

# Existence Results for the Higher-Order Weighted Caputo-Fabrizio Fractional Derivative\*

Chunshuo Li<sup>1</sup>, Qing Zhang<sup>1</sup> and Qiaoluan Li<sup>1,†</sup>

**Abstract** By the definition of the higher-order fractional derivative, we explore the central properties of the higher-order Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative and integral with a weighted term. Furthermore, by dint of Schaefer's fixed point theorem,  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Contraction theorem, etc., we establish the existence of solutions for nonlinear equations. We also give three examples to make our main conclusion clear.

**Keywords** Higher-order weighted fractional derivative, Caputo-Fabrizio derivative, existence

**MSC(2010)** 26A33, 35A01, 47H10.

## 1. Introduction

During the past decades, the Caputo fractional derivative (CFD) has been investigated by many scholars (see [13, 18]). In the last few years, a large number of essays about a novel fractional derivative, Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative (CFFD), have emerged, and this kind of derivative has a better nature than the usual fractional derivative (see [1–3, 5, 7, 9, 12, 15, 16, 19]). For instance, in 2020, Eiman et al., dealt with the nether class of fractional differential equations involving the CFFD and obtained the existence theory

$$\begin{cases} {}^{CF}D_x^\theta u(x) = f(x, u(x), {}^{CF}D_x^\theta u(x)), & x \in [0, T] = \mathbb{J}, \\ u(0) = u_0, & u_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $f: \mathbb{J} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (see [9]). In 2021, Abbas et al., investigated the existence of solutions for the following Cauchy problem of Caputo-Fabrizio impulsive fractional differential equations

$$\begin{cases} ({}^{CF}D_{t_k}^r u)(t) = f(t, u(t)); t \in I_k, k = 0, \dots, m, \\ u(t_k^+) = u(t_k^-) + L_k(u(t_k^-)); k = 1, \dots, m, \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

<sup>†</sup>The corresponding author.

Email address: ChunshuoLi@stu.hebtu.edu.cn (C. Li), qll71125@163.com (Q. Li), zhangqing163163@163.com (Q. Zhang)

<sup>1</sup>Hebei Mathematics Research Center, Hebei Center for Applied Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei 050024, China

\*The authors were supported by the National Natural Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971145) and the Innovation Fund of School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Normal University (Grant No. xyczzss002).

where  $I_0 = [0, t_1]$ ,  $I_k = (t_k, t_{k+1}]$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ ;  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < t_{m+1} = T$ ,  $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $f : I_k \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, m$ ,  $L_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, m$  are given continuous functions,  ${}^{CF}D_{t_k}^r$  is the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative of order  $r \in (0, 1)$  (see [2]). In 2022, Abbas et al., investigated the existence of solutions for the Cauchy problem of Caputo-Fabrizio fractional differential equations without instantaneous impulses

$$\begin{cases} ({}^{CF}D_{s_k}^r u)(t) = f(t, u(t)); t \in I_k, k = 0, \dots, m, \\ u(t) = g_k(t, u(t_k^-)); \text{ if } t \in J_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

where  $I_0 := [0, t_1]$ ,  $J_k := (t_k, s_k]$ ,  $I_k := (s_k, t_{k+1}]$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $f : I_k \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g_k : J_k \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are given continuous functions,  $0 = s_0 < t_1 \leq s_1 < t_2 \leq s_2 < \dots \leq s_{m-1} < t_m \leq s_m < t_{m+1} = T$  (see [3]).

Abreast of the times, in 2022, Fernandez et al., conducted a formal study of weighted fractional calculus, and emphasized the importance of the conjugation relationships with the classical Riemann-Liouville fractional calculus (see [11]). For the study of Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative (CFFD) in the weighted field, in 2019, Al-Refai and Jarrah first proposed the weighted Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative (WCFFD) of order 0 to 1, and demonstrated the existence and uniqueness of the nonlinear fractional initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} (D_{a,[z,w]}^\alpha f)(t) = g(t, f), t > a, 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ f(a) = f_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

where  $D_{a,[z,w]}^\alpha$  is the WCFFD (see [4]). In 2020, Wu, Chen and Deng studied the existence and stability of solutions for the WCFFD type differential equations of order 0 to 1 (see [20]). However, fewer papers are on the higher-order WCFFD.

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of solutions for the following nonlinear equations

$$\begin{cases} (D_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) = \xi(t, y(t)), \\ y^{(k)}(a) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ y^{(n)}(a) = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $1 \leq n < r < n+1$ ,  $D_{a,[z,w]}^r$  is the higher order WCFFD, and  $y \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\xi$  are binary continuous functions

$$\begin{cases} D_{a,[z,w]}^r (y(t) - \varpi(t, y(t))) = \xi(t, y(t)), \\ (y - \varpi)^{(k)}(a) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ (y - \varpi)^{(n)}(T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $y - \varpi \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\varpi$  are binary continuous functions

$$\begin{cases} D_{a,[z,w]}^r \frac{y(t)}{\varphi(t, y(t))} = \xi(t, y(t)), \\ y^{(k)}(a) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ y^{(n)}(a) = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\frac{y}{\varphi} \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\varphi$  are binary continuous functions. Here,  $AC([a, T], \mathbb{R})$  is Banach space, which contains all absolutely continuous functions from  $[a, T]$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , provided with the usual maximum norm.  $AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R}) = \{x : [a, T] \rightarrow$

$\mathbb{R}$ , and  $x^{(n-1)} \in AC([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ .

The main components of this article are as follows. First, the definitions and properties of the higher-order WCFFD are introduced. Then, the existence results of the nonlinear equations are obtained. Finally, we give three examples to make our main conclusion clear.

## 2. Preliminary results

In this segment, we introduce preliminary results related to this dissertation.

**Definition 2.1** ([4]). Let  $0 < r < 1$ , and  $y \in AC([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ . The weighted Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative (WCFFD) of  $y$  of order  $r$  is defined by

$$(D_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) = \frac{M(r)}{1-r} \frac{1}{w(t)} \int_a^t e^{-\mu_r(z(t)-z(s))} \frac{d}{ds}(wy)(s) ds, a < t < T.$$

Here,  $\mu_r = \frac{r}{1-r}$ ,  $M(r)$  is a normalization function, which satisfies  $M(0) = M(1) = 1$ ,  $w, z \in AC^1[a, T]$ , and  $w, w', z' > 0$  on  $[a, T]$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([4]). For  $0 < r < 1$ , the weighted Caputo-Fabrizio fractional integral (WCFFI) of  $y$  of order  $r$  is defined by

$$(I_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) = \frac{1}{M(r)} \left( (1-r)y(t) + \frac{r}{w(t)} \int_a^t z'(s)w(s)y(s) ds \right).$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $n < r < n+1$ , and  $y \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ , we define the WCFFD of  $y$  of order  $r$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{a,[z,w]}^{r-n} y)(t) &= (D_{a,[z,w]}^{r-n} y^{(n)})(t) \\ &= \frac{M(r-n)}{1-r+n} \frac{1}{w(t)} \int_a^t e^{-\mu_{r-n}(z(t)-z(s))} \frac{d}{ds}(wy^{(n)})(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mu_{r-n} = \frac{r-n}{1-r+n}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** For  $n < r < n+1$ , the WCFFI of  $y$  of order  $r$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) &= (I^n I_{a,[z,w]}^{r-n} y)(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{M(r-n)} \left( (1-r+n)y(s) + \frac{r-n}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u)y(u) du \right) ds \\ &= \frac{n+1-r}{\Gamma(n)M(r-n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} y(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{r-n}{\Gamma(n)M(r-n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u)y(u) du ds. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.5** ([6]). For  $n < r < n+1$ , we call the usual Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative (CFFD) as follows:


$$D_a^r y(t) = \frac{M(r-n)}{1-r+n} \int_a^t e^{-\mu_{r-n}(t-s)} y^{(n+1)} ds. \quad (2.2)$$

Let us consider the difference between the WCFFD and the usual CFFD in the interval  $[-25, 25]$ .


(i) As  $y(t) = \sin t$ , we choose  $z(t) = w(t) = t$ ,  $a = -25$ , and  $M = 1$ . We observe the following simulations of the WCFFD and the usual CFFD with  $r = 0.8$  (see Figures 1-2):

$$(D_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) = \frac{1}{0.2} \frac{1}{t} \int_{-25}^t e^{4(s-t)} (\sin s + s \cdot \cos s) ds, \quad (2.3)$$

$$(D_a^r y)(t) = \frac{1}{0.2} \int_{-25}^t e^{4(s-t)} \cos s ds. \quad (2.4)$$



**Figure 1.** Simulation of WCFFD (2.3)



**Figure 2.** Simulation of the usual CFFD (2.4)

(ii) As  $y(t) = \sin t$ , we choose  $z(t) = w(t) = t$ ,  $a = -25$ ,  $M = 1$ . We observe the following simulations of the WCFFD and the usual CFFD with  $r = 1.8$  (see Figures 3-4):

$$(D_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) = \frac{1}{0.2} \frac{1}{t} \int_{-25}^t e^{4(s-t)} (\cos s - s \cdot \sin s) ds, \quad (2.5)$$

$$(D_a^r y)(t) = \frac{1}{0.2} \int_{-25}^t e^{4(s-t)} (-\sin s) ds. \quad (2.6)$$




Figure 3. Simulation of WCFD (2.5)




Figure 4. Simulation of the usual CFFD (2.6)

From the above simulations we can observe different actions between the WCFD and the usual CFFD. There is a difference between Figure 1 and Figure 2. Otherwise, it appears less different in the other two images (see Figures 3-4).

Now, we consider the relations between the differential and integral operators.

**Theorem 2.1.** Letting  $n < r < n + 1$ , and  $y \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ , then

$$(i) (D_{a,[z,w]}^r I_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) = y(t) - \frac{e^{\mu r - n(z(a) - z(t))} w(a) y(a)}{w(t)}.$$

$$(ii) (I_{a,[z,w]}^r D_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) = y(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (t-a)^k - \frac{w(a) y^{(n)}(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds.$$

**Proof.** Letting  $\beta = r - n$ , then  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .

(i) Since

$$(I_{a,[z,w]}^r y)^{(n)}(t) = \left[ I^n \left( \frac{1}{M(\beta)} \left( (1-\beta)y(t) + \frac{\beta}{w(t)} \int_a^t z'(s)w(s)y(s)ds \right) \right) \right]^{(n)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{M(\beta)} \left( (1 - \beta)y(t) + \frac{\beta}{w(t)} \int_a^t z'(s)w(s)y(s)ds \right),$$

we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(wI_{a,[z,w]}^r y^{(n)})(t) = \frac{1}{M(\beta)} \left( (1 - \beta) \frac{d}{dt}(wy)(t) + \beta(z'wy)(t) \right).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_{a,[z,w]}^r I_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \frac{e^{-\mu_\beta z(t)}}{w(t)} \int_a^t e^{\mu_\beta z(s)} \left( (1 - \beta) \frac{d}{ds}(wy)(s) + \beta(z'wy)(s) \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \frac{e^{-\mu_\beta z(t)}}{w(t)} \left( (1 - \beta) \int_a^t e^{\mu_\beta z(s)} \frac{d}{ds}(wy)(s) ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \beta \int_a^t e^{\mu_\beta z(s)} (z'wy)(s) ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \beta) \int_a^t e^{\mu_\beta z(s)} \frac{d}{ds}(wy)(s) ds \\ &= (1 - \beta) \left( e^{\mu_\beta z(t)}(wy)(t) - e^{\mu_\beta z(a)}(wy)(a) - \mu_\beta \int_a^t e^{\mu_\beta z(s)} (z'wy)(s) ds \right) \\ &= (1 - \beta) e^{\mu_\beta z(t)} w(t) y(t) - (1 - \beta) e^{\mu_\beta z(a)} w(a) y(a) \\ & \quad - \beta \int_a^t e^{\mu_\beta z(s)} (z'wy)(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Substituting the result of (2.8) into (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_{a,[z,w]}^r I_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \frac{e^{-\mu_\beta z(t)}}{w(t)} \left( (1 - \beta) e^{\mu_\beta z(t)}(wy)(t) - (1 - \beta) e^{\mu_\beta z(a)}(wy)(a) \right) \\ &= y(t) - \frac{e^{\mu_\beta(z(a)-z(t))} w(a) y(a)}{w(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we consider  $y(a) = 0$ , we get  $(D_{a,[z,w]}^r I_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) = y(t)$ .

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{a,[z,w]}^r D_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) &= \frac{1 - \beta}{\Gamma(n)M(\beta)} \int_a^t (t - s)^{n-1} (D_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(s) ds \\ & \quad + \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(n)M(\beta)} \int_a^t (t - s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u) (D_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(u) du ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Let  $k_y(t) = \int_a^t e^{\mu_\beta z(s)} (wy^{(n)}(s))' ds$ . Then

$$k_y'(t) = e^{\mu_\beta z(t)} (wy^{(n)}(t))'$$

and

$$(D_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) = \frac{M(\beta)}{1-\beta} \frac{e^{-\mu_\beta z(t)}}{w(t)} k_y(t).$$

Hence,

$$(z'wD_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) = \frac{M(\beta)}{1-\beta} z' e^{-\mu_\beta z(t)} k_y(t).$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^t (z'wD_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(s) ds \\ &= \frac{M(\beta)}{1-\beta} \int_a^t z'(s) e^{-\mu_\beta z(s)} k_y(s) ds \\ &= \frac{M(\beta)}{1-\beta} \int_a^t k_y(s) d\left(-\frac{1}{\mu_\beta} e^{-\mu_\beta z(s)}\right) \\ &= \frac{M(\beta)}{1-\beta} \left[ -\frac{1}{\mu_\beta} e^{-\mu_\beta z(s)} k_y(s) \Big|_a^t + \int_a^t \frac{1}{\mu_\beta} e^{-\mu_\beta z(s)} \frac{d}{ds} k_y(s) ds \right] \\ &= \frac{M(\beta)}{1-\beta} \left[ -\frac{1}{\mu_\beta} e^{-\mu_\beta z(t)} k_y(t) + \frac{1}{\mu_\beta} e^{-\mu_\beta z(a)} k_y(a) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_a^t \frac{1}{\mu_\beta} e^{-\mu_\beta z(s)} e^{\mu_\beta z(s)} \frac{d}{ds} (wy^{(n)}) ds \right] \\ &= \frac{M(\beta)}{1-\beta} \left[ -\frac{1}{\mu_\beta} e^{-\mu_\beta z(t)} k_y(t) + \frac{1}{\mu_\beta} \int_a^t \frac{d}{ds} (wy^{(n)})(s) ds \right] \\ &= -\frac{M(\beta)}{\mu_\beta(1-\beta)} \left[ e^{-\mu_\beta z(t)} k_y(t) - (wy^{(n)})(t) + (wy^{(n)})(a) \right] \\ &= -\frac{M(\beta)}{\beta} \left[ e^{-\mu_\beta z(t)} k_y(t) - (wy^{(n)})(t) + (wy^{(n)})(a) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the result into (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_{a,[z,w]}^r D_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) \\ &= \frac{1-\beta}{\Gamma(n)M(\beta)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{M(\beta)}{1-\beta} \frac{e^{-\mu_\beta z(s)}}{w(s)} k_y(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(n)M(\beta)} \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{w(s)} \frac{M(\beta)}{\beta} \left[ e^{-\mu_\beta z(s)} k_y(s) - (wy^{(n)})(s) + (wy^{(n)})(a) \right] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{e^{-\mu_\beta z(s)}}{w(s)} k_y(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \left[ e^{-\mu_\beta z(s)} k_y(s) - (wy^{(n)})(s) + (wy^{(n)})(a) \right] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} y^{(n)}(s) ds - \frac{w(a)y^{(n)}(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ &= y(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (t-a)^k - \frac{w(a)y^{(n)}(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

If we consider  $y^{(n)}(a) = 0$ , we get  $(I_{a,[z,w]}^r D_{a,[z,w]}^r y)(t) = y(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (t-a)^k$ .  $\square$

### 3. Existence results for the nonlinear equation

In the following segment, we will investigate the existence results for nonlinear equations in Section 1. Several lemmas related are given first.

**Definition 3.1** ([14, 17]). Let  $\Psi$  be the family of nondecreasing function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty$  for  $t > 0$ . Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a map and  $\psi \in \Psi$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called an  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contraction, if  $\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 3.2** ([14, 17]).  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -admissible, if  $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$ , for  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 3.1** ([10]). Let  $M$  be a Banach space, and  $P : M \rightarrow M$  be completely continuous, if  $A(P) = \{y \in M : y = \lambda Py, \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$  is bounded. Then,  $P$  has a fixed point.

**Lemma 3.2** ([14, 17]). ( $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Contraction theorem)

Let  $(M, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be an  $\alpha$ - $\psi$  contraction mapping. Further,

- (i)  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible;
- (ii) there exists  $x_0 \in M$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ ;
- (iii) if  $x_n$  is a sequence in  $M$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x \in M$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$  for all  $n$ .

Then, there exists  $y \in M$  such that  $Ty = y$ .

**Lemma 3.3** ([8]). Let  $S$  be a non-empty, bounded and closed convex subset of Banach algebra  $\Omega$ .  $F_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  and  $F_2 : S \rightarrow \Omega$  satisfy

- (i)  $F_1$  is Lipschitzian, and the lipschitz constant is written as  $\alpha$ ;
  - (ii)  $F_2$  is completely continuous;
  - (iii)  $y_1 = F_1 y_1 F_2 y_2 \Rightarrow y_1 \in S$  for all  $y_2 \in S$ ;
  - (iv)  $\alpha M < 1$ , where  $M = \sup\{\|F_2(y_1)\| : y_1 \in S\}$ ,
- then  $F_1 y_1 F_2 y_1 = y_1$  has a solution in  $S$ .

By means of Theorem 2.1, the following conclusion can be reached.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $y \in AC^n[a, T]$ ,  $\xi$  be a binary continuous function, and  $y$  be a solution to the nonlinear fractional equation (1.1), if it satisfies the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} & y(t) - \frac{w(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ &= a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s)) ds + b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u) w(u) \xi(u, y(u)) duds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_r = \frac{1-r+n}{\Gamma(n)M(r-n)}$ ,  $b_r = \frac{r-n}{\Gamma(n)M(r-n)}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $1 \leq n < r < n+1$  and  $a \leq t \leq T$ .  $\xi$  is a binary continuous function which satisfies  $|\xi(t, y(t))| \leq L_1(1+|y(t)|)$ , and here  $L_1 > 0$ . If  $(\theta_1 + \theta_2)L_1 <$



1, then boundary value problem (1.1) has at least one solution, where  $\theta_1 = \frac{a_r(T-a)^n}{n}$ , and  $\theta_2 = \frac{b_r(T-a)^n w(T)(z(T)-z(a))}{nw(a)}$ .

**Proof.** Define  $P : AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R})$  as follows.

$$(Py)(t) = \frac{w(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds + a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s)) ds \\ + b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u)\xi(u, y(u)) du ds.$$

Letting  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in  $[a, T]$ , for all  $t \in [a, T]$ ,

$$|(Py_n)(t) - (Py)(t)| \\ \leq a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} |\xi(s, y_n(s)) - \xi(s, y(s))| ds \\ + b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u) |\xi(u, y_n(u)) - \xi(u, y(u))| du ds \\ \leq a_r \|\xi(\cdot, y_n(\cdot)) - \xi(\cdot, y(\cdot))\| \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} ds \\ + b_r \|\xi(\cdot, y_n(\cdot)) - \xi(\cdot, y(\cdot))\| \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u) du ds.$$

From  $z', w' > 0$  and the mean value theorem for integrals, for some  $a < \sigma < T$ , we have

$$\int_a^s z'(u)w(u) du = w(\sigma)(z(s) - z(a)) \leq w(T)(z(T) - z(a)).$$

Thus,

$$|(Py_n)(t) - (Py)(t)| \\ \leq \frac{a_r(T-a)^n}{n} \|\xi(\cdot, y_n(\cdot)) - \xi(\cdot, y(\cdot))\| \\ + \frac{b_r w(T)(z(T) - z(a))(T-a)^n}{nw(a)} \|\xi(\cdot, y_n(\cdot)) - \xi(\cdot, y(\cdot))\|.$$

Since  $\xi$  is continuous, we can derive that  $P$  is continuous.

In the following, we will testify that  $P$  is a bounded operator. For

$$y \in B_\rho = \{y \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R}) : \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |y(t)| \leq \rho\},$$

we get

$$|Py(t)| \\ = \left| \frac{w(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds + a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s)) ds \right. \\ \left. + b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u)\xi(u, y(u)) du ds \right| \\ \leq \frac{w(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds + a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} L_1(1 + |y(s)|) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u)L_1(1+|y(u)|)duds \\
\leq & \frac{(T-a)^n}{n\Gamma(n)} + \frac{a_r(T-a)^n L_1(1+\rho)}{n} + \frac{b_r(T-a)^n w(T)(z(T)-z(a))L_1(1+\rho)}{nw(a)} \\
= & \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)} + \theta_1 L_1(1+\rho) + \theta_2 L_1(1+\rho) \\
= & \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)} + L_1(1+\rho)(\theta_1 + \theta_2) := l.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{t \in [a, T]} |Py(t)| \leq l.$$

Afterwards, the equicontinuity will be demonstrated. Let  $t_1, t_2 \in [a, T]$  be with  $a \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$ ,  $y \in B_\rho$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Py(t_1) - Py(t_2)| \\
= & \left| \frac{w(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \left[ \int_a^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds - \int_a^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \right] \right. \\
& + a_r \left[ \int_a^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s)) ds - \int_a^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s)) ds \right] \\
& + b_r \left[ \int_a^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u)\xi(u, y(u))duds \right. \\
& \left. - \int_a^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u)\xi(u, y(u))duds \right] \Big| \\
\leq & \frac{(t_2-a)^n - (t_1-a)^n}{n\Gamma(n)} + \frac{a_r L_1(1+\rho) [(t_2-a)^n - (t_1-a)^n]}{n} \\
& + \frac{b_r L_1(1+\rho) w(T)(z(T)-z(a)) [(t_2-a)^n - (t_1-a)^n]}{nw(a)} \\
\leq & \left[ \frac{1}{n\Gamma(n)} + \frac{a_r L_1(1+\rho)}{n} + \frac{b_r L_1(1+\rho) w(T)(z(T)-z(a))}{nw(a)} \right] [(t_2-a)^n - (t_1-a)^n].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Lagrange mean value theorem, there exists  $\zeta \in [t_1, t_2]$  such that

$$(t_2-a)^k - (t_1-a)^k = k(\zeta-a)^{k-1}(t_2-t_1).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Py(t_1) - Py(t_2)| \\
\leq & \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} + a_r L_1(1+\rho) + \frac{b_r L_1(1+\rho) w(T)(z(T)-z(a))}{w(a)} \right] (\zeta-a)^{n-1} (t_2-t_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Then,  $P$  is equicontinuous.

Combining the above steps with the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we can conclude that  $P$  is completely continuous.

Eventually, we consider the boundedness of the set  $A(P) = \{y \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R}) :$

$y = \lambda Py$ , for some  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Letting  $y \in A(P)$ , for every  $t \in [a, T]$ , we are able to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &= |\lambda Py(t)| \\ &\leq \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)} + L_1(1 + \|y\|)(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \\ &\leq \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)} + L_1(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + L_1\|y\|(\theta_1 + \theta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition  $(\theta_1 + \theta_2)L_1 < 1$ , we obtain

$$\|y\| \leq \frac{\frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)} + L_1(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}{1 - L_1(\theta_1 + \theta_2)},$$

which means the set  $A(P)$  is bounded.

By dint of Lemma 3.1, we derive that  $P$  has a fixed point, which is a solution to (1.1). The proof is completed.  $\square$

Now, we consider the nonlinear boundary value problem (1.2).

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $y \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ .  $\xi$  and  $\varpi$  are binary continuous functions,  $y - \varpi \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R})$  and  $y$  is a solution to the nonlinear fractional boundary value problem (1.2), if it satisfies the equation*

$$\begin{aligned} &y(t) - \varpi(t, y(t)) + a_r w(T) \xi(T, y(T)) \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ &+ b_r \int_a^T z'(u) w(u) \xi(u, y(u)) du \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ &= a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s)) ds + b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u) w(u) \xi(u, y(u)) duds. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** By means of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) - \varpi(t, y(t)) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k (t-a)^k + \frac{w(a)c_n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ &+ a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s)) ds \\ &+ b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u) w(u) \xi(u, y(u)) duds. \end{aligned}$$

According to  $(y - \varpi)^{(k)}(a) = 0$ , we know that  $c_k = 0$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} &y(t) - \varpi(t, y(t)) - \frac{w(a)c_n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ &= a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s)) ds + b_r \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u) w(u) \xi(u, y(u)) duds. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$(y - \varpi)^{(n)}(t) = \frac{w(a)c_n}{w(t)} + a_r \Gamma(n) \xi(t, y(t)) + b_r \Gamma(n) \frac{1}{w(t)} \int_a^t z'(s) w(s) \xi(s, y(s)) ds,$$

$$(y - \varpi)^{(n)}(T) = \frac{w(a)c_n}{w(T)} + a_r \Gamma(n) \xi(T, y(T)) + b_r \Gamma(n) \frac{1}{w(T)} \int_a^T z'(s)w(s)\xi(s, y(s))ds.$$

For  $(y - \varpi)^{(n)}(T) = 0$ , we get

$$w(a)c_n = -a_r w(T) \Gamma(n) \xi(T, y(T)) - b_r \Gamma(n) \int_a^T z'(s)w(s)\xi(s, y(s))ds.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & y(t) - \varpi(t, y(t)) + a_r w(T) \xi(T, y(T)) \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ & + b_r \int_a^T z'(u)w(u)\xi(u, y(u))du \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ & = a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s))ds + b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u)\xi(u, y(u))duds, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Denote  $V = \{y : y \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R})\}$ , and  $d(y_1, y_2) = \|y_1 - y_2\|$ . Obviously,  $(V, d)$  is a complete metric space.

Define operator  $T : V \rightarrow V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (Ty)(t) \\ & = -a_r w(T) \xi(T, y(T)) \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{w(s)} ds \\ & - b_r \int_a^T z'(u)w(u)\xi(u, y(u))du \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds + a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s))ds \\ & + b_r \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u)\xi(u, y(u))duds + \varpi(t, y(t)). \end{aligned}$$

By dint of Lemma 3.5, we derive that the boundary value problem (1.2) has solutions, if  $T$  has fixed points.

We define function  $\varsigma : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and make the following conditions hold.

(H<sub>1</sub>) There exists a map  $\psi \in \Psi$  and a constant  $m > 0$  satisfying

$$|\xi(t, y_1) - \xi(t, y_2)| \leq \psi(|y_1 - y_2|), |\varpi(t, y_1) - \varpi(t, y_2)| \leq m\psi(|y_1 - y_2|).$$

(H<sub>2</sub>) There exists  $\tilde{x}_0 \in V$  such that  $\varsigma(\tilde{x}_0, T\tilde{x}_0(t)) \geq 0$  for  $a \leq t \leq T$ .

(H<sub>3</sub>) For  $\forall t \in [a, T]$ ,  $\varsigma(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0$  implies  $\varsigma(Tx(t), Ty(t)) \geq 0$ .

(H<sub>4</sub>) For  $\{x_n\} \subset V$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow x \in V$ , for each  $t \in [a, T]$  and every  $n$ ,  $\varsigma(x_n(t), x_{n+1}(t)) \geq 0$ , we have  $\varsigma(x_n(t), x(t)) \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>4</sub>) are satisfied. If

$$\frac{a_r(T-a)^n(w(T) + w(a)) + 2b_r w(T)(z(T) - z(a))(T-a)^n}{nw(a)} + m < 1,$$

then equation (1.2) has a solution.

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha : V \times V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \varsigma(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

We explain that  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible. Choosing  $x, y \in V$ , for  $\forall t \in [a, T]$ ,  $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$  implies  $\varsigma(x(t), y(t)) \geq 0$ , then  $\varsigma(Tx(t), Ty(t)) \geq 0$ . We have  $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$ . Hence,  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible.

Next, according to hypothesis  $(H_2)$ , there exists  $\widetilde{x}_0 \in V$  such that  $\varsigma(\widetilde{x}_0, T\widetilde{x}_0(t)) \geq 0$ . That is,  $\alpha(\widetilde{x}_0, T\widetilde{x}_0) \geq 1$ .

The following shows that  $T$  is an  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contraction.

Letting  $y_1, y_2 \in V$ , for each  $t \in [a, T]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Ty_1)(t) - (Ty_2)(t)| \\ &= \left| a_r w(T) (\xi(T, y_1(T)) - \xi(T, y_2(T))) \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \right. \\ & \quad + b_r \int_a^T z'(u) w(u) (\xi(u, y_1(u)) - \xi(u, y_2(u))) du \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ & \quad + a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} (\xi(s, y_1(s)) - \xi(s, y_2(s))) ds \\ & \quad \left. + b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u) w(u) (\xi(u, y_1(u)) - \xi(u, y_2(u))) du ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |\varpi(t, y_1(t)) - \varpi(t, y_2(t))| \right| \\ &\leq a_r w(T) \left| \xi(T, y_1(T)) - \xi(T, y_2(T)) \right| \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ & \quad + b_r \int_a^T z'(u) w(u) \left| \xi(u, y_1(u)) - \xi(u, y_2(u)) \right| du \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ & \quad + a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \left| \xi(s, y_1(s)) - \xi(s, y_2(s)) \right| ds \\ & \quad + b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u) w(u) \left| \xi(u, y_1(u)) - \xi(u, y_2(u)) \right| du ds \\ & \quad + |\varpi(t, y_1(t)) - \varpi(t, y_2(t))|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the mean value theorem for integrals,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Ty_1 - Ty_2\| \\ &\leq \frac{a_r w(T) (T-a)^n \psi(\|y_1 - y_2\|)}{nw(a)} + \frac{b_r w(T) (z(T) - z(a)) (T-a)^n \psi(\|y_1 - y_2\|)}{nw(a)} \\ & \quad + \frac{a_r (T-a)^n \psi(\|y_1 - y_2\|)}{n} + \frac{b_r w(T) (z(T) - z(a)) (T-a)^n \psi(\|y_1 - y_2\|)}{nw(a)} \\ & \quad + m \psi(\|y_1 - y_2\|) \\ &\leq \psi(\|y_1 - y_2\|). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$d(Ty_1, Ty_2) \leq \psi(d(y_1, y_2)),$$

which implies

$$\alpha(y_1, y_2) d(Ty_1, Ty_2) \leq \psi(d(y_1, y_2)).$$

Then, We obtain that  $T$  is  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contraction.

Lastly, from hypothesis  $(H_4)$ , letting  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $V$  with  $\varsigma(x_n(t), x(t))$

$\geq 0$ , we are able to derive  $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ .

Based on Lemma 3.2, there exists  $u$  such that  $u = Tu$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

In the following, we consider the nonlinear boundary value problem (1.3). Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5, we have the conclusion as below.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Assume that  $y \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\xi$  and  $\varphi$  are binary continuous functions,  $\varphi \in C([a, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ , and  $\frac{y}{\varphi} \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ .  $y$  is a solution to the nonlinear equation (1.3), if it satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{y(t)}{\varphi(t, y(t))} - \frac{w(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(a, 0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a, 0)}{M(r-n)} \right) \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ &= a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s)) ds + b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u)\xi(u, y(u)) du ds. \end{aligned}$$

We suppose that neither of the assumptions holds.

(H<sub>5</sub>) For  $\varphi \in C([a, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ , the inequality  $|\varphi(t, y_1) - \varphi(t, y_2)| \leq L_2|y_1 - y_2|$  holds, where  $L_2 > 0$ .

(H<sub>6</sub>) There exists  $\eta \in AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$  satisfying  $|\xi(t, y(t))| \leq \eta(t)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume that hypotheses (H<sub>5</sub>)-(H<sub>6</sub>) are satisfied. If*

$$L_2 \left( \left| \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\varphi(a, 0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a, 0)(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)M(r-n)} \right| + (\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|\eta\| \right) < 1, \quad (3.2)$$

then the boundary value problem (1.3) has a solution, where  $\theta_1 = \frac{a_r(T-a)^n}{n}$ , and  $\theta_2 = \frac{b_r(T-a)^n w(T)(z(T)-z(a))}{nw(a)}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\Lambda = (AC^n([a, T], \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|)$ , where  $\|y\| = \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |y(t)|$ . Then,  $\Lambda$  is a Banach algebra with multiplication defined by  $(y_1 y_2)(t) = y_1(t)y_2(t)$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in \Lambda$ ,  $t \in [a, T]$ . Define

$$Q = \frac{M_\varphi \left( \left| \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\varphi(a, 0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a, 0)(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)M(r-n)} \right| + (\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|\eta\| \right)}{1 - L_2 \left( \left| \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\varphi(a, 0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a, 0)(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)M(r-n)} \right| + (\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|\eta\| \right)},$$

where  $M_\varphi = \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |\varphi(t, 0)|$ . From condition (3.2), we can derive  $Q > 0$ .

Considering the set  $U = \{y \in \Lambda : \|y\| \leq Q\}$ , we can easily obtain that  $U$  is a bounded subset of  $\Lambda$ , which is closed and convex.

Considering the operators  $F_1 : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  and  $F_2 : U \rightarrow \Lambda$ :

$$(F_1 y)(t) = \varphi(t, y(t)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} (F_2 y)(t) &= \frac{w(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(a, 0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a, 0)}{M(r-n)} \right) \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \\ &+ a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s)) ds \\ &+ b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u)w(u)\xi(u, y(u)) du ds, \end{aligned}$$

we can write the fractional integral equation of Lemma 3.6 as an equivalent operator equation  $y = F_1 y F_2 y$ ,  $y \in \Lambda$ .

Now, we verify the conditions of Lemma 3.3.

(i)  $F_1$  is Lipschitz.

For any  $y_1, y_2 \in \Lambda$ ,  $t \in [a, T]$ ,

$$|(F_1 y)(t) - (F_2 y)(t)| = |\varphi(t, y_1) - \varphi(t, y_2)| \leq L_2 |y_1 - y_2|.$$

We obtain

$$\|F_1 y - F_2 y\| \leq L_2 \|y_1 - y_2\|.$$

(ii)  $F_2$  is completely continuous.

Letting  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in  $[a, T]$ , for all  $t \in [a, T]$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |(F_2 y_n)(t) - (F_2 y)(t)| \\ &= \left| a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} (\xi(s, y_n(s)) - \xi(s, y(s))) ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + b_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u) w(u) (\xi(u, y_n(u)) - \xi(u, y(u))) du ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the first step of Theorem 3.1, we can derive that  $F_2$  is continuous.

$$\begin{aligned} & |(F_2 y)(t)| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{w(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(a, 0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a, 0)}{M(r-n)} \right) \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \right| \\ & \quad + a_r \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-1} |\xi(s, y(s))| ds + b_r \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u) w(u) |\xi(u, y(u))| du ds \\ & \leq \left| \frac{(T-a)^n}{n\Gamma(n)\varphi(a, 0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a, 0)(T-a)^n}{n\Gamma(n)M(r-n)} \right| + \frac{a_r(T-a)^n \|\eta\|}{n} \\ & \quad + \frac{b_r(T-a)^n w(T)(z(T) - z(a)) \|\eta\|}{nw(a)} \\ & \leq \left| \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\varphi(a, 0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a, 0)(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)M(r-n)} \right| + (\theta_1 + \theta_2) \|\eta\|, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $F_2$  is uniformly bounded.

Choosing  $t_1, t_2 \in [a, T]$  with  $a \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |(F_2 y)(t_2) - (F_2 y)(t_1)| \\ & \leq \frac{w(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \left| \frac{1}{\varphi(a, 0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a, 0)}{M(r-n)} \right| \left[ \int_a^{t_1} ((t_2-s)^{n-1} - (t_1-s)^{n-1}) \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} ds \right] \\ & \quad + a_r \left[ \int_a^{t_1} ((t_2-s)^{n-1} - (t_1-s)^{n-1}) \xi(s, y(s)) ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{n-1} \xi(s, y(s)) ds \right] \\ & \quad + b_r \left[ \int_a^{t_1} ((t_2-s)^{n-1} - (t_1-s)^{n-1}) \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u) w(u) \xi(u, y(u)) du ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{n-1} \frac{1}{w(s)} \int_a^s z'(u) w(u) \xi(u, y(u)) du ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left[ \frac{1}{n\Gamma(n)} \left| \frac{1}{\varphi(a,0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a,0)}{M(r-n)} \right| + \frac{a_r \|\eta\|}{n} + \frac{b_r \|\eta\| w(T)(z(T) - z(a))}{nw(a)} \right] [(t_2 - a)^n - (t_1 - a)^n].$$

As  $t_1$  approaches  $t_2$ , we have  $|(F_2y)(t_2) - (F_2y)(t_1)| \leq 0$ , then  $F_2$  is equicontinuous. Combining the above steps with the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we can conclude that  $F_2$  is completely continuous.

(iii) Let any  $y_2 \in U$ . For  $y_1 \in \Lambda$ , we consider that the operator equation  $y_1 = F_1y_1F_2y_2$ .

Our aim is to prove that  $y_1 \in U$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |y_1(t)| \\ & \leq |(F_1y_1)(t)| |(F_2y_2)(t)| \\ & \leq |\varphi(t, y_1(t)) - \varphi(t, 0) + \varphi(t, 0)| |(F_2y_2)(t)| \\ & \leq (L_2|y_1(t)| + M_\varphi) \left( \left| \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\varphi(a,0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a,0)(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)M(r-n)} \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|\eta\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$|y_1(t)| \leq \frac{M_\varphi \left( \left| \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\varphi(a,0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a,0)(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)M(r-n)} \right| + (\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|\eta\| \right)}{1 - L_2 \left( \left| \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\varphi(a,0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a,0)(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)M(r-n)} \right| + (\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|\eta\| \right)}.$$

Therefore,

$$|y_1(t)| \leq Q,$$

which proves  $y_1 \in U$ .

(iv) Let

$$\alpha = L_2, \quad M = \left| \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\varphi(a,0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a,0)(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)M(r-n)} \right| + (\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|\eta\|,$$

Thus, by condition (3.2),

$$\alpha M = L_2 M < 1.$$

According to the above steps (i)-(iv), we are able to derive that all the conditions of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied. Consequently, the operator equation  $y = F_1yF_2y$  has a fixed point in  $U$ , which is just a solution to boundary value problem (1.3).  $\square$

## 4. Examples

The results that we have obtained will be tested in this section.

For the sake of convenience, we suppose the normalization function  $M(r) = 1$ ,  $w(t) = e^t$ , and  $z(t) = t^2$ .

**Example 4.1.** Consider

$$\begin{cases} (D_{0, [t^2, e^t]}^{\frac{3}{2}} z)(t) = \frac{e^{-2t}}{1+e^t} |z(t)|, & t \in [0, \frac{1}{4}], \\ z(0) = 0, \\ z'(0) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$



Setting  $r = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $a = 0$ ,  $T = \frac{1}{4}$ , and  $\xi(t, z(t)) = \frac{e^{-2t}}{1+e^t}|z(t)|$ , then

$$a_r = \frac{1-r+n}{\Gamma(n)M(r-n)} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad b_r = \frac{r-n}{\Gamma(n)M(r-n)} = \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$\theta_1 = \frac{a_r(T-a)^n}{n} = \frac{1}{8}, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{b_r(T-a)^n w(T)(z(T) - z(a))}{nw(a)} = \frac{1}{128}e^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

We obtain

$$\xi(t, z(t)) = \frac{e^{-2t}}{1+e^t}|z(t)| \leq \frac{e^{-2t}}{2}|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+|z|).$$

Letting  $L_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$(\theta_1 + \theta_2)L_1 = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{256}e^{\frac{1}{4}} \approx 0.07253144857 < 1.$$

On the basis of Theorem 3.1, there exists a solution to (4.1).

**Example 4.2.** Consider

$$\begin{cases} D_{0, [t^2, e^t]}^{\frac{3}{2}}(z(t) - \frac{e^{-t}}{9+e^t}|z(t)|) = \frac{e^{-2t}}{1+e^t}|z(t)| + 1, & t \in [0, \frac{1}{4}], \\ (z - \frac{e^{-t}}{9+e^t}|z|)(0) = 0, \\ (z - \frac{e^{-t}}{9+e^t}|z|)'(\frac{1}{4}) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Setting  $r = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $a = 0$ ,  $T = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\varpi(t, z(t)) = \frac{e^{-t}}{9+e^t}|z(t)|$ , and  $\xi(t, z(t)) = \frac{e^{-2t}}{1+e^t}|z(t)| + 1$ , then  $a_r = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $b_r = \frac{1}{2}$ .

For every  $t \in [0, \frac{1}{4}]$ ,

$$|\xi(t, z_1) - \xi(t, z_2)| \leq \left| \frac{e^{-2t}}{1+e^t} \right| |z_1 - z_2| \leq \left| \frac{e^{-2t}}{2} \right| |z_1 - z_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}|z_1 - z_2|,$$

$$|\varpi(t, z_1) - \varpi(t, z_2)| \leq \left| \frac{e^{-t}}{9+e^t} \right| |z_1 - z_2| \leq \left| \frac{e^{-t}}{10} \right| |z_1 - z_2| \leq \frac{1}{10}|z_1 - z_2|.$$

Letting  $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t$ ,  $m = \frac{1}{5}$ , and for  $x, y \in V$ , putting  $\varsigma(x, y) = 1$ , then  $(H_1)$ – $(H_4)$  are satisfied. Further, we are able to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_r(T-a)^n(w(T) + w(a)) + 2b_r w(T)(z(T) - z(a))(T-a)^n}{nw(a)} + m \\ &= \frac{13}{40} + \frac{9}{64}e^{\frac{1}{4}} \approx 0.5055660743 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the basis of Theorem 3.2, there exists a solution of (4.2).

**Example 4.3.** Consider

$$\begin{cases} D_{0, [t^2, e^t]}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{y(t)}{\frac{e^{-t}}{1+e^{2t}}|y(t)|+1} = \frac{e^{-2t}}{1+e^t}|\sin y(t)|, & t \in [0, \frac{1}{4}], \\ y(0) = 0, \\ y'(0) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Setting  $r = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $a = 0$ ,  $T = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\varphi(t, y) = \frac{e^{-t}}{1+e^{2t}}|y| + 1$ , and  $\xi(t, y) = \frac{e^{-2t}}{1+e^t}|\sin y|$ , then  $a_r = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $b_r = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{8}$ , and  $\theta_2 = \frac{1}{128}e^{\frac{1}{4}}$ .

For every  $t \in [0, \frac{1}{4}]$ ,

$$|\varphi(t, y_1) - \varphi(t, y_2)| \leq \left| \frac{e^{-t}}{1+e^{2t}} \right| |y_1 - y_2| \leq \frac{1}{2} |y_1 - y_2|.$$

Thus,  $L_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Letting  $\eta(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t+1)$ , then

$$|\xi(t, y)| = \frac{e^{-2t}}{1+e^t} |\sin y| \leq \frac{e^{-2t}}{2} |\sin y| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\sin y| \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq \eta(t).$$

The condition  $(H_6)$  is satisfied, and  $\|\eta\| = \sup_{t \in [0, \frac{1}{4}]} |\eta(t)| = \frac{5}{8}$ .

We can also easily get  $\xi(0, 0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(0, 0) = 1$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & L_2 \left( \left| \frac{(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\varphi(a, 0)} - \frac{(1-r+n)\xi(a, 0)(T-a)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)M(r-n)} \right| + (\theta_1 + \theta_2)\|\eta\| \right) \\ &= \frac{13}{128} + \frac{5}{2048}e^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\approx 0.1046973277 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the basis of Theorem 3.3, there exists a solution of (4.3).

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers and editors for their suggestions which have helped improve our paper.

## References

- [1] M. I. Abbas, *On the initial value problems for the Caputo-Fabrizio impulsive fractional differential equations*, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 2021, 14(5), Article ID 2150073, 12 pages.
- [2] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra and J. J. Nieto, *Caputo-Fabrizio fractional differential equations with instantaneous impulses*, AIMS Mathematics, 2021, 6(3), 2932–2946.
- [3] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra and J. J. Nieto, *Caputo-Fabrizio fractional differential equations with non instantaneous impulses*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2, 2022, 71, 131–144.
- [4] M. Al-Refai and A. M. Jarrah, *Fundamental results on weighted Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2019, 126, 7–11.
- [5] M. Al-Refai and K. Pal, *New Aspects of Caputo-Fabrizio Fractional Derivative*, Progress in Fractional Differentiation and Applications, 2019, 5(2), 157–166.
- [6] M. M. Bakkouche, H. Guebbai, M. Kurulay and S. Benmahmoud, *A new fractional integral associated with the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2, 2021, 70, 1277–1288.

- [7] M. Caputo and M. Fabrizio, *A new definition of fractional derivative without singular kernel*, Progress in Fractional Differentiation and Applications, 2015, 1(1), 73–85.
- [8] B. C. Dhage, *A fixed point theorem in Banach algebras with applications to functional integral equations*, Kyungpook Mathematics, 2004, 44(1), 145–155.
- [9] Eiman, K. Shah, M. Sarwar and D. Baleanu, *Study on Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem for Caputo–Fabrizio fractional differential equations*, Advances in Difference Equations, 2020, 178, 9 pages.
- [10] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1998.
- [11] A. Fernandez and H. M. Fahad, *Weighted Fractional Calculus: A General Class of Operators*, Fractal and Fractional, 2022, 6(4), 208, 25 pages.
- [12] H. Khalid, *On Some Properties of the New Generalized Fractional Derivative with Non-Singular Kernel*, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2021, Article ID 1580396, 6 pages.
- [13] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [14] Y. Liu and Q. Li, *Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions and Lyapunov-type Inequality for a Mixed Fractional Boundary Value Problem*, Journal of Nonlinear Modeling and Analysis, 2022, 4(2), 207–219.
- [15] J. Losada and J. J. Nieto, *Properties of a new fractional derivative without singular kernel*, Progress in Fractional Differentiation and Applications, 2015, 1(1), 87–92.
- [16] J. J. Nieto, *Solution of a fractional logistic ordinary differential equation*, Applied Mathematics Letters, 2022, 123, Article ID 107568, 5 pages.
- [17] B. Samet, C. Vetro and P. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, 2012, 75(4), 2154–2165.
- [18] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Grondon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1993.
- [19] S. Toprakseven, *The Existence and Uniqueness of Initial-Boundary Value Problems of the Fractional Caputo-Fabrizio Differential Equations*, Universal Journal of Mathematics and Applications, 2019, 2(2), 100–106.
- [20] X. Wu, F. Chen and S. Deng, *Hyers-Ulam stability and existence of solutions for weighted Caputo-Fabrizio fractional differential equations*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2020, 5, Article ID 100040, 11 pages.