

# THE SPECTRAL METHOD FOR SYMMETRIC REGULARIZED WAVE EQUATIONS\*

GUO BO-LING (郭柏灵)

(Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing, China)

## § 1. Introduction

A symmetric version of the regularized long wave equations (SRLWE)

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \rho + \frac{u^2}{2} \right), \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

has been investigated in [1]. The system (1.1) of equations is shown to describe weakly nonlinear ion acoustic and space-change waves. The hyperbolic secant squared solitary waves, four invariants and the numerical results have been obtained in [1]. Obviously, eliminating  $\rho$  in (1.1), we get a class of RLWE

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \left( \frac{1}{2} u^2 \right)_{xt} - v_{xxtt} = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Replacing the derivative for  $t$  with the derivative for  $x$  in the third and the fourth terms of (1.2), we get the Boussinesq equation. In this note we consider the periodic initial value problem for generalized nonlinear wave equations (including (1.1))

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xxt} + \rho_x + f(u)_x = g(u, \rho, u_x), \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + u_x = h(\rho), \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

$$\begin{cases} u|_{t=0} = u_0(x), \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0(x), -\infty < x < \infty, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

$$\begin{cases} u(x-\pi, t) = u(x+\pi, t), \rho(x-\pi, t) = \rho(x+\pi, t), -\infty < x < \infty, t \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where  $u(x, t)$ ,  $\rho(x, t)$  are unknown real functions, and  $f(u)$ ,  $h(\rho)$  are known real functions. We propose the spectral method (continued and discrete) for the problem (1.3)–(1.6), establish the error estimates and convergence for the approximate solution, and prove the existence and uniqueness of the classical smooth solution for the system (1.3)–(1.6).

## § 2. Continued Spectral Method and Priori Estimates

First we introduce some spaces and notations. Let  $C^l(\Omega) = C^l([- \pi, \pi])$  denote the space of functions,  $l$  times continuously differentiable over the interval  $[- \pi, \pi]$ .  $L_p(\Omega)$  denotes the Lebesgue space of measurable functions  $u(x)$  with  $p$ -th power absolute value  $|u|$  integrable over the interval  $[- \pi, \pi]$  with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L_p} = \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

\* Received April 20, 1985.

If we define the inner product

$$(u, v) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(x)v(x)dx, \quad \|u\|_{L_2}^2 = (u, u),$$

then  $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$  is a Hilbert space.

Let  $L_\infty(\Omega)$  denote the Lebesgue space of measurable functions  $u(x)$  over the interval  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , which are essentially bounded, with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} |u(x)|.$$

Let  $H^l(\Omega)$  denote the space of the functions with generalized derivatives  $D^s u (|s| \leq l)$  with the norm  $\|u\|_l^2 = \sum_{|s| \leq l} \|D^s u\|_{L_2}^2$ .  $L^\infty(0, T; H^l)$  denotes the space of the functions  $u(x, t)$  which belong to  $H^l$  as a function of  $x$  for every fixed  $t (0 \leq t \leq T)$  and  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_l < \infty$ . Especially,

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L_2)} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u\|_{L_2}, \quad \text{or} \quad \|u\|_{L_2 \times L_2}.$$

Let  $V^l = \{u \in H^l(\Omega) \mid u^j(x - \pi) = u^j(x + \pi), 0 \leq j \leq l - 1\}$  be a periodic functional space, where  $u^j = \frac{d^j u}{dx^j}$ ,

$$\|u\|_V^2 = \|u\|_{L_2}^2 + \left\| \frac{du}{dx} \right\|_{L_2}^2, \quad V \in H^1, \quad H = L_2.$$

For the backward difference quotient of  $u(x, t)$  for  $t$ , we employ the following notation

$$u_t(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta t} [u(x, t) - u(x, t - \Delta t)].$$

Let  $F_k$  denote the projection from  $H$  to  $H_k = \operatorname{span}(v_{-k}, \dots, v_k)$ ,

$$F_k g = \sum_{j=-k}^k (g, v_j) v_j,$$

where  $v_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ijx}$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

Set  $R_k g = g - F_k g$ , when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $R_k g \rightarrow 0$ . From the Bessel inequality, we have

$$\|F_k g\|_{L_2} \leq \|g\|_{L_2}, \quad g \in H = L_2, \quad (2.1)$$

and Bernstein's estimate<sup>[3]</sup>.

Suppose that the periodic function  $g(x)$  is  $k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) times differentiable and the  $k$ -th derivative is bounded, i.e.,

$$|g^{(k)}(x)| \leq M_k. \quad (2.2)$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $A$ , such that

$$|R_n g| \leq A M_k \log n / n^k, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (2.3)$$

In this section, we consider the continued spectral method. We construct the approximate solutions of the problem (1.3)–(1.6) as follows

$$u_k(\cdot, t) = u_k(t) = \sum_{j=-k}^k \alpha_{jk}(t) v_j(x), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\rho_k(\cdot, t) = \rho_k(t) = \sum_{j=-k}^k \beta_{jk}(t) v_j(x).$$

The coefficient functions  $\alpha_{jk}(t)$ ,  $\beta_{jk}(t)$  should satisfy the equations

$$\begin{cases} (u_{kt} - u_{kxxt} + \rho_{kx} + f(u_k)_x, v_j(x)) = (g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{kx}), v_j(x)) \\ (\rho_{kt} + u_{kx}, v_j(x)) = (h(\rho_k), v_j(x)), \quad j = -k, \dots, k \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

$$(2.6)$$

with the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} u_k|_{t=0} = u_{0k}(x) = F_k u_0(x), \\ \rho_k|_{t=0} = \rho_{0k}(x) = F_k \rho_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

The problem (2.5)–(2.7) can be considered as an initial value problem of a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations of first order with unknown functions  $\alpha_{jk}(t)$ ,  $\beta_{jk}(t)$ . Because  $\{v_j(x)\}$  is linearly independent and  $v_j''(x) = -\lambda_j^2 v_j$ , ( $\lambda_j^2 = \frac{j^2}{2\pi}$ ), and due to the following prior estimates, we know that there exists a global solution in the interval  $[0, T]$  for the initial value problem (2.5)–(2.7).

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) the functions  $g(u, \rho, \eta)$ ,  $h'(\rho)$  are semi-bounded, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} (u, g(u, \rho, u_x)) &\leq C[(u, u) + (\rho, \rho) + (u_x, u_x)] \\ h'(\rho) &\leq C, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant,  $h(0) = 0$ .

(ii)  $u_0(x) \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\rho_0(x) \in L_2(\Omega)$ .

Then for the solution of the problem (2.5)–(2.7) we have the estimate

$$\|u_k(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1} + \|\rho_k(\cdot, t)\|_{L_2} \leq E_1, \quad (2.9)$$

where the constant  $E_1$  is independent of  $k$ .

*Proof.* Multiplying (2.5) by  $\alpha_{jk}(t)$ , and (2.6) by  $\beta_{jk}(t)$ , and summing for  $j$  from  $-k$  to  $k$ , we have

$$(u_{kt} - u_{kxxt} + \rho_{kx} + f(u_k)_x, u_k) = (g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{kx}), u_k), \quad (2.10)$$

$$(\rho_{kt} + u_{kx}, \rho_k) = (h(\rho_k), \rho_k). \quad (2.11)$$

Since

$$(\rho_{kt}, \rho_k) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\rho_k\|_{L_2}^2, \quad (u_{kt}, u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_k\|_{L_2}^2,$$

$$-(u_{kxxt}, u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2,$$

$$(f(u_k)_x, u_k) = -(f(u_k), u_{kx}) = (-F_x(u_k), 1) = 0,$$

$$(\rho_{kx}, u_k) = -(\rho_k, u_{kx}),$$

where  $F(u) = \int_0^u f(s)ds$ , adding (2.10) to (2.11), and from the hypotheses in the lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\rho_k\|_{L_2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_k\|_{L_2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2 \\ &= (g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{kx}), u_k) + (h'(\xi) \rho_k, \rho_k) \\ &\leq C[\|u_k\|_{L_2}^2 + \|\rho_k\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to (2.12), and by inequality (2.1) of initial values, we obtain (2.9).

**Lemma 2** (Sobolev's estimates). Suppose that  $u \in L_q(\Omega)$ ,  $D^m u \in L_r(\Omega)$ , where  $1 \leq q, r \leq \infty$ ,  $\Omega \subset R^n$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$ , such that

$$\|D^j u\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq C \|D^m u\|_{L_r(\Omega)}^a \|u\|_{L_q(\Omega)}^{1-a}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $0 \leq j \leq m$ ,  $j/m \leq a \leq 1$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{j}{n} + a \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{m}{n} \right) + (1-a) \frac{1}{q}.$$

*Proof.* See [4] or [5].

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied, and assume that

(i) for the bounded  $u$ ,

$$|g(u, \rho, u_k)| \leq C(|u_k|^3 + |\rho|^3), \quad (2.14)$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant.

(ii)  $f(u) \in C^1$ ,

(iii)  $u_0(x) \in H^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\rho_0(x) \in H^1(\Omega)$ .

Then for the solution of the problem (2.5)–(2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k(t)\|_{H^1 \times L_2}^2 + \|\rho_k(t)\|_{H^1 \times L_2}^2 &\leq E_2, \\ \|u_{kk}(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|\rho_{kk}(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 &\leq E_2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where the constant  $E_2$  is independent of  $k$ .

*Proof.* In view of  $v_j''(x) = -\lambda_j^2 v_j(x)$ , multiplying (2.5) by  $\alpha_k(t)$  and (2.6) by  $\beta_k(t)$ , and summing for  $j$  from  $-k$  to  $k$ , we obtain

$$(u_{kt} - u_{kxt} + \rho_{kt} + f(u_k)_x, -u_{kxx}) = (g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{kx}), -u_{kxx}), \quad (2.16)$$

$$(\rho_{kt} + u_{kx}, -\rho_{kxx}) = (h(\rho_k), -\rho_{kxx}). \quad (2.17)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (u_{kt}, -u_{kxx}) &= (u_{kxt}, u_{kx}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2, \\ (-u_{kxx}, -u_{kxx}) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_{kxx}\|_{L_2}^2, \\ |(f(u_k)_x, -u_{kxx})| &\leq \|f'(u_k)\|_{L_2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\|u_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_{kxx}\|_{L_2}^2) \\ &\leq C (\|u_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_{kxx}\|_{L_2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where Sobolev's inequality has been used,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_2} &\leq C (\|u_x\|_{L_2} + \|u\|_{L_2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ |(g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{kx}), -u_{kxx})| &\leq C (|u_{kx}|^3 + |\rho_k|^3, |u_{kxx}|) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2} (\|u_{kx}\|_{L_2}^6 + \|\rho_k\|_{L_2}^6 + 2 \|u_{kxx}\|_{L_2}^2) \\ &\leq C_1 [\|u_{kxx}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|\rho_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2 + 1]; \end{aligned}$$

here we have used Sobolev's estimate (Lemma 2)

$$\|u\|_{L_2} \leq C \|u_x\|_{L_2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since

$$(\rho_{kt}, -\rho_{kxx}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\rho_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2,$$

$$(u_{kt}, -\rho_{kxx}) = (u_{kxx}, \rho_{kx}) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|u_{kxx}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|\rho_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2),$$

$$(h(\rho_k), -\rho_{kx}) = (h'(\xi)\rho_{kx}, \rho_{kx}) \leq C \|\rho_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2,$$

from (2.16), (2.17) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\|\rho_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_{kxx}\|_{L_2}^2] \leq C_2 [\|\rho_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_{kx}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_{kxx}\|_{L_2}^2 + 1].$$

By Gronwall's inequality, the first inequality of (2.15) has been obtained. From Sobolev's inequality, we get the second inequality of (2.15) immediately.

**Lemma 4.** *If the conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied, then we have*

$$\|\rho_{kt}\|_{L_2 \times L_2} + \|u_{kt}\|_{L_2 \times L_2} + \|u_{kxt}\|_{L_2 \times L_2} \leq E_3, \quad (2.18)$$

where the constant  $E_3$  is independent of  $k$ .

**Lemma 5.** *If the conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied, then we have*

$$\|u_{kxxt}\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 \leq E_4, \quad (2.19)$$

where the constant  $E_4$  is independent of  $k$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied, and assume that  $f(u) \in C^2$ ,  $g(u, \rho, \eta) \in C^1$ . Then we have*

$$\|\rho_{ktt}\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|u_{ktt}\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|u_{kxtt}\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 \leq E_5, \quad (2.20)$$

where the constant  $E_5$  is independent of  $k$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *functions  $g(u, \rho, \eta)$ ,  $h(\rho)$  are semi-bounded, i.e.,*

$$(u, g(u, \rho, u_x)) \leq C[(u, u) + (\rho, \rho) + (u_x, u_x)], \\ h'(\rho) \leq C,$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant and  $h(0) = 0$ ;

(ii)  $f(u) \in C^2$ ,  $h(\rho) \in C^1$ ,  $g(u, \rho, \eta) \in C^1$ ;

(iii) *for every bounded  $u$ ,*

$$|g(u, \rho, u_x)| \leq C[|u_x|^3 + |\rho|^3], \quad C = \text{const.} > 0;$$

(iv)  $u_0(x) \in H^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\rho_0(x) \in H^1(\Omega)$ .

*Then there exists the global generalized solution  $u(x, t)$ ,  $\rho(x, t)$  of the problem (1.3)–(1.6),*

$$u(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2), \quad u_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2), \quad u_{tt}(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1),$$

$$\rho(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1), \quad \rho_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1), \quad \rho_{tt}(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; L_2).$$

*Proof.* By Lemmas 1–6, for the solutions  $\{u_k(x, t), \rho_k(x, t)\}$  of the problem (2.5)–(2.7), the estimate holds

$$\|u_k(t)\|_{H^2 \times L_2} + \|u_{kt}\|_{H^2 \times L_2} + \|u_{ktt}\|_{H^1 \times L_2} + \|\rho_k(t)\|_{H^1 \times L_2} \\ + \|\rho_{kt}(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2} + \|\rho_{ktt}\|_{L_2 \times L_2} \leq E_6,$$

where the constant  $E_6$  is independent of  $k$ . From the compactness principle, we can prove that the limiting functions  $u(x, t)$ ,  $\rho(x, t)$  of a subsequence  $\{u_\nu\}$ ,  $\{\rho_\nu\}$  of the approximate solution sequence  $\{u_k\}$ ,  $\{\rho_k\}$  are a global generalized solution of the problem (1.3)–(1.6), and  $\rho(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1)$ . Thus the theorem has been proved.

In order to get the classical solution of problem (1.3)–(1.6), we need to estimate  $\|u_{kxxt}\|_{L_2}$ ,  $\|u_{kxxtt}\|_{L_2}$  and  $\|\rho_{kxx}\|_{L_2}$ , which are uniformly bounded for  $k$ .

**Lemma 7.** Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, and assume that  $h(\rho) \in C^2$ ,  $u_0(x) \in H^3(\Omega)$ ,  $\rho_0(x) \in H^2(\Omega)$ . Then for the solution of problem (2.5) — (2.7) we have

$$\|u_{k\text{est}}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_{k\text{estat}}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|u_{k\text{exact}}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|\rho_{k\text{est}}\|_{L_2}^2 \leq E_6, \quad (2.21)$$

where the constant  $E_6$  is independent of  $k$ .

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 7 are satisfied. Then there exists a unique global classical solution for the problem (1.3) — (1.6).

In order to increase the smoothness of the global solution when the smoothness of the functions  $f(u)$ ,  $h(\rho)$ ,  $g(u, \rho, \eta)$  and the initial values have been raised, we have the following more generalized estimates and theorem.

**Lemma 8.** If the conditions of Lemma 7 are satisfied, and

$$(i) f(u) \in C^{m+1}(\Omega), g(u, \rho, \eta) \in C^m(\Omega), h(\rho) \in C^m(\Omega), m \geq 2,$$

$$(ii) u_0(x) \in H^{m+1}(\Omega), \rho_0(x) \in H^m(\Omega), m \geq 2.$$

Then for the solution of problem (2.5) — (2.7), there are estimates

$$\|D_x^{m+1}u_k\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|D_x^m\rho_k\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 \leq E_7, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\|D_t D_x^{m+1}u_k\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|D_t D_x^m \rho_k\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 \leq E_8, \quad (2.23)$$

where the constants  $E_7, E_8$  are independent of  $k$ .

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 and Lemma 8 are satisfied. Then there exists a unique smooth solution  $u(x, t)$ ,  $\rho(x, t)$  of problem (1.3) — (1.6)

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^{m+1}(\Omega)), \quad u_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{m+1}(\Omega)), \\ u_{tt}(x, t) &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^{m+1}(\Omega)), \\ \rho(x, t) &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^m(\Omega)), \quad \rho_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^m(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Furthermore, if  $h(\rho) \in C^{m+1}$ , then we have

$$\rho_{tt}(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^m(\Omega)). \quad (2.25)$$

### § 3. The Convergence of the Continued Spectral Method

In this section, we shall make the error estimation, and prove the convergence of the approximate solution  $\{u_k(x, t)\}$ ,  $\{\rho_k(x, t)\}$  of problem (1.3) — (1.6).

Suppose that the global smooth solution of problem (1.3) — (1.6) exists. Let  $u - u_k = U$ ,  $\rho - \rho_k = V$ , where  $u(x, t)$ ,  $\rho(x, t)$  is a smooth solution of problem (1.3) — (1.6), and  $u_k(x, t)$ ,  $\rho_k(x, t)$  is the solution of problem (2.5) — (2.7), i.e. the approximate solution of problem (1.3) — (1.6). From (1.3) — (1.6) and (2.5) — (2.7), it follows that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (U_t - U_{est} + V_s + f(u)_s - f(u_k)_s - (g(u, \rho, u_s) - g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{ks})), v_j(x)) = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (V_t + U_s - (h(\rho) - h(\rho_k)), v_j(x)) = 0, \quad j = -k, \dots, k, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.2)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} U|_{t=0} = u_0(x) - F_k u_0(x) = R_k u_0(x), \\ V|_{t=0} = \rho_0(x) - F_k \rho_0(x) = R_k \rho_0(x). \end{array} \right. \quad (3.3)$$

Set  $v = F_k u - u_k = u - R_k u - u_k = U - R_k u = \sum_{j=-k}^k \alpha_j v_j(x)$ . Then from (3.1) we have

$$(U_t - U_{est} + V_s + f(u)_s - f(u_k)_s - (g(u, \rho, u_s) - g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{ks})), U - R_k u) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Since

$$(U_t, U) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|U\|_{L^2}^2, \quad -(U_{ext}, U) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|U_x\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$|(V_x, U)| = |(V, U_x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|V\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_x\|_{L^2}^2),$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(f(u)_x - f(u_k)_x, U)| &= |(f(u) - f(u_k), U_x)| \\ &\leq \|f'(\xi)\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\|U\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_x\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C (\|U\|^2 + \|U_x\|_{L^2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |g(u, \rho, u_x) - g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{kx})| &\leq |g(u, \rho, u_x) - g(u_k, \rho, u_x)| + |g(u_k, \rho, u_x) - g(u_k, \rho_k, u_x)| \\ &\quad + |g(u_k, \rho_k, u_x) - g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{kx})|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(g(u, \rho, u_x) - g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{kx}), U)| &\leq \|g_u\|_{L^\infty} \|U\|_{L^2}^2 + \|g_\rho\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\|U\|_{L^2}^2 + \|V\|_{L^2}^2) + \|g_\eta\|_{L^\infty} \frac{1}{2} (\|U\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_x\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C [\|U\|_{L^2}^2 + \|V\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_x\|_{L^2}^2], \end{aligned}$$

$$(U_t, -R_k u) = \frac{d}{dt} (U, -R_k u) - (U, -R_k u_t),$$

$$(-U_{ext}, -R_k u) = -(U_{ext}, R_k u_x) = -\frac{d}{dx} (U_x, R_k u_x) + (U_x, R_k u_{tx}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(f(u)_x - f(u_k)_x, -R_k u)| &= |(f(u) - f(u_k), R_k u_x)| \\ &\leq \|f'(\xi)\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\|U\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_k u_x\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C (\|U\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_k u_x\|_{L^2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$|(g(u, \rho, u_x) - g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{kx}) - R_k u)|$$

$$\leq C [\|U\|_{L^2}^2 + \|V\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_x\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_k u\|_{L^2}^2],$$

from (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|U\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_x\|_{L^2}^2) &\leq 2 \frac{d}{dt} (U, R_k u) - 2(U, R_k u_t) \\ &\quad + 2 \frac{d}{dt} (U_x, R_k u_x) - 2(U_x, R_k u_{tx}) \\ &\quad + C [\|U\|_{L^2}^2 + \|V\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_x\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_k u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_k u_x\|_{L^2}^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality with respect to  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_x(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|U(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_x(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(U(t), R_k u(t)) \\ &\quad - 2(U(0), R_k u(0)) + 2(U_x(t), R_k u_x(t)) - 2(U(0), R_k u_x(0)) \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t [(U_x, R_k u_{tx}) + (U, R_k u_{tx})] dt + 2C \int_0^t [\|U(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|V(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|U_x(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_k u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_k u_x(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2] d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Since

$$2(U(t), R_k u(t)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|U(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 3 \|R_k u(t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$2(U_x(t), R_k u_x(t)) \leq \frac{1}{3} \|U_x(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 3 \|R_k u_x(t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

from (3.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(t)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|U_x(t)\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq C_1 R(t) + C_2 \int_0^t [\|U(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|V(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|U_x(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|R_k u(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k u_t(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k u_{xt}(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|R_k \rho_t(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2] d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $R(t) = \|R_k u_0(x)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k u_{0x}(x)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k u(t)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k u_x(t)\|_{L_2}^2$ .

Let  $v = F_k \rho - \rho_k = \rho - R_k \rho - \rho_k = V - R_k \rho = \sum_j \beta_j v_j$ . From (3.2) it follows that

$$(V_t + U_x - (h(\rho) - h(\rho_k)), V - R_k \rho) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (V_t, V) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|V\|_{L_2}^2, \\ |(U_x, V)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|U_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|V\|_{L_2}^2), \\ |(h(\rho) - h(\rho_k), V)| &\leq \|h'(\xi)\|_{L_\infty} \|V\|_{L_2}^2, \\ (V_t, -R_k \rho) &= \frac{d}{dt} (V, -R_k \rho) - (V, R_k \rho_t), \\ |(U_x, -R_k \rho)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|U_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k \rho\|_{L_2}^2), \\ |(h(\rho) - h(\rho_k), -R_k \rho)| &\leq \|h'(\xi)\|_{L_\infty} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\|V\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k \rho\|_{L_2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

from (3.7) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|V\|_{L_2}^2 \leq 2 \frac{d}{dt} (V, R_k \rho) - 2 (V, R_k \rho_t) + C [\|V\|_{L_2}^2 + \|U_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k \rho\|_{L_2}^2]. \quad (3.8)$$

Integrating (3.8) with respect to  $t$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(t)\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq \|V(0)\|_{L_2}^2 + 2(V(t), R_k \rho) - 2(V(0), R_k \rho(0)) \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t (V, R_k \rho_t) dt + C \int_0^t [\|V\|_{L_2}^2 + \|U_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k \rho\|_{L_2}^2] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Noticing

$$\begin{aligned} |(2V(t), R_k \rho)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|V(t)\|_{L_2}^2 + 2 \|R_k \rho\|_{L_2}^2, \\ \int_0^t (V, R_k \rho_t) dt &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (\|V\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k \rho_t\|_{L_2}^2) dt, \end{aligned}$$

from (3.9) we get

$$\|V(t)\|_{L_2}^2 \leq C_3 S(t) + C_4 \int_0^t [\|V\|_{L_2}^2 + \|U_x\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k \rho\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k \rho_t\|_{L_2}^2] dt. \quad (3.10)$$

Here

$$S(t) = 2 \|R_k \rho_0(x)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k \rho(t)\|_{L_2}^2.$$

From (3.6) and (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|U(t)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|U_x(t)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|V(t)\|_{L_2}^2 \\ &\leq C_5 (R(t) + S(t)) + C_6 \int_0^t [\|U(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|U_x(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|V(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|R_k u(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k u_t(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k u_{xt}(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k \rho(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|R_k \rho_t(\tau)\|_{L_2}^2] d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Now suppose that the initial function  $u_0(x)$  has  $l+1$  order bounded derivatives,  $\rho_0(x)$  has  $l$  order bounded derivatives, and the smooth solution  $u(x, t)$ ,  $\rho(x, t)$  of problem (1.3)–(1.6) and all their derivatives  $u_x$ ,  $u_{xt}$ ,  $\rho_t$  have  $l$  order bounded derivatives. According to Bernstein's estimate (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |R(t) + S(t)| &\leq C_l \frac{(\log k)^2}{k^{2l}}, \quad l \geq 2, \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\|R_k u\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k u_{xt}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k u_t\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k u_{xx}\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k \rho\|_{L_2}^2 + \|R_k \rho_t\|_{L_2}^2] \\ &\leq C_l \left( \frac{\log k}{k^l} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (3.11) and Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$\|U(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|U_x(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|V(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 = O\left(\left(\frac{\log k}{k^l}\right)^2\right).$$

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that the periodic initial function  $u_0(x)$  has  $l+1$  order bounded derivatives ( $l \geq 2$ ), and  $\rho_0(x)$  has  $l$  order bounded derivatives. Assume that the smooth solution  $u(x, t)$ ,  $\rho(x, t)$  and their derivatives  $u_x(x, t)$ ,  $u_{xt}(x, t)$ ,  $\rho_t(x, t)$  have  $l$  order bounded derivatives with respect to  $x$ . Then we have the error estimate

$$\|U(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|U_x(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|V(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 = O\left(\left(\frac{\log k}{k^l}\right)^2\right),$$

where  $U(x, t) = u(x, t) - u_k(x, t)$ ,  $V(x, t) = \rho(x, t) - \rho_k(x, t)$ ,  $\{u_k(x, t), \rho_k(x, t)\}$  is the solution of problem (2.5)–(2.7).

#### § 4. The Convergence of Approximate Solution of the Discrete Spectral Method

Now we consider the case where the difference quotient for  $t$  replaces the derivative for  $t$  in the above continued spectral method, and divide the domain  $Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T]$  by lines  $t = m\Delta t$ , where  $m \in (0, \left[\frac{T}{\Delta t}\right])$ .

We consider the following system of equations with periodic initial conditions

$$(u_{kt} - u_{kxxt} + \rho_{kx} + f(u_k)_x, v_j(x)) = (g(u_k, \rho_k, u_{kx}), v_j(x)), \quad (4.1)$$

$$(\rho_{kt} + u_{kx}, v_j(x)) = (h(\rho_k), v_j(x)), \quad j = -k, \dots, k, \quad (4.2)$$

$$u_k|_{t=0} = u_{0k}(x) = F_k u_0(x), \quad \rho_k|_{t=0} = \rho_{0k}(x) = F_k \rho_0(x), \quad (4.3)$$

$$u_k(x - \pi, t) = u_k(x + \pi, t), \quad \rho_k(x - \pi, t) = \rho_k(x + \pi, t), \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$u_{kt} = \frac{u_k(x, t) - u_k(x, t - \Delta t)}{\Delta t}, \quad \rho_{kt} = \frac{\rho_k(x, t) - \rho_k(x, t - \Delta t)}{\Delta t},$$

$$u_k(\cdot, t) = u_k(t) = \sum_{j=-k}^k \alpha_{jk}(t) v_j(x), \quad \rho_k(\cdot, t) = \rho_k(t) = \sum_{j=-k}^k \beta_{jk}(t) v_j(x).$$

It is easy to see that the nonlinear algebraic equations (4.1)–(4.4) are solvable by using Schauder's fixed point argument. Now we make uniform estimations for the solution of problem (4.1)–(4.4).

**Lemma 9.** If the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied, then for the solution of problem (4.1)–(4.3), we have

$$\|u_k(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1 \times L_2} + \|\rho_k(\cdot, t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2} \leq E_9, \quad (4.5)$$

where the constant  $E_9$  is independent of  $k$ .

**Lemma 10.** If the conditions of Lemma 7 are satisfied, then for the solution of problem (4.1)–(4.3), there is the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_{k\text{exact}}\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|u_{k\text{exact}\bar{t}}\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|u_{k\text{exact}\bar{t}\bar{t}}\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|u_{k\bar{t}}\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|\rho_{k\bar{t}}\|_{H^1 \times L_2}^2 \\ & + \|\rho_{k\bar{t}\bar{t}}\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|u_{k\bar{t}\bar{t}}\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|\rho_k\|_{H^1 \times L_2}^2 \leq E_{10}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $E_{10}$  is independent of  $k$ .

**Theorem 5.** If the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied, then there is the error estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|U_\sigma(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 + \|V(t)\|_{L_2 \times L_2}^2 \\ & = O\left((\Delta t)^2 + \left(\frac{\log k}{k^l}\right)^2\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where  $U(x, t) = u(x, t) - u_k(x, t)$ ,  $V(x, t) = \rho(x, t) - \rho_k(x, t)$ ,  $\{u(x, t), \rho(x, t)\}$  is a smooth solution of problem (1.3)–(1.6), and  $\{u_k(x, t), \rho_k(x, t)\}$  is the solution of problem (4.1)–(4.3), which is the approximate solution by using the discrete spectral method.

### References

- [1] C. E. Seyler, D. C. Fannister, *Phys. Fluids*, **27** (1), 1984, 4–7.
- [2] Г. М. Фихтенгольд (余家荣译), 微积分教程, 第三卷, 第三分册.
- [3] K. Schamel, Elsasser, *J. Comp. Phys.*, **22** (1976), 501–510.
- [4] A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations, Holt, New York, 1960.
- [5] Jean-Bernard Baillon, Thierry Cazenave and Mario Figueira, *comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris*, t. 284, 1977.
- [6] M. Berger, Nonlinearity and Functional Analysis, Lecture on Nonlinear Analysis in Math. Analysis, 1977.
- [7] Чжоу Юй-линь, *Mat. сбор.*, т. 47 (89), №. 4, 1959, 431–484.
- [8] A. Menifoff, *Com. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. 25, 1972, 407–432.