7) we get $u(t_1) < \rho$ i.e.

$$\max_{t\in[0,T]} u(t) < \rho$$

for all $u \in D$ are satisfied. The proof is complete.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Ammuse $\bar{\varphi} > 0$, and $h(t) \ge 0$ for $a.e. t \in [0,T]$ with $\bar{h} > 0$, there exist a constant $M^* = 2\left(\max_{\gamma_0 \le \mu \le M_1} |F(u)| + T\bar{h} + ||u||_{\infty} T\overline{\varphi_-}\right)$, such that

$$|\dot{u}|_{\infty} \le \mathsf{M}^*. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. If u attains its maximum over [0, T] at $t_1 \in [0, T]$, then $\dot{u}(t_1) = 0$ and we deduce from (2.1) that

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t) = \lambda \int_{t_1}^t \left[-f(\mathbf{u}(s))\dot{\mathbf{u}}(s) - \varphi(s)\mathbf{u}^{\mu}(s) + \mathbf{h}(s) \right] ds,$$

for all $t \in [t_1, t_1 + T]$. Thus, if $\dot{F} = f$, then

$$\begin{split} |\dot{u}(t)| &\leq \lambda \left| F(u(t)) - F(u(t_1)) \right| + \lambda \int_{t_1}^{t_1 + T} h(t) dt - \lambda \varphi(t) u^{\mu}(t) \\ &\leq 2\lambda (\max_{\gamma_{0 \leq \mu \leq M_1}} |F(u)| + T\bar{h} + \| u \|_{\infty} \int_0^T \varphi_-(t) dt) \\ &\leq 2\lambda (\max_{\gamma_{0 \leq \mu \leq M_1}} |F(u)| + T\bar{h} + \| u \|_{\infty} T\overline{\varphi_-}) \\ &:= \lambda M^*, \end{split}$$

and then

$$\max_{t \in [0,T]} |\dot{u}(t)| < M^*, \text{ uniformly for } t \in [0,T].$$
(3.2)

Equation (3.2) implies that (3.1) holds.

Let $m_0 = \gamma_0$ and $m_1 = \rho$ be two constants, then we see each possible positive T –periodic solution u to equation satisfies

$$m_0 < u(t) < m_1, |\dot{u}(t)| < M^*$$
 for all $t \in [0, T]$.

This implies that condition 1 of Lemma (2.1) is satisfied. Also, we can deduce that

$$h - \overline{\varphi} x^{\mu} > 0$$
, for $x \in (0, m_0]$

and

$$\overline{h} - \overline{\phi} x^{\mu} < 0$$
, for $x \in [m_1, +\infty)$

Furthermore, we have

$$(\overline{\mathrm{h}} - \overline{\mathrm{\phi}}\mathrm{m}_{0}^{\mu})(\overline{\mathrm{h}} - \overline{\mathrm{\phi}}\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\mu}) < 0$$

Which gives that condition 2 of Lemma 2.1 holds. By using Lemma 2.1, we see that equation (1.1) has at least one T -periodic solution.

Example 3.1: Consider the following equation

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}}(t) - \frac{1}{x^2}\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \mathbf{a}(1+2\sin 2t)\,\mathbf{x}^{\mu}(t) = \cos 2t,\tag{3.3}$$

where $a, \mu \in (0, +\infty)$ are constants.

Corresponding to (1.1), we have
$$f(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2}$$
, $\varphi(t) = a(1 + 2\sin 2t)$, $h(t) = \cos 2t$, and $T = \pi$. Clearly, $\overline{h} = 0$, and $h(t) \ge 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ with $\overline{\varphi} = a > 0$. Since $\eta = \left(\frac{\overline{h}}{\overline{\varphi}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} = 0$ and
 $F(x) = \int_{1}^{x} f(s) ds = \frac{1}{x} - 1$, (3.4)

we have

$$C_0 = \sup_{s \in [A_1, +\infty)} F(s) = F(1) = 0 < +\infty.$$
(3.5)

Obviously, (3.4) and (3.5) imply that assumptions of $[H_1]$ and $[H_2]$ hold. Thus, by using Theorem 3.1, we have that for each $\mu \in [0, +\infty)$, equation (3.3) has at least one positive π –periodic solution.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to referee for the careful reading of the paper and for useful suggestions. The authors gratefully acknowledge support from NSF of China(No.11271197)

4. Reference

- P.J. Torres: Mathematical Models with Singularities-A Zoo of Singular Creatures. Atlantis Press, Amsterdam (2015). ISBN:978-94-6239-105-5.
- [2] V. Bevc, JL. Palmer, C. Ssskind: On the design of the transition region of axi-symmetric magnetically focused beam valves. J. Br. Inst. Radio Eng. 18, 696-708(1958)
- [3] Y. Ye, X. Wang: Nonlinear differential equations in electron beam focusing theory. Acta Math. Appl. Sin. 1, 13-41 (1978)(in Chinese)
- [4] J. Huang, S. Ruan, J. Song: Bifurcations in a predator-prey system of Leslie type with generalized Holling type III functional response. J. Differ. Equ. 257(6), 1721-1752(2014)

- [5] MS. Plesset, A. Prosperetti: Bubble dynamic and cavitation. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 9,145-185(1997)
- [6] J. Chu, Z. Liang, F. Liao, S. Lu, Existence and stability of periodic solutions for relativistic singular equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. 16 (2017) 591-609.
- [7] J. Chu, P. J. Torres, F. Wang, Twist periodic solutions for differential equations with acombined attractiverepulsive singularity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 437(2016)1070-1083.
- [8] P.J. Torres, Weak singularities may help periodic solutions to exist, J. Differential Equations, 232(2007)277-284.
- [9] R. Hakl, P. J. Torres, On periodic solutions of second order differential equations with attractive-repulsive singularities, J. Differential Equations, 248 (2010) 111-126.
- [10] R. Hakl, M. Zamora, Existence and uniqueness of a periodic solution to an indefinite attractive singular equation, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 195 (2016) 995-1009.
- [11] P. Habets, L. Sanchez, Periodic solutions of some Liénard equations with singularities, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society. 109(4):1035-1044.
- [12]Z. Wang, Periodic solutions of Liénard equation with a singularity and a deviating argument. Nonlinear Anal. RWA., 16(2014)227-234.
- [13] M. Zhang, Periodic solutions of Liénard equations with singular forces of repulsive type. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 203(1) (1996)254-269.
- [14] Y. Guo, Y. Wang, D. Zhou, A new result on the existence of Periodic solutions for Rayleigh equation with a singularity, Advances in Difference Equations. 2017,2017(1):394.
- [15]S. Lu, Y. Guo, L. Chen, Periodic solutions for Liénard equation with singularities, J. Nonlinear Sci.Appl. 11 (2018),403-415.
- [16] R. Hakl, M. Zamora, Periodic solutions to second-order indefinite singular equations, J. Diff. Equ. 263 (2017) 451C469.
- [17]Z. Cheng, J. Ren, Multiplicity results of positive solutions for fourth-order nonlinear differential equation with singularity, Math. Method. Appl. Sci., 38, (2016)5284-5304.
- [18]L. Chen, S. Lu, A new result on the existence of periodic solutions for Rayleigh equations with a singularity of repulsive type, J. Inequalities and Applications. 2017,2017 (1):106.
- [19] S. Lu, Y. Guo, L. Chen, Periodic solutions for Linard equation with an indefinite singularity, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 45 (2019) 542C556.
- [20] R. Manásevich, J. Mawhin, Periodic solutions for nonlinear systems with p-Laplacian-like operators, J. Differential Equations 145(1998), 367-393.