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#### Abstract

An $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG time-stepping method for second-order Volterra integro-differential equations with weakly singular kernels is studied. In contrast to the methods reducing second-order problems to first-order systems, here the CG and DG methodologies are combined to directly discretise the second-order derivative. An a priori error estimate in the $H^{1}$-norm, fully explicit with respect to the local discretisation and regularity parameters, is derived. It is shown that for analytic solutions with start-up singularities, exponential rates of convergence can be achieved by using geometrically refined time steps and linearly increasing approximation orders. Theoretical results are illustrated by numerical examples.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $T$ and $\alpha \in[0,1)$ be real numbers, $I:=(0, T]$ and $D:=\{(t, s): 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$. In this work, we study numerical methods for the following linear second-order Volterra integro-differential equations (VIDEs):

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}(t)=p(t) u^{\prime}(t)+q(t) u(t)+f(t)+\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) u(s) d s, \quad t \in I,  \tag{1.1}\\
& u(0)=u_{0}, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]The functions $p, q, f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $K(t, s): D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are assumed to be continuous on their respective domains. If $0<\alpha<1$, the Eq. (1.1) is referred to as the weakly singular VIDE. Hereafter, sometimes we will write $\dot{u}$ and $\ddot{u}$ for $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$, respectively.

Equations of the form (1.1) arise in various areas of physics and engineering — cf. [9] and the references therein. In the last few decades, the numerical analysis of VIDEs attracted considerable attention and the list of numerical methods developed includes collocation [5, 14, 20, 28], Runge-Kutta [4, 38], continuous and discontinuous Galerkin methods $[21,23]$. We also refer the reader to the monographs $[6,22]$. However, to the best of authors' knowledge, most of the methods deal with VIDEs of the first-order. For the second-order VIDEs, numerical approaches are not well studied and are mainly restricted to collocation methods [1, 7, 29, 32].

It is well-known that the solutions of integral and integro-differential equations of Volterra type with weakly singular kernels are generally not smooth at the initial point [6]. Such singular behavior may result in a low convergence rate of the corresponding numerical method even if high order polynomials are used. In order to overcome the problems generated by the solution singularities, a number of special approaches such as collocation method with graded meshes [5], nonpolynomial spline collocation method [3], and hybrid collocation method [11] were developed. These methods are mainly based on the $h$-version approach with diminishing time steps and polynomials of a fixed order. Therefore, the best possible convergence order can be only algebraic. In contrast, the $p$ - and $h p$-version approaches employ approximation polynomials of various order. In particular, since $h p$-version methods allow locally varying mesh sizes and approximation orders, smooth solutions with possible local singularities can be approximated with a high algebraic order or even with exponential convergence rate [26].

In recent years, $p$ - and $h p$-versions of Galerkin finite element methods are widely used in approximations of VIDEs. For example, an $h p$-version of the discontinuous Galerkin time-stepping method for the first-order VIDEs and parabolic VIDEs is, respectively, studied in $[8,24]$, an $h p$-version of the continuous Petrov-Galerkin method for the first-order linear and nonlinear VIDEs is considered in [35-37], and $h p$-versions of the discontinuous and continuous Galerkin methods for nonlinear initial value problems are discussed in [25, $33,34]$. Some other high-order methods such as spectral Galerkin and collocation methods have been also applied to Volterra type equations - cf. Refs. [10,12,13,15,17,19,27,31,32]. However, the $h p$-methods for the second-order VIDEs are not well studied and so far, to the best of our knowledge, the problem mentioned has been studied only in [18] for the equations with smooth kernels.

The present work extends the approach of [18] to the second-order VIDE (1.1) with weakly singular kernels. In the method under consideration, the trial spaces consist of $C^{0}$ continuous piecewise polynomials, whereas test spaces use discontinuous piecewise polynomials. At each time step, the formulation can be decoupled into local problems, so that the method can be viewed as a time-stepping scheme. Such a $C^{0}$-CPG time-stepping method has been before employed in time discretisation of the second-order linear evolution problems $[16,30]$, but the error analysis is based on the traditional $h$-version approach. We provide certain local time steps conditions, which ensure the well-posedness of the hp-
version $C^{0}$-CPG formulation. We also establish a priori error bound in the $H^{1}$-norm, fully explicit in local time steps, local approximation orders, and the local regularity of exact solutions. Besides, we prove that for analytic solutions with start-up singularities, the $C^{0}-\mathrm{CPG}$ method based on a special $h p$-discretisation can achieve the exponential convergence.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce an $h p$-version of the $C^{0}$-CPG method for (1.1) and show the existence and uniqueness of discrete solutions. Section 3 deals with a priori error estimates for the $h p$-version of the $C^{0}-\mathrm{CPG}$ method. Numerical examples presented in Section 4 are aimed to verify the theoretical results. Finally, some concluding remarks are given in Section 5.

## 2. An $h p$-Version of $C^{0}$-CPG Time-Stepping Method

Let $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ be the partition

$$
0=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{N-1}<t_{N}=T
$$

of the interval $(0, T)$ into subintervals $I_{n}:=\left(t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right), n=1, \ldots, N, k_{n}:=t_{n}-t_{n-1}$ the length of the subinterval $I_{n}$ and $k:=\max _{1 \leq n \leq N} k_{n}$. For each subinterval $I_{n}$ we assign a local approximation order $r_{n} \geq 1$, store all the orders in the polynomial degree vector $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{N}\right)$, and define $h p$-version trial $S^{\mathbf{r}, 1}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)$ and test $S^{\mathbf{r}-1,0}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)$ spaces as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{\mathbf{r}, 1}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)=\left\{u \in H^{1}(I):\left.u\right|_{I_{n}} \in P_{r_{n}}\left(I_{n}\right), 1 \leq n \leq N\right\} \\
& S^{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1}, 0}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{2}(I):\left.u\right|_{I_{n}} \in P_{r_{n}-1}\left(I_{n}\right), 1 \leq n \leq N\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{j}\left(I_{n}\right), j=r_{n}, r_{n}-1$ denotes the set of all polynomials of degree at most $j$ on $I_{n}$. It is clear that the functions in $S^{\mathbf{r}-1,0}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)$ can be discontinuous at the interior points of the partition $\mathscr{T}_{h}$.

Let $\varphi:(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a piecewise continuous function with respect to the partition $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ and let $\varphi_{n}^{-}$and $\varphi_{n}^{+}$be the left and right limits of $\varphi$ at the nodes $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{N}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi_{n}^{-}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0, s>0} \varphi\left(t_{n}-s\right), & 1 \leq n \leq N \\
\varphi_{n}^{+}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0, s>0} \varphi\left(t_{n}+s\right), & 0 \leq n \leq N-1
\end{array}
$$

The jumps at the interior nodes are defined by $[\varphi]_{n}=\varphi_{n}^{+}-\varphi_{n}^{-}$for $1 \leq n \leq N-1$.
The $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG method for the Eq. (1.1) consists in finding $U \in S^{\mathbf{r}, 1}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)$ such that the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{I_{n}} \ddot{U} \varphi d t+\sum_{n=1}^{N}[\dot{U}]_{n-1} \varphi_{n-1}^{+} \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{I_{n}}(p \dot{U}+q U+f) \varphi d t+\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) U(s) d s\right) \varphi d t  \tag{2.1}\\
& U(0)=u_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

is satisfied for all $\varphi \in S^{\mathrm{r}-1,0}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)$. Note that $\dot{U}_{0}^{-}:=u_{1}$.
Let us show how the scheme (2.1) is derived. Setting $v:=u^{\prime}$, we write the first equation in (1.1) as

$$
v^{\prime}(t)=p(t) v(t)+q(t) u(t)+f(t)+\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) u(s) d s, \quad t \in I .
$$

If $U \in S^{\mathbf{r}, 1}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)$ is an approximation of $u$ and $V$ an approximation of $v$, then the standard discontinuous Galerkin method for the first-order VIDEs [8] allows to determine $V \in$ $S^{\mathrm{r}-1,0}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)$ by solving the variational equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{I_{n}} V^{\prime} \varphi d t+\sum_{n=1}^{N}[V]_{n-1} \varphi_{n-1}^{+} \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{I_{n}}(p V+q U+f) \varphi d t+\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) U(s) d s\right) \varphi d t \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

valid for all $\varphi \in S^{\mathbf{r}-1,0}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)$. In order to enforce the relation $v=u^{\prime}$, an additional variational equation should be added in the usual way. However, here we set $V=U^{\prime}$ in (2.2), thus obtaining (2.1).

Since the test functions are discontinuous, the problem (2.1) can be split into local problems on intervals $I_{n}, 1 \leq n \leq N$. Therefore, the $C^{0}$-CPG method (2.1) can be interpreted as a time stepping scheme - i.e. if $U$ is given on the time intervals $I_{m}, 1 \leq m \leq n-1$, the term $\left.U\right|_{I_{n}} \in P_{r_{n}}\left(I_{n}\right)$ is determined by solving the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{I_{n}} \ddot{U} \varphi d t+\dot{U}_{n-1}^{+} \varphi_{n-1}^{+} \\
= & \dot{U}_{n-1}^{-} \varphi_{n-1}^{+}+\int_{I_{n}}(p \dot{U}+q U+f) \varphi d t+\int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) U(s) d s\right) \varphi d t,  \tag{2.3}\\
& \left.U\right|_{I_{n}}\left(t_{n-1}\right)=\left.U\right|_{I_{n-1}}\left(t_{n-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

valid for all $\varphi \in P_{r_{n}-1}\left(I_{n}\right)$. Here, we set $\left.U\right|_{I_{1}}\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0}$ and $\dot{U}_{0}^{-}=u_{1}$.
In order to show the well-posedness of the discrete solutions defined by (2.1), we need a few technical results.
Lemma 2.1 (cf. Yi \& Guo [37, Lemma 2.2]). Let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{N}$ be a partition $\mathscr{T}_{h}$. If $\alpha<1$ and $g \in L^{2}\left(t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}}\left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} g(s) d s\right)^{2} d t \leq \frac{k_{n}^{2(1-\alpha)}}{(1-\alpha)^{2}} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} g^{2}(s) d s \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $g \in L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} g(s) d s\right)^{2} d t \leq \frac{t_{n}^{2(1-\alpha)}}{(1-\alpha)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} g^{2}(s) d s \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 (cf. Schötzau \& Schwab [25, Lemma 2.4]). On each interval $I_{n}$, the inequality

$$
\int_{I_{n}}|\varphi|^{2} d t \leq \frac{1}{k_{n}}\left(\int_{I_{n}} \varphi d t\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{I_{n}}\left(t_{n}-t\right)\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)|\dot{\varphi}|^{2} d t
$$

holds for all $\varphi \in P_{r_{n}}\left(I_{n}\right), r_{n} \geq 0$.
Lemma 2.3 (The Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality - cf. Braess [2]). Let $J=(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $h:=b-a$. If $u \in H^{1}(J)$ and $u(a)=0$, then it satisfies the inequality

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}(J)} \leq h\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{2}(J)} .
$$

Now we can address the existence and uniqueness of the discrete solutions. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{p}:=\max _{t \in I}|p(t)|, \quad \bar{q}:=\max _{t \in I}|q(t)|, \quad \bar{K}:=\max _{(t, s) \in D}|K(t, s)| \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we define the constants $C_{n}, 1 \leq n \leq N$ by

$$
C_{n}= \begin{cases}\bar{p}+\bar{q} k_{n}+\frac{\bar{K} k_{n}^{2-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}, & \text { if } r_{n}=1  \tag{2.7}\\ \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}\left(\bar{p}+\bar{q} k_{n}+\frac{\bar{K} k_{n}^{2-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\right), & \text { if } r_{n}>1\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 2.1. If the partition $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n} k_{n}<1, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the constants $C_{n}>0$ defined in (2.7), then the discrete problem (2.1) has a unique solution $U \in S^{\mathbf{r}, 1}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)$.

Proof. Since the $h p$-version of the $C^{0}$-CPG method (2.1) is a time-stepping scheme, it suffices to prove the existence and uniqueness of the discrete solution of the Eq. (2.3) for $n=1$. For $n \geq 2$ the proof is analogous. Thus let us first show the uniqueness of the solution of (2.3) for $n=1$. Assume that there are two solutions $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ of (2.3) on $I_{1}$. The difference $E=U_{1}-U_{2}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{I_{1}} \ddot{E} \varphi d t+\dot{E}_{0}^{+} \varphi_{0}^{+} \\
= & \dot{E}_{0}^{-} \varphi_{0}^{+}+\int_{I_{1}} p \dot{E} \varphi d t+\int_{I_{1}} q E \varphi d t+\int_{I_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) E(s) d s\right) \varphi d t \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in P_{r_{1}-1}\left(I_{1}\right)$. Using integration by parts in (2.9) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{I_{1}} \dot{E} \dot{\varphi} d t+\dot{E}_{1}^{-} \varphi_{1}^{-} \\
= & \dot{E}_{0}^{-} \varphi_{0}^{+}+\int_{I_{1}} p \dot{E} \varphi d t+\int_{I_{1}} q E \varphi d t+\int_{I_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) E(s) d s\right) \varphi d t \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in P_{r_{1}-1}\left(I_{1}\right)$. We next consider the following two cases.

Case I. $r_{1}=1$. It is clear that $\ddot{E}=0$ and $\dot{E}_{0}^{-}=0$. Choosing $\varphi=\dot{E}$ in (2.9) and using (2.6) along with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the inequality (2.4) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\dot{E}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2}=\left|\dot{E}_{0}^{+}\right|^{2} \\
= & \int_{I_{1}} p|\dot{E}|^{2} d t+\int_{I_{1}} q E \dot{E} d t+\int_{I_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) E(s) d s\right) \dot{E} d t \\
\leq & \bar{p}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I_{1}\right)} \int_{I_{1}}|\dot{E}| d t+\bar{q}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I_{1}\right)} \int_{I_{1}}|E| d t+\bar{K}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I_{1}\right)} \int_{I_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|E(s)| d s\right) d t \\
\leq & \bar{p} k_{1}^{1 / 2}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I_{1}\right)}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}+\bar{q} k_{1}^{1 / 2}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I_{1}\right)}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}+\bar{K} k_{1}^{1 / 2}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I_{1}\right)} \frac{k_{1}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I_{1}\right)} \leq \bar{p} k_{1}^{1 / 2}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}+\bar{q} k_{1}^{1 / 2}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}+\bar{K} k_{1}^{1 / 2} \frac{k_{1}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I_{1}\right)}=k_{1}^{-1 / 2}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}$, the inequality (2.11) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\bar{p} k_{1}\right)\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)} \leq \bar{q} k_{1}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}+\bar{K} \frac{k_{1}^{2-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account that $E(0)=0$ and applying Lemma 2.3 to (2.12), we obtain

$$
\left(1-\bar{p} k_{1}-\bar{q} k_{1}^{2}-\frac{\bar{K} k_{1}^{3-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\right)\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)} \leq 0
$$

for $\bar{p} k_{1}<1$. Therefore, if

$$
\left(\bar{p}+\bar{q} k_{1}+\frac{\bar{K} k_{1}^{2-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\right) k_{1}<1
$$

then $E=0$.
Case II. $r_{1}>1$. Choosing $\varphi=\left(t-t_{0}\right) \ddot{E}$ in (2.9) yields $\varphi_{0}^{+}=0$. Recalling the definitions (2.6) and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality along with the inequality (2.4) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I_{1}}|\ddot{E}(t)|^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t \\
\leq & \bar{p}\left(\int_{I_{1}}\left|\dot{E}(t)^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right| d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{I_{1}}|\ddot{E}(t)|^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +\bar{q}\left(\int_{I_{1}}\left|E(t)^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right| d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{I_{1}}|\ddot{E}(t)|^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +\bar{K}\left(\int_{I_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|E(s)| d s\right)^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{I_{1}}|\ddot{E}(t)|^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \bar{p} k_{1}^{1 / 2}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}\left(\int_{I_{1}}|\ddot{E}(t)|^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t\right)^{1 / 2}+\bar{q} k_{1}^{1 / 2}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}\left(\int_{I_{1}}|\ddot{E}(t)|^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +\bar{K} k_{1}^{1 / 2} \frac{k_{1}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}\left(\int_{I_{1}}|\ddot{E}(t)|^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{I_{1}}|\ddot{E}(t)|^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t \\
\leq & 3 \bar{p}^{2} k_{1}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2}+3 \bar{q}^{2} k_{1}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2}+3 \bar{K}^{2} k_{1} \frac{k_{1}^{2(1-\alpha)}}{(1-\alpha)^{2}}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2} . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Choosing $\varphi=t_{1}-t$ in (2.10) implies $\varphi_{1}^{-}=0$. Therefore, the definitions (2.6), the CauchySchwarz inequality and the inequality (2.4) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{I_{1}} \dot{E}(t) d t \leq & \bar{p}\left(\int_{I_{1}}|\dot{E}(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{I_{1}}\left(t_{1}-t\right)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +\bar{q}\left(\int_{I_{1}}|E(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{I_{1}}\left(t_{1}-t\right)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +\bar{K}\left(\int_{I_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|E(s)| d s\right)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{I_{1}}\left(t_{1}-t\right)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leq & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \bar{p} k_{1}^{3 / 2}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \bar{q} k_{1}^{3 / 2}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \bar{K} \frac{k_{1}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} k_{1}^{3 / 2}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{I_{1}} \dot{E}(t) d t\right)^{2} \leq \bar{p}^{2} k_{1}^{3}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2}+\bar{q}^{2} k_{1}^{3}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2}+\bar{K}^{2} \frac{k_{1}^{2(1-\alpha)}}{(1-\alpha)^{2}} k_{1}^{3}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and the inequalities (2.13), (2.14) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{k_{1}}\left(\int_{I_{1}} \dot{E} d t\right)^{2}+\frac{k_{1}}{2} \int_{I_{1}}|\ddot{E}|^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) d t \\
& \leq \frac{5}{2} \bar{p}^{2} k_{1}^{2}\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2}+\frac{5}{2} \bar{q}^{2} k_{1}^{2}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2}+\frac{5}{2} \bar{K}^{2} \frac{k_{1}^{2(1-\alpha)}}{(1-\alpha)^{2}} k_{1}^{2}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{5}{2} \bar{p}^{2} k_{1}^{2}\right)\|\dot{E}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2} \leq \frac{5}{2} \bar{q}^{2} k_{1}^{2}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2}+\frac{5}{2} \bar{K}^{2} \frac{k_{1}^{2(1-\alpha)}}{(1-\alpha)^{2}} k_{1}^{2}\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E(0)=0$, the application of Lemma 2.3 to (2.15) show that if $(5 / 2) \bar{p}^{2} k_{1}^{2}<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{5}{2} \bar{p}^{2} k_{1}^{2}-\frac{5}{2} \bar{q}^{2} k_{1}^{4}-\frac{5}{2} \bar{K}^{2} \frac{k_{1}^{2(3-\alpha)}}{(1-\alpha)^{2}}\right)\|E\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2} \leq 0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming now that $C_{1} k_{1}<1$, where $C_{1}$ is the constant defined in (2.7), we obtain $E=0$.
Thus the uniqueness of the solution is established for any $r_{n} \geq 1$. Since (2.3) is a linear finite dimensional problem, its solvability follows from the uniqueness property.

Remark 2.1. The mesh condition (2.8) in Theorem 2.1 implies that the well-posedness of discrete solutions is completely independent of the local approximation orders $r_{n}, 1 \leq$ $n \leq N$. It is worth noting that (2.8) is only a sufficient condition and is needed because of our proof method. It is not necessary for the well-posedness and numerical experiments show that the $h p$-version of the $C^{0}$-CPG scheme can still be convergent, even if (2.8) is not satisfied.

## 3. Error Analysis

In order to study the errors of the method, we introduce a projection operator that has been previously used [18] during the study of the $C^{0}$-CPG method for the second-order VIDEs with smooth kernels. Here we also assume that the partition $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ satisfies the condition (2.8).

Let $\Lambda=[-1,1]$ and $r \geq 1$. For any function $u \in H^{1}(\Lambda)$ such that $u^{\prime}(\xi)$ is continuous at $\xi=1$, the projection operator $\Pi_{\Lambda}^{r}: H^{1}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow P_{r}(\Lambda)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Lambda}\left(u-\Pi_{\Lambda}^{r} u\right)^{\prime} \varphi d \xi=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad \varphi \in P_{r-2}(\Lambda)  \tag{3.1}\\
& \Pi_{\Lambda}^{r} u(-1)=u(-1), \quad\left(\Pi_{\Lambda}^{r} u\right)^{\prime}(1)=u^{\prime}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the first condition is not required if $r=1$. For $r \geq 2$, we can choose $\varphi=1$ in (3.1) and use integration by parts to obtain $\Pi_{\Lambda}^{r} u(1)=u(1)$.

For a general interval $J=(a, b)$, we set $\Pi_{J}^{r} u=\left[\Pi_{\Lambda}^{r}(u \circ \mathscr{M})\right] \circ \mathscr{M}^{-1}$ with $\mathscr{M}: \Lambda \rightarrow J$ be the linear transformation $\xi \mapsto t=(a+b+(b-a) \xi) / 2$. Let $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ be an arbitrary partition of $(0, T)$. Then for any $u \in H^{2}(I)$ we can define a piecewise polynomial $\mathscr{I} u$ by

$$
\left.\mathscr{I} u\right|_{I_{n}}=\Pi_{I_{n}}^{r_{n}} u, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N .
$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$
(\mathscr{I} u)_{n-1}^{+}=u_{n-1}^{+}, \quad(\dot{\mathscr{I}} u)_{n}^{-}=\dot{u}_{n}^{-}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N
$$

Moreover, if $r_{n} \geq 2$, then $\mathscr{I} u \in S^{r, 1}\left(\mathscr{T}_{h}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{n}}(u-\mathscr{I} u)^{\prime} \varphi d t=0, \quad \forall \varphi \in P_{r_{n}-2}\left(I_{n}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 (Approximation properties of $\mathscr{I} u$, cf. Li et al. [18]). Let $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ be a partition of $(0, T)$. If $\left.u\right|_{I_{n}} \in H^{s_{0, n}+1}\left(I_{n}\right)$ for $s_{0, n} \geq 1$, then for any real $s_{n}, 0 \leq s_{n} \leq \min \left\{r_{n}, s_{0, n}\right\}$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-\mathscr{I} u\|_{H^{1}(0, T)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{k_{n}}{2}\right)^{2 s_{n}} \frac{\Gamma\left(r_{n}+1-s_{n}\right)}{\Gamma\left(r_{n}+1+s_{n}\right)}\|u\|_{H^{s_{n}+1}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with a constant $C>0$ independent of $k_{n}, r_{n}$ and $s_{n}$.
Now we can derive abstract error estimates for the method under consideration. Let $u$ be the exact solution of (1.1) and $U$ be the approximate solution derived by the method (2.1). As usual, we represent the error $e:=u-U$ in the form

$$
e=(u-\mathscr{I} u)+(\mathscr{I} u-U):=\eta+\xi .
$$

To derive the error bound, we need the discrete Gronwall inequality.
Lemma 3.2 (Discrete Gronwall inequality, - cf. e.g. [6]). Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers and $b_{1} \leq b_{2} \leq \cdots \leq b_{N}$. If there is a constant $C \geq 0$ and weights $w_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq N-1$ such that

$$
a_{1} \leq b_{1}, \quad a_{n} \leq b_{n}+C \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_{i} a_{i}, \quad 2 \leq n \leq N
$$

then

$$
a_{n} \leq b_{n} \exp \left(C \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_{i}\right), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N
$$

Since Lemma 3.1 can be used to bound $\eta$, our task reduces to the estimate of $\xi$.
Lemma 3.3. For any $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $r_{n} \geq 1$, the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\dot{\xi}_{n}^{-}\right|^{2} \leq C\left(\|\eta\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\eta}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \left(\int_{I_{n}} \dot{\xi} d t\right)^{2} \leq C k_{n}^{2}\left(\|\eta\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\eta}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& \int_{I_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)|\ddot{\xi}|^{2} d t \leq C k_{n}\left(\|\eta\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\eta}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right), \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where constant $C>0$ depends on $\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{K}$ and $t_{n}$ only.
Proof. The Eqs. (1.1) and (2.3) yield the local Galerkin orthogonality property, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{I_{n}} \ddot{e} \varphi d t+\dot{e}_{n-1}^{+} \varphi_{n-1}^{+} \\
= & \dot{e}_{n-1}^{-} \varphi_{n-1}^{+}+\int_{I_{n}} p \dot{e} \varphi d t+\int_{I_{n}} q e \varphi d t+\int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) e(s) d s\right) \varphi d t \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in P_{r_{n}-1}\left(I_{n}\right)$.
We again consider the situations $r_{n}=1$ and $r_{n}>1$, separately.

Case I. $r_{n}=1$. Integration by parts in the left-hand side of (3.7) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{e}_{n}^{-} \varphi_{n}^{-}=\dot{e}_{n-1}^{-} \varphi_{n-1}^{+}+\int_{I_{n}} p \dot{e} \varphi d t+\int_{I_{n}} q e \varphi d t+\int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) e(s) d s\right) \varphi d t \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose now $\varphi=1$ in (3.8). Since $\dot{e}_{0}^{-}=0$ and $\dot{\eta}_{n}^{-}=0$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\xi}_{n}^{-} & =\dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{-}+\int_{I_{n}} p \dot{e} d t+\int_{I_{n}} q e d t+\int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) e(s) d s\right) d t \\
& \leq \dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{-}+\bar{p} \int_{I_{n}}|\dot{e}(t)| d t+\bar{q} \int_{I_{n}}|e(t)| d t+\bar{K} \int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right) d t \\
& \leq \dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{-}+\bar{p} k_{n}^{1 / 2}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}+\bar{q} k_{n}^{1 / 2}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}+\bar{K} k_{n}^{1 / 2}\left\|\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we set $\dot{\xi}_{0}^{-}=0$. Iterating (3.9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\xi}_{n}^{-} \leq \bar{p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}^{1 / 2}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{i}\right)}+\bar{q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}^{1 / 2}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{i}\right)}+\bar{K} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}^{1 / 2}\left\|\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{i}\right)} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Squaring both sides of (3.10), using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.5) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\dot{\xi}_{n}^{-}\right|^{2} \leq & 3 \bar{p}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}^{1 / 2}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{i}\right)}\right)^{2}+3 \bar{q}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}^{1 / 2}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{i}\right)}\right)^{2} \\
& +3 \bar{K}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}^{1 / 2}\left\|\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{i}\right)}\right)^{2} \\
\leq & 3 \bar{p}^{2} t_{n}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+3 \bar{q}^{2} t_{n}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+3 \bar{K}^{2} t_{n} \frac{t_{n}^{2(1-\alpha)}}{(1-\alpha)^{2}}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & C\left(\|\eta\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\eta}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the inequality (3.4) is proven for $r_{n}=1$. This yields the estimate (3.5) - i.e.

$$
\left(\int_{I_{n}} \dot{\xi} d t\right)^{2}=k_{n}^{2}\left|\dot{\xi}_{n}^{-}\right|^{2} \leq C k_{n}^{2}\left(\|\eta\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\eta}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right)
$$

and (3.6) follows directly from the fact that $\ddot{\xi}=0$ if $r_{n}=1$.
Case II. $r_{n}>1$. Since $\dot{\eta}_{n}^{-}=0$ for any $1 \leq n \leq N$, we can use integration by parts in (3.7) and (3.2) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\xi}_{n}^{-} \varphi_{n}^{-}-\int_{I_{n}} \dot{\xi} \dot{\varphi} d t \\
= & \dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{-} \varphi_{n-1}^{+}+\int_{I_{n}} p \dot{e} \varphi d t+\int_{I_{n}} q e \varphi d t+\int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) e(s) d s\right) \varphi d t \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in P_{r_{n}-1}\left(I_{n}\right)$. Integrating the result by parts yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{+} \varphi_{n-1}^{+}+\int_{I_{n}} \ddot{\xi} \varphi d t \\
= & \dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{-} \varphi_{n-1}^{+}+\int_{I_{n}} p \dot{e} \varphi d t+\int_{I_{n}} q e \varphi d t+\int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) e(s) d s\right) \varphi d t \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in P_{r_{n}-1}\left(I_{n}\right)$. For $\varphi=\dot{\xi}$, the Eq. (3.12) takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left|\dot{\xi}_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{+}\right|^{2} \\
= & \dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{-} \dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{+}+\int_{I_{n}} p \dot{e} \dot{\xi} d t+\int_{I_{n}} q e \dot{\xi} d t+\int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) e(s) d s\right) \dot{\xi} d t \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left|\dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{-}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{+}\right|^{2}+\bar{p} \int_{I_{n}}|\dot{e} \dot{\xi}| d t+\bar{q} \int_{I_{n}}|e \dot{\xi}| d t+\bar{K} \int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right)|\dot{\xi}| d t \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left|\dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{-}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{+}\right|^{2}+\bar{p}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}+\bar{q}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)} \\
& +\bar{K}\left\|\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\dot{\xi}_{n}^{-}\right|^{2} \leq\left|\dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{-}\right|^{2} \\
&+2 \bar{p}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}+2 \bar{q}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)} \\
&+2 \bar{K}\left\|\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)} \\
& \leq\left|\dot{\xi}_{n-1}^{-}\right|^{2}+\bar{p}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{p}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{q}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{q}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}  \tag{3.13}\\
&+\bar{K}\left\|\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{K}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Iterating (3.13) and using (2.5) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\dot{\xi}_{n}^{-}\right|^{2} \leq \bar{p}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{p}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{q}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{q}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\bar{K}\left\|\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e(s) d s\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{K}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \bar{p}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{p}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{q}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{q}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\bar{K} \frac{t_{n}^{2(1-\alpha)}}{(1-\alpha)^{2}}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\bar{K}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left(\|\eta\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\eta}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that (3.4) is also proven for $r_{n}>1$. Furthermore, choosing $\varphi=\left(t_{n-1}-t\right)$ in (3.11) and using (2.5), gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -k_{n} \dot{\xi}_{n}^{-}+\int_{I_{n}} \dot{\xi} d t \\
= & \int_{I_{n}} p(t) \dot{e}(t)\left(t_{n-1}-t\right) d t+\int_{I_{n}} q(t) e(t)\left(t_{n-1}-t\right) d t \\
& +\int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) e(s) d s\right)\left(t_{n-1}-t\right) d t \\
\leq & \bar{p} \int_{I_{n}}\left|\dot{e}(t)\left(t_{n-1}-t\right)\right| d t+\bar{q} \int_{I_{n}}\left|e(t)\left(t_{n-1}-t\right)\right| d t \\
& +\bar{K} \int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right)\left|t_{n-1}-t\right| d t \\
\leq & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} k_{n}^{3 / 2} \bar{p}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} k_{n}^{3 / 2} \bar{q}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} k_{n}^{3 / 2} \bar{K}\left\|_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right\|_{0} \\
\leq & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} k_{n}^{3 / 2} \bar{p}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} k_{n}^{3 / 2} \bar{q}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} k_{n}^{3 / 2} \bar{K} \frac{t_{n}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This and (3.4) imply the estimate (3.5). Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{I_{n}} \dot{\xi} d t\right)^{2} & \leq 4 k_{n}^{2}\left|\dot{\xi}_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}+\frac{4}{3} k_{n}^{3} \bar{p}^{2}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}+\frac{4}{3} k_{n}^{3} \bar{q}^{2}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}+\frac{4}{3} k_{n}^{3} \bar{K}^{2} \frac{t_{n}^{2(1-\alpha)}}{(1-\alpha)^{2}}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq C k_{n}^{2}\left(\|\eta\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\eta}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, choosing $\varphi=\left(t-t_{n-1}\right) \ddot{\xi}$ in (3.12) and using (2.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)|\ddot{\xi}|^{2} d t \\
= & \int_{I_{n}} p(t) \dot{e}(t)\left(t-t_{n-1}\right) \ddot{\xi} d t+\int_{I_{n}} q(t) e(t)\left(t-t_{n-1}\right) \ddot{\xi} d t \\
& +\int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} K(t, s) e(s) d s\right)\left(t-t_{n-1}\right) \ddot{\xi} d t \\
\leq & \bar{p} \int_{I_{n}}\left|\dot{e}(t)\left(t-t_{n-1}\right) \ddot{\xi}\right| d t+\bar{q} \int_{I_{n}}\left|e(t)\left(t-t_{n-1}\right) \ddot{\xi}\right| d t \\
& +\bar{K} \int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right)\left|\left(t-t_{n-1}\right) \ddot{\xi}\right| d t \\
\leq & \bar{p} k_{n}^{1 / 2}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}\left(\int_{I_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)|\ddot{\xi}|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+\bar{q} k_{n}^{1 / 2}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}\left(\int_{I_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)|\ddot{\xi}|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad+\bar{K} k_{n}^{1 / 2}\left\|\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|e(s)| d s\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}\left(\int_{I_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)|\ddot{\xi}|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \\
& \leq \bar{p} k_{n}^{1 / 2}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}\left(\int_{I_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)|\ddot{\xi}|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+\bar{q} k_{n}^{1 / 2}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}\left(\int_{I_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)|\ddot{\xi}|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad+\bar{K} k_{n}^{1 / 2} \frac{t_{n}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}\left(\int_{I_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)|\ddot{\xi}|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{I_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)|\ddot{\xi}|^{2} d t & \leq\left(\bar{p} k_{n}^{1 / 2}\|\dot{e}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}+\bar{q} k_{n}^{1 / 2}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}+\bar{K} k_{n}^{1 / 2} \frac{t_{n}^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\|e\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C k_{n}\left(\|\eta\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\eta}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of (3.6) for $r_{n}>1$.
We are ready to present an abstract error bound for the $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG method (2.1).

Theorem 3.1. If $u$ is the solution of (1.1) and $U$ its approximate solution obtained by the method (2.1), then for any $r_{n} \geq 2$ and any sufficiently small $k_{n}$, the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-U\|_{H^{1}(0, T)} \leq C\|u-\mathscr{I} u\|_{H^{1}(0, T)} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant, which depends on $\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{K}$, and $T$ only.
Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.2 and estimates (3.5), (3.6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{I_{n}}|\dot{\xi}|^{2} d t & \leq \frac{1}{k_{n}}\left(\int_{I_{n}} \dot{\xi} d t\right)^{2}+\frac{k_{n}}{2} \int_{I_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)|\ddot{\xi}|^{2} d t \\
& \leq C k_{n}\left(\|\eta\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\eta}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $r_{n} \geq 1$. If $k_{n}$ is sufficiently small, the above inequality can be rewritten as

$$
\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2} \leq C k_{n}\left(\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n-1}\right)}^{2}\right)
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\frac{\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}}{k_{n}} \leq C\left(\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_{i} \frac{\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{i}\right)}^{2}}{k_{i}}
$$

The discrete Gronwall inequality — cf. Lemma 3.2, implies

$$
\frac{\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}}{k_{n}} \leq C\left(\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right) \cdot \exp \left(C \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_{i}\right)
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2} & \leq C k_{n} e^{C t_{n-1}}\left(\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq C k_{n}\left(\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Summing the estimates (3.15) in $i$ from 1 to $n$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}\left(\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{i}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{i}\right)}^{2}\right) \leq C t_{n}\left(\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\xi \in H^{1}(0, T)$ for $r_{n} \geq 2,1 \leq n \leq N$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{n-1}^{+}\right|^{2}=\left|\xi_{n-1}^{-}\right|^{2}=\left(\int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \dot{\xi} d t\right)^{2} \leq t_{n-1}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n-1}\right)}^{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since

$$
\xi(t)=\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t} \dot{\xi} d t+\xi_{n-1}^{+},
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2} & \leq \int_{I_{n}}\left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}}|\dot{\xi}| d t+\left|\xi_{n-1}^{+}\right|\right)^{2} d t=k_{n}\left(\int_{I_{n}}|\dot{\xi}| d t+\left|\xi_{n-1}^{+}\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq 2 k_{n}^{2}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}+2 k_{n}\left|\xi_{n-1}^{+}\right|^{2} \leq 2 k_{n}^{2}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}+2 k_{n} t_{n-1}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n-1}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq C k_{n}\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.16) into (3.18) gives

$$
\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2} \leq C k_{n}\left(\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right) .
$$

If $k_{n}$ is sufficiently small, the above inequality can be rewritten as

$$
\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2} \leq C k_{n}\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+C k_{n}\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n-1}\right)}^{2},
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\frac{\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}}{k_{n}} \leq C\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+C \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_{i} \frac{\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{i}\right)}^{2}}{k_{i}} .
$$

Applying the discrete Gronwall inequality - cf. Lemma 3.2, yields

$$
\frac{\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}}{k_{n}} \leq C\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} \cdot \exp \left(C \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_{i}\right),
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2} \leq C k_{n} e^{C t_{n-1}}\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing (3.19) in $i$ from 1 to $n$ leads to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{i}\right)}^{2} \leq C t_{n}\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and combining estimates (3.15), (3.19) and (3.20) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\xi\|_{H^{1}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}=\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\dot{\xi}\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq C k_{n}\left(\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}+\|\xi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2}\right) \leq C k_{n}\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Summing (3.21) in $n$ from 1 to $N$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\xi\|_{H^{1}(0, T)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{N} k_{n}\|\eta\|_{H^{1}\left(0, t_{n}\right)}^{2} \leq C T\|\eta\|_{H^{1}(0, T)}^{2}, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the estimate (3.14) follows from the triangle inequality and (3.22).
Remark 3.1. The $C^{0}$-CPG scheme is designed for $r_{n} \geq 1$, but the main result of Theorem 3.1 is valid only for $r_{n} \geq 2$ because our proof uses the global continuity of piecewise polynomials $\mathscr{I} u$ and for $r_{n}=1$ the corresponding can be discontinuous.

### 3.1. Convergence of the $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG method

We now evaluate the errors for the $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG time-stepping method on an arbitrary partition $\mathscr{T}_{h}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ be a partition of $(0, T)$, $u$ the exact solution of (1.1), and $U$ the approximate solution obtained by the method (2.1). If $\left.u\right|_{I_{n}} \in H^{s_{0, n}+1}\left(I_{n}\right)$ for $s_{0, n} \geq 1$, then for $r_{n} \geq 2$ and sufficiently small $k_{n}$, the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-U\|_{H^{1}(0, T)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{k_{n}}{2}\right)^{2 s_{n}} \frac{\Gamma\left(r_{n}+1-s_{n}\right)}{\Gamma\left(r_{n}+1+s_{n}\right)}\|u\|_{H^{s_{n}+1}\left(I_{n}\right)}^{2} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any real $s_{n}, 0 \leq s_{n} \leq \min \left\{r_{n}, s_{0, n}\right\}$ with a constant $C>0$ independent of $k_{n}, r_{n}$ and $s_{n}$.

Moreover, if $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ is a quasi-uniform partition of ( $0, T$ ), i.e. if there is a constant $C_{q}>0$ such that $k \leq C_{q} k_{n}$ for all $1 \leq n \leq N, r_{n} \equiv r \geq 2$ and $u \in H^{s+1}(I)$ for $s \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-U\|_{H^{1}(0, T)} \leq C \frac{k^{\min \{s, r\}}}{r^{s}}\|u\|_{H^{s+1}(0, T)} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $C>0$ independent of $k$ and $r$.
Proof. The estimate (3.23) immediately follows from Theorem 3.1 and the approximation properties of $\mathscr{I} u$, cf. Lemma 3.1. The estimate (3.24) follows from (3.23) and Stirling's formula.

Remark 3.2. The estimate (3.23) is fully explicit with respect to the local time steps $k_{n}$, the local approximation order $r_{n}$, and the local regularity $s_{n}$ of the exact solution.

Remark 3.3. The estimate (3.24) implies that the $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG method converges if the time step $k$ diminishes or/and if the polynomial degree $r$ increases. In particular, (3.24) shows that the $p$-version approach can produce arbitrary high-order algebraic convergence rate (or spectral convergence), provided that the exact solution $u$ is smooth enough.

### 3.2. Exponential convergence for singular solutions

As we know, the solution of VIDEs (1.1) with weakly singular kernels - i.e. if $0<\alpha<1$, are generally not smooth at $t=0^{+}[6,29]$. Such singular behavior of $u$ near $t=0$ may lead to suboptimal convergence rates if we use quasi-uniform time partition.

In this section, we consider the $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG method for problems, the solutions of which have start-up singularities as $t \rightarrow 0$. More precisely, we assume that the solution $u$ of the problem (1.1) is analytic in ( $0, T]$ and satisfies the analytic regularity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{(s)}(t)\right| \leq C d^{s} \Gamma(s+1) t^{\theta-s}, \quad t \in(0, T], \quad s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad \theta>2 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for positive constants $C$ and $d$, which may depend on $u$.
We show that the $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG time-stepping method based on geometrically refined time steps and linearly increasing approximation orders, leads to exponential rates of convergence for the solutions satisfying condition (3.25).

We begin with some definitions -cf. [8, 25,37].
Definition 3.1 (Geometric partition). A geometric partition $\mathscr{T}_{M, \sigma}$ of $(0, T)$ with grading factor $\sigma \in(0,1)$ and $M$ levels of refinement is obtained by first quasi-uniformly partitioning ( $0, T$ ) into (coarse) intervals $\left\{J_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell=1}^{L}$, and then the first interval $J_{1}=\left(0, T_{1}\right)$ near $t=0$ is further subdivided into $M+1$ subintervals $\left\{I_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{M+1}$ by using the time steps

$$
t_{0}=0, \quad t_{m}=\sigma^{M-m+1} T_{1}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M+1 .
$$

The parameter $\sigma \in(0,1)$ is called the geometric refinement factor. Obviously, the time steps $k_{m}=t_{m}-t_{m-1}$ satisfy $k_{m}=\lambda t_{m-1}$ with $\lambda:=(1-\sigma) / \sigma$ for $2 \leq m \leq M+1$.

Definition 3.2 (Linearly increasing approximation order). Let $\mathscr{T}_{M, \sigma}$ be a geometric mesh of $(0, T)$. An approximation degree vector $\mathbf{r}$ on $\mathscr{T}_{M, \sigma}$ is called linear with slope $v>0$ if $r_{1}=2, r_{m}=\max \{2,\lfloor v m\rfloor\}$ for $2 \leq m \leq M+1$ on the geometrically refined elements $\left\{I_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{M+1}$ and if $r_{\ell}=\max \{2,\lfloor\nu(M+1)\rfloor\}$ on the coarse element $J_{\ell}$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq L$.

The following result establishes the exponential rate of convergence in terms of the degree of freedom for the $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG time-stepping method.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that the solution $u$ of the Eq. (1.1) satisfies the condition (3.25), $\mathscr{T}_{M, \sigma}$ is a geometric mesh of $(0, T)$ satisfying (2.8) and $U$ the approximate solution of (1.1) obtained
by the method (2.1). Then there exists a slope $v_{0}>0$ solely depending on $\sigma$ and $\theta$ such that for all linear polynomial degree vectors $\mathbf{r}$ with a slope $v \geq v_{0}$, the error estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-U\|_{H^{1}(0, T)} \leq C e^{-b \sqrt{D}} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with constants $C, b>0$ independent of $D=\operatorname{dim}\left(S^{\mathbf{r}-1,0}\left(\mathscr{T}_{M, \sigma}\right)\right)$.
Proof. The proof of (3.26) is similar to the corresponding proofs of [8,25,37], but we present it here in order to make the paper self-contained.

By Theorem 3.1, we only have to estimate the term $u-\mathscr{I} u$. For this purpose, we choose $v \geq 1$ such that $r_{m}=\lfloor v m\rfloor \geq 2$ on the geometrically refined intervals $\left\{I_{m}\right\}_{m=2}^{M+1}$ and $r_{\ell}=\lfloor v(M+1)\rfloor \geq 2$ on the coarse intervals $\left\{J_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell=2}^{L}$. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-U\|_{H^{1}(0, T)}^{2} \leq C\left(\sum_{1 \leq m \leq M+1}\|u-\mathscr{I} u\|_{H^{1}\left(I_{m}\right)}^{2}+\sum_{2 \leq \ell \leq L}\|u-\mathscr{I} u\|_{H^{1}\left(J_{\ell}\right)}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the first subinterval $I_{1}$, we use Lemma 3.1 with $s_{0,1}=s_{1}=1$ and (3.25) thus obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-\mathscr{I} u\|_{H^{1}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{H^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)}^{2} \leq C k_{1}^{2 \theta-1}=C \sigma^{M(2 \theta-1)} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the subintervals $I_{m}, 2 \leq m \leq M+1$, we use Lemma 3.1 and get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{2 \leq m \leq M+1}\|u-\mathscr{I} u\|_{H^{1}\left(I_{m}\right)}^{2} & \leq C \sum_{2 \leq m \leq M+1}\left(\frac{k_{m}}{2}\right)^{2 s_{m}} \frac{\Gamma\left(r_{m}+1-s_{m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(r_{m}+1+s_{m}\right)}\|u\|_{H^{s} m+1\left(I_{m}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{2 \leq m \leq M+1}\left(\frac{k_{m}}{2}\right)^{2 s_{m}+1} \frac{\Gamma\left(r_{m}+1-s_{m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(r_{m}+1+s_{m}\right)}\|u\|_{W^{s_{m}+1, \infty}\left(I_{m}\right)}^{2} \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0 \leq s_{m} \leq \min \left\{r_{m}, s_{0, m}\right\}$. Since away from $t=0$ the solution $u$ is analytic, for $2 \leq m \leq$ $M+1$ the regularity exponents $s_{0, m}$ can be chosen arbitrarily large. For convenience, we set

$$
e_{m}=\left(\frac{k_{m}}{2}\right)^{2 s_{m}+2} \frac{\Gamma\left(r_{m}+1-s_{m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(r_{m}+1+s_{m}\right)}\|u\|_{W^{s_{m}+1, \infty}\left(I_{m}\right)}^{2}, \quad 2 \leq m \leq M+1 .
$$

In view of (3.25), for any $s_{m} \geq 0$ we have

$$
\|u\|_{W^{s_{m}+1, \infty}\left(I_{m}\right)}^{2} \leq C d^{2 s_{m}} \Gamma\left(2 s_{m}+1\right) \sigma^{2(M-m+2)\left(\theta-s_{m}-1\right)}, \quad 2 \leq m \leq M+1 .
$$

Since $k_{m}=\lambda t_{m-1}$ with $t_{m-1}=\sigma^{M-m+2} T_{1}$, it yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{m} & \leq C\left(\frac{\lambda \sigma^{M-m+2}}{2}\right)^{2 s_{m}+2} \frac{\Gamma\left(r_{m}+1-s_{m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(r_{m}+1+s_{m}\right)} d^{2 s_{m}} \Gamma\left(2 s_{m}+1\right)\left(\sigma^{M-m+2}\right)^{2\left(\theta-s_{m}-1\right)} \\
& \leq C \sigma^{(M-m+2) 2 \theta}\left((\lambda d)^{2 s_{m}} \frac{\Gamma\left(r_{m}+1-s_{m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(r_{m}+1+s_{m}\right)} \Gamma\left(2 s_{m}+1\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Selecting $s_{m}=\varepsilon_{m} r_{m}$ with an $\varepsilon_{m} \in(0,1)$ and using Stirling's formula gives

$$
e_{m} \leq C \sigma^{(M-m+2) 2 \theta} r_{m}^{1 / 2}\left(\left(\lambda d \varepsilon_{m}\right)^{2 \varepsilon_{m}} \frac{\left(1-\varepsilon_{m}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{m}}}{\left(1+\varepsilon_{m}\right)^{1+\varepsilon_{m}}}\right)^{r_{m}}
$$

Since the function

$$
g_{\lambda, d}(\varepsilon)=(\lambda d \varepsilon)^{2 \varepsilon} \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{1-\varepsilon}}{(1+\varepsilon)^{1+\varepsilon}}
$$

satisfies the inequality

$$
0<\inf _{0<\varepsilon<1} g_{\lambda, d}(\varepsilon)=: g_{\lambda, d}\left(\varepsilon_{\min }\right)<1
$$

with $\varepsilon_{\min }=1 / \sqrt{1+\lambda^{2} d^{2}}$, we set

$$
g_{\min }:=g_{\lambda, d}\left(\varepsilon_{\min }\right)
$$

and choose

$$
\varepsilon_{m}=\varepsilon_{\min }, \quad 2 \leq m \leq M+1 .
$$

Then

$$
e_{m} \leq C \sigma^{(M-m+2) 2 \theta} r_{m}^{1 / 2} g_{\min }^{r_{m}} \leq C \sigma^{2 M \theta}(\nu(M+1))^{1 / 2}\left(\sigma^{(2-m) 2 \theta} g_{\min }^{\nu m}\right)
$$

If

$$
v_{0}:=\max \left\{\frac{2 \theta \log \sigma}{\log \left(g_{\min }\right)}, 1\right\}
$$

then $g_{\min }^{v m} \leq \sigma^{2 m \theta}$ for $v \geq v_{0}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{m} \leq C \sigma^{2 M \theta}(\nu(M+1))^{1 / 2} \sigma^{4 \theta} \leq C \sigma^{2 M \theta}(\nu(M+1))^{1 / 2}, \quad 2 \leq m \leq M+1 \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.30) into (3.29) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{2 \leq m \leq M+1}\|u-\mathscr{I} u\|_{H^{1}\left(I_{m}\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & C \sum_{2 \leq m \leq M+1} \frac{1}{k_{m}} \sigma^{2 M \theta}(v(M+1))^{1 / 2} \leq C(v(M+1))^{1 / 2} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq M+1} \frac{\sigma^{2 M \theta}}{(1-\sigma) \sigma^{M-m+1}} \\
\leq & C(v(M+1))^{1 / 2} \sigma^{(2 \theta-1) M} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq M+1} \sigma^{(m-1)} \leq C(v(M+1))^{1 / 2} \sigma^{(2 \theta-1) M} . \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, taking into account standard approximation properties for analytic functions cf. [26], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{2 \leq \ell \leq L}\|u-\mathscr{I} u\|_{H^{1}\left(J_{\ell}\right)}^{2}=\|u-\mathscr{I} u\|_{H^{1}\left(T_{1}, T\right)}^{2} \leq C e^{-b r_{\ell}} \leq C e^{-b\lfloor\nu(M+1)\rfloor} . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, combining (3.27), (3.28), (3.31) and (3.32) gives

$$
\|u-U\|_{H^{1}(0, T)}^{2} \leq C\left(\sigma^{M(2 \theta-1)}+(\nu(M+1))^{1 / 2} \sigma^{(2 \theta-1) M}+e^{-b\lfloor\nu(M+1)\rfloor}\right) \leq C e^{-b M}
$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Since $D=\operatorname{dim}\left(S^{r-1,0}\left(\mathscr{T}_{M, \sigma}\right)\right) \leq C M^{2}$ for sufficiently large $M$, the estimate (3.26) follows.

## 4. Numerical Examples

In order to illustrate the performance of the $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG time-stepping method, we apply it to the VIDE (1.1) with

$$
p(t)=\frac{t}{1+t}, \quad q(t)=1, \quad K(t, s)=e^{s}
$$

and $T=1$. The right-hand side $f$ is chosen so that the corresponding equation (1.1) has the solution $u(t)=t^{3-\alpha} e^{-t}$ with $0 \leq \alpha<1$. The discrete $L^{\infty}$-errors are calculated as

$$
\|u-U\|_{L^{\infty}(I)} \approx \max _{1 \leq n \leq N, 0 \leq j \leq 20}\left\{\left|u\left(x_{j, n}\right)-U\left(x_{j, n}\right)\right|\right\}
$$

where $x_{j, n}=t_{n-1}+k_{n} j / 20$ for $0 \leq j \leq 20$.
We start with the situation, when the solution is smooth - i.e. we choose $\alpha=0$ and obtain analytic solution $u=t^{3} e^{-t}$ on [ $0, T$ ]. Fig. 1 shows the performance of the $h$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG time-stepping method with uniform step-size $k$ and fixed uniform approximation order $r$. The $H^{1}$-errors are plotted against the number of the degrees of freedom in a log-log scale for $r=1,2,3,4$. Note that the convergence of $H^{1}$ errors is $\mathscr{O}\left(k^{r}\right)$, consistent with the error estimate (3.24). Besides, Table 1 presents various numerical errors and experimental rates of convergence for different approximation orders $r$. Note that maxe $e\left(t_{n}\right):=\max _{1 \leq n \leq N}\left|(u-U)\left(t_{n}\right)\right|$ is the maximum nodal error and $\max e^{\prime}\left(t_{n}^{-}\right)=\max _{1 \leq n \leq N}\left|(u-U)^{\prime}\left(t_{n}^{-}\right)\right|$is the maximum nodal error of the derivative. Table 1 clearly shows the convergence orders

$$
\|u-U\|_{L^{2}(I)}=\mathscr{O}\left(k^{r+1}\right), \quad\|u-U\|_{H^{1}(I)}=\mathscr{O}\left(k^{r}\right), \quad\|u-U\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}=\mathscr{O}\left(k^{r+1}\right),
$$

and the superconvergence orders

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{1 \leq n \leq N}\left|(u-U)\left(t_{n}\right)\right|=\mathscr{O}\left(k^{2 r-1}\right), \\
& \max _{1 \leq n \leq N}\left|(u-U)^{\prime}\left(t_{n}^{-}\right)\right|=\mathscr{O}\left(k^{2 r-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1: $H^{1}$-errors of the $h$-version, $\alpha=0$.


Figure 2: $H^{1}$-errors of the $p$-version, $\alpha=0$.

Table 1: Numerical errors and convergence orders of the $h$-version, $\alpha=0$.

| $r$ | $k$ | $\\|e\\|_{L^{2}(I)}$ | order | $\\|e\\|_{H^{1}(I)}$ | order | $\\|e\\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}$ | order | $\max e\left(t_{n}\right)$ | order | $\max e^{\prime}\left(t_{n}^{-}\right)$ | order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1 / 64$ | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.04 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.04 | $8.13 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.04 | $8.13 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.04 | $8.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.04 |
|  | $1 / 128$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.02 | $5.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.02 | $4.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.02 | $4.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.02 | $3.99 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.02 |
|  | $1 / 256$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.01 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.01 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.01 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.01 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.01 |
| 2 | $1 / 32$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.05 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.03 | $4.19 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 2.96 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.96 | $3.98 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.99 |
|  | $1 / 64$ | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.02 | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.02 | $5.44 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.98 | $2.31 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 2.98 | $5.10 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 3.00 |
|  | $1 / 128$ | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 3.01 | $7.86 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 2.01 | $6.92 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 2.99 | $2.94 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 2.99 | $6.46 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 3.00 |
| 3 | $1 / 16$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 4.10 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.07 | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.99 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 5.15 | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 5.15 |
|  | $1 / 32$ | $6.38 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 4.05 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.04 | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 4.00 | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 5.09 | $5.46 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 5.09 |
|  | $1 / 64$ | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 4.03 | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.02 | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 4.00 | $9.97 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 5.05 | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 5.05 |
| 4 | $1 / 8$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.19 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.15 | $7.68 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.02 | $7.04 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 7.25 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 7.26 |
|  | $1 / 16$ | $7.82 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 5.10 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 4.08 | $2.57 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 5.01 | $5.54 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 7.15 | $9.36 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 7.15 |
|  | $1 / 32$ | $2.45 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 5.05 | $8.24 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 4.04 | $8.30 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 5.01 | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 7.02 | $7.77 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 6.99 |

for $r>1$. For $r=1$, the convergence rates of the errors in all norms are of order 1. A theoretical explanation of these observations (except the errors in $H^{1}$-norm) remains an open problem in our context.

We next test the performance of the $p$-version $C^{0}$-CPG time-stepping method on uniform partitions with fixed uniform step-size $k$. In Fig. 2, we increase the polynomial degree $r$ and plot the $H^{1}$-errors against the number of degrees of freedom in a linear-log scale. It can be seen that for any fixed time partition, an exponential rate of convergence is achieved, consistent with Remark 3.3. We also observe that in $p$-version, the global $H^{1}$-errors of $10^{-15}$ can be reached for at most 15 degrees of freedom. However, this is not the case for $h$-version, as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, for smooth solutions, it is more advantageous to use $p$-refinement rather than $h$-refinement.

Consider next the situation with $\alpha=0.5$, when the solution $u=t^{2.5} e^{-t}$ has a singularity at $t=0$. The third-order derivative of $u$ is unbounded near $t=0$ and $u \in H^{3-\varepsilon}(I)$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. Fig. 3 shows the performance of the $h$-version of the method on uniform partitions. The $H^{1}$-errors of the $h$-version method against the degrees of freedom are plotted in the $\log -\log$ scale. The optimal order $r+1$ is not obtained due to the loss of smoothness of $u$ at $t=0$. Fig. 4 shows that the $p$-version method also achieves the algebraic rates of convergence, although performing slightly better than the $h$-version method.

We now consider the performance of the $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG time-stepping method based on geometrically refined time steps and linearly increasing degree of polynomials. In Fig. 5, we plot the $H^{1}$-errors against the square root of the number of degrees of freedom for fixed slope $v=2.5$ and various grading factors $\sigma$. Each curve exhibits the exponential convergence rate, confirming Theorem 3.3. In Fig. 6, we plot the $H^{1}$-errors for $\sigma=0.1$ and various slopes $v$. Note that exponential rates of convergence are achieved for each $v$. However, the optimal choice of the parameters $\sigma$ and $v$ remains an open problem in our context.


Figure 3: $H^{1}$-errors of the $h$-version, $\alpha=0.5$.


Figure 5: $H^{1}$-errors of the $h p$-version with fixed $v$, $\alpha=0.5$.


Figure 4: $H^{1}$-errors of the $p$-version, $\alpha=0.5$.


Figure 6: $H^{1}$-errors of the $h p$-version with fixed $\sigma$, $\alpha=0.5$.

## 5. Concluding Remarks

In this work we study an $h p$-version of $C^{0}$-CPG time-stepping method for the secondorder VIDEs with weakly singular kernels. In contrast to the methods transforming secondorder problems into the first-order systems, here we combine the CG and DG methodologies thus obtaining a direct discretisation of the second-order derivative. We derive an a priori error estimate in the $H^{1}$-norm fully explicit with respect to the local discretisation and regularity parameters. For analytic solutions with start-up singularities, we prove that exponential rates of convergence can be attained by using geometrically refined time steps and linearly increasing approximation orders.
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