

Distributional Boundary Values of Holomorphic Functions on Tubular Domains

Guantie Deng¹ and Weiwei Wang^{2,*}

¹ School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

² School of Science, Civil Aviation University of China, Tianjin 300300, China

Received 13 June 2022; Accepted (in revised version) 17 November 2024

Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is to establish the distributional boundary values of functions in the weighted Hardy space, which improves the results of Carmichael in [4] and [8], where the weight function is linear. As our main result, we will prove that $f(z)$ in $H(\psi, \Gamma)$ has the \mathcal{Z}' boundary value and can be expressed by the inverse Fourier transform of a distribution. Next, we will establish the \mathcal{S}' boundary value under stronger assumptions and give more precise expression if $f(z)$ also converges to $U \in D'_{L^p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $1 \leq p \leq 2$. In addition, we will also study the inverse result, in which we will prove that $f(z)$ is holomorphic on T_Γ .

Key Words: The weighted Hardy space, distributional boundary values, tubular domains.

AMS Subject Classifications: 32A07, 32A40, 42B25, 42B30

1 Introduction

The existence of the distributional boundary values of holomorphic functions on tubular domains plays an important part in the study of complex analysis of several variables. We say a function $f(z)$ holomorphic on the tube $T_\Gamma = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z = x + iy, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \Gamma\}$ has the \mathcal{D}' boundary value $U \in \mathcal{D}'$ if for any compact sub-cone $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$, the limit

$$\lim_{y \in \Gamma', y \rightarrow 0} \langle f(x + iy), \phi(x) \rangle = \langle U, \phi \rangle$$

holds for all $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{D} is the space composed of all infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n , which have compact support and \mathcal{D}' denotes the space of all linear functionals on \mathcal{D} .

Many scholars [13, 19] have considered similar problems for different spaces of distributions including \mathcal{S}' , the space of tempered distributions [12]. Here, we say an infinitely differentiable function $\varphi(x)$ belongs to \mathcal{S} if

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\alpha D^\beta \varphi(x)| < \infty$$

*Corresponding author. Email addresses: denggt@bnu.edu.cn (G. Deng), ww_wang@cauc.edu.cn (W. Wei)

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Tillmann [20] showed that a function $f(z)$ holomorphic on an octant has the \mathcal{S}' boundary value if $f(z)$ satisfies the boundary condition:

$$|f(z)| \leq M \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + |z_j|^2)^{m_j} |y_j|^{-1/2-k_j},$$

where M, m_j, k_j are constants.

Beltrami and Wohlers [1–3] obtained the \mathcal{S}' boundary value result for $n = 1$ using a boundary condition that is less restrictive than that of Tillmann. More precisely, they proved:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $f(z)$ is holomorphic on the upper complex plane $\mathbb{C}^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = x + iy, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y > 0\}$ and satisfies for any $\delta > 0$ that*

$$|f(z)| \leq C_\delta (1 + |z|)^N \quad (1.1)$$

for all $y \geq \delta$. If $f(z)$ converges in the \mathcal{S}' topology to a generalized function U as $y \rightarrow 0^+$, then $U \in \mathcal{S}'$ and U is the inverse Fourier transform of $V \in \mathcal{S}'$ supported in $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, $f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle$.

The same result was proved by Dejager [9] in a slightly more general setting. The extension to n dimensions was obtained by Carmichael [6] in the case that if $f(z)$ is holomorphic on the octant $G = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^n : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y_j > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

The investigation of the distributional boundary values was also generalized to tubular domains. We now give some definitions that will be used throughout this paper.

A nonempty subset $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a cone with vertex at 0 if $\alpha x \in \Gamma$ whenever $x \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha > 0$. The dual cone of Γ is expressed as $\Gamma^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } x \in \Gamma\}$, which is clearly a closed convex cone with vertex at 0. Next, $(\Gamma^*)^* = \overline{\text{ch}(\Gamma)}$, where $\text{ch}(\Gamma)$ is the convex hull of Γ .

We say that the cone Γ is regular if the interior of Γ^* is non-empty. The open cone Γ' is called the compact sub-cone of Γ if $\text{pr}(\overline{\Gamma'}) \subset \text{pr}(\Gamma)$, where $\text{pr}(\Gamma)$ is the intersection of Γ and the surface of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n .

For any $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we denote by D_t^β the differential operation $D_t^\beta = D_{t_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots D_{t_n}^{\beta_n}$, where $D_{t_j} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

We say an infinitely differentiable function $\varphi(x)$ belongs to \mathcal{Z} if $\varphi(x)$ can be extended to an entire function, which satisfies for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ that

$$|z^\alpha \varphi(z)| \leq M_\beta \exp\{a_1 |y_1| + a_2 |y_2| + \cdots + a_n |y_n|\},$$

where M_β depends on β and possibly on φ and $a_j > 0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) depends only on φ .

For any $1 \leq p < \infty$, a function $\varphi(x)$ infinitely differentiable in \mathbb{R}^n is said to belong to \mathcal{D}_{L^p} if $D^\beta \varphi(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

We denote by \mathcal{Z}' and \mathcal{D}'_{L^q} the spaces of all linear functionals on \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{D}_{L^p} respectively, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Clearly, $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{L^p} \subseteq L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and thus $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'_{L^q} \subseteq \mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{Z}'$.

Carmichael [4, 8] characterized the distributional boundary values of holomorphic functions on tubular domain T_Γ , which satisfies the boundary condition with the weight function $(A + \sigma)|y|$ and achieved an improved version:

Theorem 1.2. Assume that Γ is an open cone in \mathbb{R}^n and Γ' is any compact sub-cone of Γ . For any $m > 0$ and any $\sigma > 0$, if $f(z)$ is holomorphic on T_Γ and satisfies the boundary condition:

$$|f(z)| \leq M(\Gamma', m)(1 + |z|)^N e^{2\pi(A+\sigma)|y|} \quad (1.2)$$

for all $z \in T(\Gamma', m) = \mathbb{R}^n + i(\Gamma' \setminus \overline{D_n(0, m)})$, where $M(\Gamma', m)$, $A \geq 0$ and N are constants. Then, $f(z)$ has the \mathcal{Z}' boundary value $U \in \mathcal{Z}'$, which is the Fourier transform of $V \in \mathcal{D}'$ having support in $S_A = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mu_\Gamma(t) \leq A\}$, where $\mu_\Gamma(t) = \inf_{y \in pr(\Gamma)} -\langle t, y \rangle$, and

$$f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle,$$

if $A = 0$. Furthermore, the expression is unrestricted by $A \geq 0$ if $f(z)$ converges in \mathcal{S}' .

Also, there have been many investigations of the distributional boundary values concerning the \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} topology. For more details see [5–7].

Recently, Qian [17] described distributional boundary values of functions in Hardy space.

Theorem 1.3. If $f(z) \in H^p(\mathbb{C}^+)$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then, as a tempered distribution, \hat{f} is supported in $[0, \infty)$.

In another research [16], Qian et al. proved the converse of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.4. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, if $f(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $\text{supp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$ in the distributional sense, then $f(x)$ is the boundary value of a function in $H^p(\mathbb{C}^+)$.

In [15], Li et al. obtained the equivalent characterization in terms of $H^p(T_\Gamma)$.

Theorem 1.5. Assume that Γ is a regular open cone in \mathbb{R}^n and $F(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then, $F(x)$ is the boundary value of $F(x + iy) \in H^p(T_\Gamma)$ if and only if $\text{supp } \hat{F} \subseteq \Gamma^*$ in the distributional sense.

Inspired by Carmichael in [4] and [8], we will extend the boundary condition (1.2) by a measurable function $\psi(y)$, which is defined on an open cone Γ , namely: for any compact sub-cone $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ and any $m > 0$, there exist $N > 0$ and $K(\Gamma', m)$ depending on Γ' and m such that

$$|f(z)| \leq K(\Gamma', m)(1 + |z|)^N e^{2\pi\psi(y)} \quad (1.3)$$

for all $z \in T(\Gamma', m)$.

In our main results, we need to assume that $\psi(y) \in C(\Gamma)$ and satisfies for any compact sub-cone Γ' that

$$R_{\Gamma', \psi} = \limsup_{y \in \Gamma', y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(y)}{|y|} < \infty \quad (1.4)$$

and define a new set $U(\psi, \Gamma)$ by

$$U(\psi, \Gamma) := \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n : \liminf_{y \in \Gamma, y \rightarrow \infty} (\langle \zeta, y \rangle + \psi(y)) > -\infty \right\}.$$

Unless particularly stated, we will also assume that Γ is a regular open convex cone in \mathbb{R}^n from now on. As our first main result in this paper, we will prove:

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\psi(y) \in C(\Gamma)$ such that (1.4) holds. If $f(z)$ is holomorphic on T_Γ and satisfies (1.3), then $f(z)$ has the \mathcal{Z}' boundary value $U \in \mathcal{Z}'$, which is the inverse Fourier transform of $V \in \mathcal{D}'$ having support in $\overline{U(\psi, \Gamma)}$. Moreover,*

$$f(z) = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[Ve^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle}; x].$$

Here, the inverse Fourier transform of $W \in \mathcal{D}'$ is defined by

$$\langle \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[W], \varphi \rangle := \langle W, \hat{\varphi} \rangle$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$, where

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(t) e^{-2\pi i \langle x, t \rangle} dt.$$

Clearly, the inverse Fourier transform from \mathcal{D}' to \mathcal{Z}' is topologically isomorphic since the Fourier transform from \mathcal{Z} to \mathcal{D} is one-to-one and surjective.

Next, we will strengthen the boundary condition (1.3), which leads us to define the Hardy space $H(\psi, \Gamma)$. We say that a function $f(z)$ holomorphic on T_Γ belongs to $H(\psi, \Gamma)$ if for any compact sub-cone $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$, there exist $N > 0$ and $K(\Gamma') > 0$ depending only on Γ' such that

$$|f(z)| \leq K(\Gamma')(1 + |z|)^N e^{2\pi\psi(y)} \quad (1.5)$$

for all $z \in T_{\Gamma'}$.

Theorem 1.7. *Assume that $\psi(y) \in C(\Gamma)$ and satisfies (1.4). If $f(z) \in H(\psi, \Gamma)$, then $f(z)$ has the \mathcal{Z}' boundary value $U \in \mathcal{Z}'$, which is the inverse Fourier transform of $V \in \mathcal{D}'$ having support in $\overline{U(\psi, \Gamma)}$ and*

$$f(z) = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[Ve^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle}; x].$$

Restrictions on $\psi(y)$ can only ensure that $f(z)$ has the \mathcal{Z}' boundary value if $f(z)$ is holomorphic on T_Γ and satisfies (1.5). A natural question is that **how to establish stronger assumptions to get the \mathcal{S}' boundary values?** We solve this difficulty by assuming for any compact sub-cone $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ that

$$\limsup_{y \in \Gamma', y \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(y)}{|\log |y||} \leq r < \infty. \quad (1.6)$$

Our results are as follows:

Theorem 1.8. Let $\psi(y) \in C(\Gamma)$ such that (1.4) and (1.6) holds. If $f(z) \in H(\psi, \Gamma)$, then $f(z)$ has the S' boundary value $U \in S'$, which is the inverse Fourier transform of $V \in S'$ having support in $\overline{U(\psi, \Gamma)}$. Moreover,

$$f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle.$$

Theorem 1.9. Let $\psi(y) \in C(\Gamma)$ such that (1.4) and (1.6) holds. If $f(z) \in H(\psi, \Gamma)$ and has the S' boundary value $U \in D'_{L^p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then there exists $V = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} x^\alpha h_\alpha(x) \in S'$, which is supported in $\overline{U(\psi, \Gamma)}$ such that $U = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[V]$ and

$$f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle.$$

Moreover, $h_\alpha(x)$ is bounded and continuous if $p = 1$ and $h_\alpha(x) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $1 < p \leq 2$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Besides, we will also prove that $f(z)$ is holomorphic on T_Γ , which can be regarded as the inverse case of our main theorems.

Theorem 1.10. Assume that $\psi \in C(\Gamma)$ and satisfies (1.4). Then

- (1). $\mathcal{X}_{U(\psi, \Gamma)}(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $z \in T_\Gamma$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$;
- (2). Let Γ' be any compact sub-cone of Γ and $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$. If $g(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is supported in $\overline{U(\psi, \Gamma)}$ such that

$$|g(t)| \leq M(\Gamma', m) e^{2\pi(\langle \omega, t \rangle + \psi(\omega))} \quad (1.7)$$

for any $\omega \in \Gamma' \setminus (\Gamma' \cap \overline{D_n(0, m)})$, where $M(\Gamma', m) > 0$ depends on Γ' and m . Then $e^{-2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} g(t) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $y \in \Gamma$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Theorem 1.11. Assume that $\psi \in C(\Gamma)$ and satisfies (1.4). For any compact sub-cone $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ and any $m > 0$, let $g(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is supported in $\overline{U(\psi, \Gamma)}$ such that (1.7) holds. For given $y_0 \in \Gamma$, define

$$V := (l(D))^k (g(t) e^{-2\pi \langle y_0, t \rangle}),$$

where $l(D)$ denotes derivation polynomial and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle$ is holomorphic on T_Γ and there exist $N_0 > 0$, $\delta = \delta_y > 0$ and $M'(\Gamma', m) > 0$ depending on Γ' and $m > 0$ such that

$$|f(z)| \leq M'(\Gamma', m) (1 + |z|)^{N_0} e^{2\pi \psi(\frac{y+y_0}{2})} e^{\pi R_1(1+\delta)|y|}. \quad (1.8)$$

We organize this paper as follows: In Section 2, we provide some preliminaries. In Section 3, we will establish the \mathcal{Z}' boundary value of $f(z)$ holomorphic on T_Γ and satisfying (1.3) (Theorem 1.6), which will be generalized by Theorem 1.7 using a simpler technique. Then, in Section 4, we will give the S' boundary value of $f(z) \in H(\psi, \Gamma)$ under stronger assumptions (Theorems 1.8 and 1.9). Finally, Theorems 1.10 and 1.11 will be proved in Section 5 as the inverse case of our main theorems.

2 Preparation of manuscript

In this section, we provide some preliminaries. The following two lemmas are used more than once in our proofs.

Lemma 2.1 ([8]). *Let Γ be an open cone in \mathbb{R}^n and $y \in \text{ch}(\Gamma)$. Then there exists $\delta = \delta_y > 0$ depending on y such that for all $t \in \Gamma^*$, there holds*

$$\langle y, t \rangle \geq \delta |y| |t|.$$

Furthermore, if Γ' is any compact sub-cone of $\text{ch}(\Gamma)$, then there exists $\delta = \delta_{\Gamma'} > 0$ depending only on Γ' such that the above inequality holds for all $y \in \Gamma'$ and all $t \in \Gamma^*$.

By a technique of approximation, we obtain the same result in [11, Lemma 2] if the assumption is reduced to $\psi(y) \in C(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that $\psi(y) \in C(\Gamma)$ and satisfies (1.4). Then*

$$U(\psi, \Gamma) \subseteq \Gamma^* + \overline{D_n(0, R_1)},$$

where $D_n(0, R_1)$ denotes the sphere in \mathbb{R}^n centered at 0 of the radius $R_1 > 0$.

Proof. Choose $\xi_0 \in U(\xi, \Gamma)$, and we may assume that $\xi_0 \notin \Gamma^*$ (the result is obvious if $\xi_0 \in \Gamma^*$). Then, there exists $\xi_1 \in \Gamma^*$ such that

$$|\xi_1 - \xi_0| = d(\xi_0, \partial\Gamma^*) = \inf \{ |\xi_0 - x| : x \in \partial\Gamma^* \} > 0$$

and $\langle \xi_1, \xi_0 - \xi_1 \rangle = 0$. By geometric projection, we have for all $\tilde{y} \in \Gamma^*$ that

$$\left\langle \tilde{y} - \xi_0, \frac{\xi_1 - \xi_0}{|\xi_1 - \xi_0|} \right\rangle \geq |\xi_1 - \xi_0|.$$

Hence

$$\langle \tilde{y}, \xi_1 - \xi_0 \rangle \geq \langle \xi_1, \xi_1 - \xi_0 \rangle = 0,$$

which implies that $\xi_1 - \xi_0 \in \bar{\Gamma}$. Next, we choose $\tilde{\xi}_k \in D_n(\xi_1 - \xi_0, \frac{1}{k}) \cap \Gamma$, where $k > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{k} < \frac{|\xi_1 - \xi_0|}{2}$ and pick $\eta_k = \frac{\tilde{\xi}_k}{|\tilde{\xi}_k|} \in \Gamma$. Then, according to (1.4) and the definition of $U(\psi, \Gamma)$, we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$ that there exists $A_{\xi_0} > 0$ and $R_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $\rho > R_\varepsilon$, there holds

$$\psi(\rho\eta_k) < \rho(R_1 + \varepsilon)$$

and

$$\langle \xi_0, \rho\eta_k \rangle + \psi(\rho\eta_k) > -A_{\xi_0}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{-A_{\xi_0}}{\rho} \langle \eta_k, \xi_1 - \xi_0 \rangle \leq R_1 + \varepsilon + \langle \eta_k, \xi_1 \rangle.$$

Finally, taking $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ orderly, we get that

$$|\xi_1 - \xi_0| \leq R_1,$$

which shows $\xi_0 \in \Gamma^* + \overline{D_n(0, R_1)}$. \square

The following result is a generalized version in [4] and [8].

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $\psi(y) \in C(\Gamma)$ and satisfies (1.4). Let $\varphi(x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \overline{D_n(0, 1)}$, $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$. For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, write

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad B_\varepsilon = U(\psi, \Gamma) + \overline{D_n\left(0, \frac{3\varepsilon}{2}\right)} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_\varepsilon(t) = X_{B_\varepsilon} * \varphi_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(t),$$

where $X_{B_\varepsilon}(t)$ represents the characteristic function of B_ε . Then $\xi_\varepsilon(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\xi_\varepsilon(t) = 1$ in $U(\psi, \Gamma) + \overline{D_n(0, \varepsilon)}$, $\xi_\varepsilon(t) = 0$ in the complement of $U(\psi, \Gamma) + \overline{D_n(0, 2\varepsilon)}$ and for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ there exists a constant M_γ depending only on γ such that

$$|D_t^\gamma \xi_\varepsilon(t)| \leq M_\gamma. \quad (2.1)$$

Furthermore, $\xi_\varepsilon(t)e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $z \in T^\Gamma$.

Proof. Noting that

$$\xi_\varepsilon(t) = \int_{\overline{D_n(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} X_{B_\varepsilon}(t-x) \varphi_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x) dx,$$

we may assume $x \in \overline{D_n(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})}$. If $t \in U(\psi, \Gamma) + \overline{D_n(0, \varepsilon)}$, then $t-x = t_1 + (t_2-x) \in B_\varepsilon$, where $t_1 \in U(\psi, \Gamma)$ and $t_2 \in \overline{D_n(0, \varepsilon)}$, and thus $\xi_\varepsilon(t) = 1$. If $t-x \in B_\varepsilon$, then $t \in U(\psi, \Gamma) + \overline{D_n(0, 2\varepsilon)}$ and hence, by contradiction, we get that $\xi_\varepsilon(t) = 0$ for $t \notin U(\psi, \Gamma) + \overline{D_n(0, 2\varepsilon)}$. Moreover, $\xi_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since $X_{B_\varepsilon} \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, choose $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$, by the fact that $|D_x^\gamma \varphi_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x)|$ is bounded, we have

$$|D_t^\gamma \xi_\varepsilon(t)| \leq \int_{\overline{D_n(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} X_{B_\varepsilon}(t-x) |D_x^\gamma \varphi_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x)| dx \leq M_\gamma.$$

Next, we will prove $\xi_\varepsilon(t)e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \in \mathcal{S}$ for any $z \in T^\Gamma$. According to Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, for any $t \in U(\psi, \Gamma) + \overline{D_n(0, 2\varepsilon)}$, there exists $\delta = \delta_y > 0$ depending only on y such that

$$\langle t, y \rangle \geq \delta |y| |t| - |y|(\delta + 1)(R_1 + 2\varepsilon). \quad (2.2)$$

Hence, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in U(\psi, \Gamma) + \overline{D_n(0, 2\varepsilon)}} \left| t^\alpha D_t^\beta (\xi_\varepsilon(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle}) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \frac{\beta!}{\beta_1! \beta_2!} \sup_{t \in U(\psi, \Gamma) + \overline{D_n(0, 2\varepsilon)}} |z|^{\beta_2} |t^\alpha| |D_t^{\beta_1} \xi_\varepsilon(t)| e^{-2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} \\ & \leq e^{2\pi(\delta+1)(R_1+2\varepsilon)|y|} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \frac{\beta!}{\beta_1! \beta_2!} M_{\beta_1} |z|^{\beta_2} \sup_{t \in U(\psi, \Gamma) + \overline{D_n(0, 2\varepsilon)}} |t^\alpha| e^{-2\pi \delta |t| |y|} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which completes our proof. \square

3 Proof of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7

In this section, we will prove our main result. An essential step in the proof is to set up a well-behaved function $g(t)$ similar to that in [8, Theorem 4.7.1]. In order to deal with it, we will construct a polynomial $l(z)$, which allows us to find $V = (l(D))^k g(t)$ such that $f(z) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[V]$ in \mathcal{Z}' topology.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Choose a group of basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n in \mathbb{R}^n such that $e_j \in \text{pr}(\Gamma^*)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. For any compact subcone $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ and given $y_0 \in \text{pr}(\Gamma')$, define

$$l(z) := \langle e_1, z + iy_0 \rangle \langle e_2, z + iy_0 \rangle \cdots \langle e_n, z + iy_0 \rangle, \quad z \in T^{\Gamma'}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, there exists $\sigma = \sigma_{\Gamma'} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |l(z)|^2 &= (\langle e_1, x \rangle^2 + \langle e_1, y + y_0 \rangle^2) \cdots (\langle e_n, x \rangle^2 + \langle e_n, y + y_0 \rangle^2) \\ &\geq (\langle e_1, y \rangle + \sigma)^2 \cdots (\langle e_n, y \rangle + \sigma)^2 \\ &\geq \sigma^{2n} + \sigma^{2n-2} \langle e_1, y \rangle^2 \\ &\geq \sigma^{2n} (1 + |y|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} |l(z)|^2 &\geq (\langle e_1, x \rangle^2 + \langle e_1, y_0 \rangle^2) \cdots (\langle e_n, x \rangle^2 + \langle e_n, y_0 \rangle^2) \\ &\geq (\langle e_1, x \rangle^2 + \sigma^2) \cdots (\langle e_n, x \rangle^2 + \sigma^2) \\ &\geq \sigma^{2n} + \sigma^{2n-2} (\langle e_1, x \rangle^2 + \cdots + \langle e_n, x \rangle^2) \\ &= \sigma^{2n} + \sigma^{2n-2} x^T A x, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where A is a positive definite matrix. By orthogonal transform, there holds

$$x^T A x \geq \lambda_0 |x|^2,$$

where $\lambda_0 > 0$ is the smallest characteristic root of A . Then (3.1) can be continued as

$$|l(z)|^2 \geq m(1 + |x|^2),$$

where $m = \min\{\sigma^{2n}, \sigma^{2n-2}\lambda_0\}$. As a consequence,

$$|l(z)|^2 \geq \frac{m}{4}(1 + |z|)^2.$$

Now, choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k - N \geq n + 1$. In view of $f(z) \in H(\psi, \Gamma)$, we have for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n + i(\Gamma' \setminus \overline{D_n(0, m)})$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |(l(z))^{-k} f(z)| &\leq K(\Gamma', m)(1 + |z|)^N e^{2\pi\psi(y)} \left(\frac{m}{4}\right)^{-\frac{k}{2}} (1 + |z|)^{-k} \\ &\leq K'(\Gamma', m)(1 + |z|)^{-(n+1)} e^{2\pi\psi(y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the definition

$$g_y(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (l(z))^{-k} f(z) e^{-2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dx = e^{2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} \mathfrak{F}[(l(z))^k f(z); t]$$

is well done for all $y \in \Gamma' \setminus \overline{D_n(0, m)}$ and thus $g_y(t) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, differentiating $g_y(t)$ with respect to y_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and using the Cauchy-Riemann equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_y(t)}{\partial y_j} &= e^{2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} \left(2\pi t_j \mathfrak{F}[(l(z))^k f(z); t] + i \mathfrak{F} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} ((l(z))^k f(z)); t \right] \right) \\ &= e^{2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} \left(2\pi t_j \mathfrak{F}[(l(z))^k f(z); t] + 2\pi i^2 t_j \mathfrak{F}[(l(z))^k f(z); t] \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $g_y(t)$ doesn't depend on $y \in \Gamma$. For convenience, we denote by $g(t) = g_y(t)$. Next, choose $t_0 \notin \overline{U(\psi, \Gamma)}$, then for given $y_0 \in \text{pr}(\Gamma)$, there exists a sequence $\{\rho_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\liminf_{\rho_k \rightarrow \infty} \langle t_0, \rho_k y_0 \rangle + \psi(\rho_k y_0) = -\infty.$$

Thanks to

$$|g(t)| \leq K'(\Gamma', m) e^{2\pi(\langle \rho_k y_0, t_0 \rangle + \psi(\rho_k y_0))} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1 + |x|)^{n+1}} dx,$$

we can immediately obtain that $g(t_0) = 0$, i.e., $\text{supp } g \subseteq \overline{U(\psi, \Gamma)}$. Also, we have $g(t) \in \mathcal{D}'$. In fact, for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, using

$$g(t) e^{-2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} = \mathfrak{F}[(l(z))^{-k} f(z); t] \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$, we have $(l(z))^{-k} f(z) \in \mathcal{Z}'$ and that

$$\langle g(t), \phi(t) \rangle := \langle e^{-2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} g(t), e^{2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} \phi(t) \rangle$$

is well defined. If $\phi_\lambda(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$ in \mathcal{D} as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$, then there exists a compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\text{supp } \phi_\lambda \subseteq K$, $\text{supp } \phi \subseteq K$ and for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in K} \left| D_t^\alpha (e^{2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} \phi_\lambda(t)) - D_t^\alpha (e^{2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} \phi(t)) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \sup_{t \in K} e^{2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} |y|^{\alpha_1} |D_t^{\alpha_2} (\phi_\lambda(t) - \phi(t))| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $g(t) \in \mathcal{D}'$. Write

$$V := (l(D))^k g(t).$$

Now, we will prove $f(x + iy) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[V]$ in \mathcal{Z}' as $y \rightarrow 0$ in Γ' . Choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\varphi = \widehat{\phi}$. Noting $V \in \mathcal{D}'$ and $(l(z))^k \varphi(x) \in \mathcal{Z}$, by exchanging integration and derivation, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[V], \varphi \rangle &= \left\langle (l(D))^k g(t), \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) e^{2\pi i \langle x, t \rangle} dx \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle g(t), \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) (l(x))^k e^{2\pi i \langle x, t \rangle} dx \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t) \varphi(x) (l(x))^k e^{2\pi i \langle x, t \rangle} dt dx \\ &= \left\langle \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[g; x], (l(x))^k \varphi(x) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite

$$(l(z))^k = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} C_\alpha(y) x^\alpha,$$

where s is the degree of $(l(z))^k$ and $C_\alpha(y)$ denotes a polynomial. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (l(z))^k \varphi(x) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} C_\alpha(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(t) D_t^\alpha (e^{-2\pi i \langle x, t \rangle}) dx \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} C_\alpha(y) (-1)^{|\alpha|} \mathfrak{F}[D^\alpha \phi; x]. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $e^{-2\pi i \langle y, t \rangle} D^\alpha \phi \rightarrow D^\alpha \phi$ in \mathcal{D} and $C_\alpha(y) - C_\alpha(0) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow 0$ in Γ' , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle (l(z))^{-k} f(z), ((l(z))^k - (l(x))^k) \varphi(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[e^{-2\pi i \langle y, t \rangle} g(t)], \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} (C_\alpha(y) - C_\alpha(0)) (-1)^{|\alpha|} \mathfrak{F}[D^\alpha \phi] \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} (C_\alpha(y) - C_\alpha(0)) (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle g(t), e^{-2\pi i \langle y, t \rangle} D^\alpha \phi \rangle \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \in \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma, y \rightarrow 0} \langle f(x + iy), \varphi(x) \rangle &= \lim_{y \in \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma, y \rightarrow 0} \left\langle (l(z))^{-k} f(z), (l(z))^k \varphi(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{y \in \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma, y \rightarrow 0} \left\langle (l(z))^{-k} f(z), (l(x))^k \varphi(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[g; x], (l(x))^k \varphi(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[V], \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by exchanging integration and derivation, we have $f(z) = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[e^{-2\pi i \langle y, t \rangle} V]$ in \mathcal{Z}'

since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f(z), \varphi(x) \rangle &= \left\langle (l(z))^k \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[g(t)e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle}; x], \varphi(x) \right\rangle \\
 &= \left\langle g(t), e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[(l(z))^k \varphi(x); t] \right\rangle \\
 &= \left\langle g(t), \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (l(-D_t))^k \varphi(x) e^{-2\pi\langle z, t \rangle} dx \right\rangle \\
 &= \left\langle g(t), (l(-D_t))^k \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[\varphi; t] e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \right\rangle \\
 &= \left\langle (l(D))^k g(t), \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[\varphi; t] e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \right\rangle \\
 &= \left\langle \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[V e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle}], \varphi \right\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Remark 3.1. Take $\psi(y) = A|y|$, where $A \geq 0$, then the boundary condition (1.3) is reduced to (1.2) in Theorem 1.2 and $U(\psi, \Gamma) = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mu_\Gamma(t) \leq A\} = \Gamma^* + \overline{D_n(0, A)}$. Thus, we can obtain the same result as in Theorem 1.2. Furthermore, let $A = 0$ and $n = 1$, i.e., $T_\Gamma = \mathbb{C}^+$, then the boundary condition (1.3) is weakened to (1.1) in Theorem 1.1 and $U(\psi, \Gamma) = [0, \infty)$, which shows that Theorem 1.1 is a special case of Theorem 1.6. In addition, if we also let $N = 0$, then Theorem 1.3 can be concluded for $p = \infty$.

Our method in Theorem 1.7 is similar but much simpler than Theorem 1.6 for the fact that $g(t)e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which doesn't hold in Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. For any proper sub-cone $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ and given $y_0 \in \Gamma'$, we can construct a polynomial

$$l(z) := \langle e_1, z + iy_0 \rangle \langle e_2, z + iy_0 \rangle \cdots \langle e_n, z + iy_0 \rangle,$$

and find $k > 0$ such that

$$|(l(z))^{-k} f(z)| \leq K(\Gamma')(1 + |z|)^{-(n+1)} e^{2\pi\psi(y)} \quad (3.2)$$

for all $z \in T_{\Gamma'}$. Again, define

$$g(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (l(z))^{-k} f(z) e^{-2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dx,$$

then $g(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is supported in $\overline{U(\psi, \Gamma)}$ and doesn't depend on $y \in \Gamma$. Besides, $g(t) \in D'$ and

$$g(t)e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} = \mathfrak{F}[(l(z))^{-k} f(z); t] \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3.3)$$

for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Set

$$\delta_2 := \inf \{ \langle x, y \rangle : x \in \text{pr}(\Gamma^*), y \in \overline{D_n(y_0, \delta_1)} \} > 0,$$

where $\overline{D_n(y_0, \delta_1)} \subseteq \Gamma$, then for all $y \in \overline{D_n(y_0, \delta_1)}$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(t)e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle}| dt &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi|y'| |t|} e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} dt \\ &\leq C e^{2\pi R_1(\delta_2 + |y_0| + \delta_1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi|t|(\delta_2 - |y'|)} dt < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

if $y' \in \Gamma$ such that $0 < |y'| < \delta_2$. In view of the arbitrary of $y_0 \in \Gamma$, we have for all $y \in \Gamma$ that $g(t)e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, $f(z)$ can be expressed as

$$f(z) = (l(z))^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dt$$

for $z \in T_{\Gamma'}$. Now, let

$$V := (l(D))^k g(t).$$

Again, by exchanging integration and derivation, we get for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(z), \varphi(x) \rangle &= \langle (l(z))^k \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[g(t)e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle}; x], \varphi(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle g(t), e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[(l(z))^k \varphi(x); t] \rangle \\ &= \langle g(t), (l(-D_t))^k (e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[\varphi(x); t]) \rangle \\ &= \langle V, e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[\varphi(x); t] \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \langle V, \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[\varphi(x); t] \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[V], \varphi(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

as $y \rightarrow 0$ in Γ' . Finally, the proof of $f(z) = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[V e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle}; x]$ in \mathcal{Z}' is similar to Theorem 1.7. \square

4 Proof of Theorems 1.8 and 1.9

In this section, we will investigate the \mathcal{S}' boundary value of analytic function $f(z)$, which satisfies (1.5) as well as the precise expression if $f(z)$ also converges in \mathcal{S}' to $U \in D'_{L^p}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. We may construct a polynomial $l(z)$ and define $g(t)$ similar to Theorem 1.7. From (1.6), there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that for all $|t| > \frac{r}{\delta}$, there holds

$$\inf_{y \in \Gamma', |y| < \delta} (\langle y, t \rangle + \psi(y)) \leq \inf_{y \in \Gamma', |y| < \delta} (|y||t| - r \log |y|) = r + r \log \frac{|t|}{r}.$$

Hence, by (3.2), we have

$$|g(t)| \leq K'(\Gamma') e^{2\pi \inf\{\langle y, t \rangle + \psi(y) : y \in \Gamma', |y| < \delta\}} \leq C_0(1 + |t|)^{2\pi r}.$$

If $|t| \leq \frac{r}{\delta}$, we also have $|g(t)| \leq C'_0(1 + |t|)^{2\pi r}$ since $g(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As a consequence, $g(t)$ is a tempered L^p function and thus $g(t) \in \mathcal{S}'$. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$\zeta_\varepsilon(t)e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \varphi(t) \rightarrow \zeta_\varepsilon(t)\varphi(t)$$

in \mathcal{S}' as $y \rightarrow 0$ in Γ' , where $\zeta_\varepsilon(t)$ is that in Lemma 2.3. In fact, using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^n} |t^\alpha| |D_t^\beta (\zeta_\varepsilon(t)e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \varphi(t) - \zeta_\varepsilon(t)\varphi(t))| \\ & \leq \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} C_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \sup_{t \in E} |t^\alpha| |D_t^{\beta_1} \zeta_\varepsilon(t)| |D_t^{\beta_2} \varphi(t)| |e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} - 1| \\ & \quad + \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \beta} C_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3} \sup_{t \in E} |t^\alpha| |D_t^{\beta_1} \zeta_\varepsilon(t)| |D_t^{\beta_2} \varphi(t)| |y^{\beta_3}| e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \\ & \leq \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} C'_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \sup_{t \in E} |t^\alpha| |D_t^{\beta_2} \varphi(t)| |e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} - 1| \\ & \quad + \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \beta} C'_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3} |y|^{\beta_3} e^{2\pi(R_1 + 2\varepsilon)|y|(\delta_{\Gamma'} + 1)} \sup_{t \in E} |t^\alpha| e^{-2\pi\delta_{\Gamma'}|t||y|} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $y \rightarrow 0$ in Γ' , where $E = U(\psi, \Gamma) + \overline{D_n(0, 2\varepsilon)}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(x + iy), \varphi(x) \rangle &= \langle (l(z))^k \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[g(t)e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle}; x], \varphi(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle g(t), \zeta_\varepsilon(t)e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[(l(z))^k \varphi(x); t] \rangle \\ &= \left\langle g(t), \zeta_\varepsilon(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) (l(-D_t))^k e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dx \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$|\varphi(x)(l(z))^k e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle}| \leq |\varphi(x)(l(z))^k| e^{(R_1 + 2\varepsilon)|y|(\delta_0 + 1)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

we can continue the above equality as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(x + iy), \varphi(x) \rangle &= \left\langle g(t), \zeta_\varepsilon(t) (l(-D_t))^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dx \right\rangle \\ &= \langle (l(D))^k g(t), \zeta_\varepsilon(t) e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle} \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[\varphi; t] \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \langle (l(D))^k g(t), \zeta_\varepsilon(t) \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[\varphi; t] \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[V], \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Next, by similar approach in Theorem 1.7, we can prove that $f(z) = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[V e^{-2\pi\langle y, t \rangle}; x]$ in

\mathcal{S}' . Finally, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle V, e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle &= \langle (l(D))^k g(t), \zeta_\varepsilon(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle \\ &= \langle g(t), (l(-D_t))^k (\zeta_\varepsilon(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle}) \rangle \\ &= \langle g(t), \zeta_\varepsilon(t) (l(z))^k e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle \\ &= (l(z))^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dt = f(z),\end{aligned}$$

which completes our proof. \square

Remark 4.1. The Montel space [14, 18] is the topological vector space, which is locally convex and separable. We say $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ is bounded if for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, there holds

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{B}, t \in \mathbb{R}^n} |t^\alpha D_t^\beta \varphi(t)| < \infty.$$

Since \mathcal{S}' is a Montel space [18], we can conclude that (4.1) holds uniformly on any bounded set \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{S} .

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Using the characterization theorem of Schwartz [18], there exists an integer $m > 0$ such that

$$U = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D_t^\alpha g_\alpha(t),$$

where $g_\alpha(t) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. According to Theorem 1.8, there exists $V \in \mathcal{S}'$, which is supported in $\overline{U(\psi, \Gamma)}$ such that $U = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[V]$ and

$$f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle.$$

Also, we have for any $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{S}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle V, \phi(x) \rangle &= \langle U, \mathfrak{F}[\phi(x); t] \rangle \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_t^\alpha g_\alpha(t) \mathfrak{F}[\phi(x); t] dt \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_\alpha(t) \mathfrak{F}[x^\alpha \phi(x); t] dt \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \langle \mathfrak{F}[g_\alpha(t); x], x^\alpha \phi(x) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} x^\alpha h_\alpha(x), \phi(x) \right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $V = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} x^\alpha h_\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{S}'$, where $h_\alpha(x) = \mathfrak{F}[g_\alpha(t); x]$. Finally, we conclude $h_\alpha(x) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p \leq 2$ and that $h_\alpha(x)$ is a bounded continuous function for $p = 1$. \square

5 Proof of Theorems 1.10 and 1.11

Proof of Theorem 1.10. Part (1) can be easily achieved by (2.2) since

$$|\mathcal{X}_{U(\psi, \Gamma)}(t)e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle}| \leq \mathcal{X}_{U(\psi, \Gamma)}e^{-2\pi \delta |y||t|}e^{2\pi |y|(\delta+1)R_1} \leq e^{2\pi |y|(\delta+1)R_1}.$$

Proof of Part (2). For any $y \in \Gamma$, there exist a compact subcone $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ and $m > 0$ such that $y \in \Gamma' \setminus (\Gamma' \cap \overline{D_n(0, m)})$. Choose $\lambda > 0$ such that $\frac{m}{|y|} < \lambda < 1$ and pick $\omega = \lambda y$, we get

$$|g(t)| \leq M(\Gamma', m)e^{2\pi(\lambda \langle y, t \rangle + \psi(\lambda y))}.$$

Again, by (2.2), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} g(t)| &\leq M(\Gamma', m)e^{2\pi \psi(\lambda y)}e^{2\pi(1-\lambda)R_1|y|(\delta+1)}e^{-2\pi(1-\lambda)\delta|y||t|} \\ &\leq M(\Gamma', m)e^{2\pi \psi(\lambda y)}e^{2\pi(1-\lambda)R_1|y|(\delta+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $e^{-2\pi \langle y, t \rangle} g(t) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.11. By Lemma 2.3, Theorem 1.10 and $\text{supp } g \subseteq \overline{U(\psi, \Gamma)}$, we have for all $z \in T^\Gamma$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g(t)e^{-2\pi \langle y_0, t \rangle}, e^{2\pi \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle &= \langle g(t)e^{-2\pi \langle y_0, t \rangle}, \zeta_\varepsilon(t)e^{2\pi \langle z, t \rangle} \rangle \\ &= \int_{U(\psi, \Gamma)} g(t)e^{-2\pi \langle y_0, t \rangle} e^{2\pi \langle z, t \rangle} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (l(z))^k \int_{U(\psi, \Gamma)} g(t)e^{-2\pi \langle y_0, t \rangle} e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dt \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t)e^{-2\pi \langle y_0, t \rangle} (l(-D))^k e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dt \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (l(D))^k (g(t)e^{-2\pi \langle y_0, t \rangle}) e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle} dt = f(z). \end{aligned}$$

For given $z' = x' + iy' \in T^\Gamma$, we can find a compact subcone $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$, $\delta_1 > 0$, $m > 0$, $b > 0$ and $d > 0$ such that $\overline{D_n(y', \delta_1)} \subset \Gamma' \setminus (\Gamma' \cap \overline{D_n(0, m)}) \subset \Gamma$ and

$$y + y_0 \in \Gamma', \quad 0 < m < b < |y + y_0| < d,$$

for all $y \in \overline{D_n(y', \delta_1)}$. Now, we pick $\omega_0 = \lambda(y + y_0)$, where $\lambda = \frac{m}{b}$, then $\omega_0 \in \Gamma' \setminus (\Gamma' \cap \overline{D_n(0, m)})$ and $|\omega_0| < d$. Using (1.7), Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} |g(t)e^{-2\pi \langle y_0, t \rangle} e^{2\pi i \langle z, t \rangle}| \\ \leq M(\Gamma', m)e^{2\pi \psi(\omega_0)}e^{-2\pi(1-\lambda)\langle y+y_0, t \rangle} \\ \leq M(\Gamma', m)e^{2\pi \psi(\omega_0)+2\pi(1-\lambda)R_1d(1+\delta)}e^{-2\pi(1-\lambda)\delta b|t|}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $g(t)e^{-2\pi\langle y_0, t \rangle}e^{2\pi i\langle z, t \rangle} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since $\psi(\omega_0) = \psi(\lambda(y + y_0))$ is bounded in $\overline{D_n(y', \delta_1)}$. Hence, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{U(\psi, \Gamma)} g(t)(e^{2\pi i\langle z, t \rangle} - e^{2\pi i\langle z', t \rangle}) dt \rightarrow 0$$

as $z \rightarrow z'$ in $\overline{T_{D_n(y', \delta_1)}}$ and thus $f(z)$ is continuous on T^Γ . Now, applying Morera theorem [10] with respect to each variable z_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), we conclude that $f(z)$ is analytic in T^Γ .

Similarly, if we replace m by $\frac{m}{2}$ and set $\omega = \frac{y+y_0}{2} \in \Gamma' \setminus (\Gamma' \cap \overline{D_n(0, m)})$, then (1.8) holds since

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= \left| (l(z))^k \int_{U(\psi, \Gamma)} g(t) e^{-2\pi\langle y_0, t \rangle} e^{2\pi i\langle z, t \rangle} dt \right| \\ &\leq |(l(z))|^k M\left(\Gamma', \frac{m}{2}\right) e^{2\pi\psi\left(\frac{y+y_0}{2}\right)} \int_{U(\psi, \Gamma)} e^{-\pi\langle y+y_0, t \rangle} dt \\ &\leq M'(\Gamma', m)(1 + |z|)^N e^{2\pi\psi\left(\frac{y+y_0}{2}\right)} e^{\pi R_1(\delta+1)|y+y_0|}, \end{aligned}$$

where N_0 denotes the degree of $(l(z))^k$. □

Remark 5.1. If $0 < s < 1$, then $e^{2\pi\psi\left(\frac{y+y_0}{2}\right)}$ in (1.8) can be generalized by $e^{2\pi\psi(sy+sy_0)}$.

Remark 5.2. Take $n = 1$, i.e., $T_\Gamma = [0, \infty)$, $\psi(y) = 0$, $y_0 = 0$ and $k = 0$, then $g(t) \in C(\mathbb{R})$ is supported in $[0, \infty)$ and (1.7) indicates that $g(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. The expression $f(z) = \langle V, e^{2\pi i\langle z, t \rangle} \rangle$ is reduced to

$$f(z) = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[g(t)e^{-2\pi yt}; x]$$

and thus Theorem 1.11 surprisingly improves the result in Theorem 1.4 for $p = \infty$.

References

- [1] E. J. Beltrami and M. R. Wohlers, Distributional boundary value of holomorphic in a half plane, *J. Math. Mech.*, (1966), 137–146.
- [2] E. J. Beltrami and M. R. Wohlers, Distributional boundary value theorems and Hillert transforms, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 4 (1965), 304–309.
- [3] E. J. Beltrami, M. R. Wohlers and G. Sposito, Distributions and the Boundary Values of Analytic Functions, Academic Press, 1966.
- [4] R. D. Carmichael, Distributional boundary values of functions analytic in tubular radial domains, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 9 (1976), 843–853.
- [5] R. D. Carmichael, Distributional boundary values in \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} , *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, (1970), 35–53.
- [6] R. D. Carmichael, Functions analytic in an octant and boundary values of distributions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 3 (1971), 616–626.
- [7] R. D. Carmichael, Generalized Cauchy and Pission integrals and distributional boundary values, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 1 (1971), 198–219.

- [8] R. D. Carmichael and D. Mitrović, Distributions and analytic functions, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., (1991), 162–170.
- [9] E. M. DeJager, The Lorentz-Invariant solutions to the Klein-Gordon equation, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 4 (1967), 944–963.
- [10] G. T. Deng, Complex Analysis, Beijing Normal University Press, 2010 (in Chinese).
- [11] G. T. Deng, Y. Huang and T. Qian, Paley-Wiener-type theorem for analytic functions in tubular domains, J. Math. Anal. Appl., (2019), 123367.
- [12] Y. Ding, Foundation of Modern Analytic, Beijing Normal University Press, 2013 (in Chinese).
- [13] H. A. Lauwerier, The Hilbert problem for generalized functions, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 1 (1963), 157–166.
- [14] R. E. Edwards, Functional analysis, theory and applications, Amer. Math. Mon., 1 (1968), 92.
- [15] H. C. Li, G. T. Deng, and T. Qian, Fourier spectrum characterizations of H^p space on tubes over cones for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, (2018), 1193–1218.
- [16] T. Qian, Y. S. Xu, D. Y. Yan, L. X. Yan, and B. Yu, Fourier spectrum characterization of Hardy spaces and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 3 (2009), 971–980.
- [17] T. Qian, Characterization of boundary values of functions in Hardy spaces with applications in signal analysis, J. Integral Equations Appl., 5 (2015), 159–198.
- [18] L. Schwartz, Théorie Des Distributions, Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [19] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [20] H. G. Tillmann, Darstellung der Schwartzschen Distributionen durch analytische Funktionen, Math. Z., 1 (1961), 106–124.