

# On Some Properties of the Curl Operator and Their Consequences for the Navier-Stokes System

Nicolas Lerner<sup>1</sup> and François Vigneron<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sorbonne Université, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, UMR 7586, Campus Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France.

<sup>2</sup> Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Reims, UMR 9008, Moulin de la Housse, BP 1039, 51687 Reims cedex 2, France.

Received 28 December 2021; Accepted 7 March 2022

In honor of our friend Professor Chaojiang Xu, on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

---

**Abstract.** We investigate some geometric properties of the curl operator, based on its diagonalization and its expression as a non-local symmetry of the pseudo-derivative  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$  among divergence-free vector fields with finite energy. In this context, we introduce the notion of spin-definite fields, i.e. eigenvectors of  $(-\Delta)^{-1/2}\text{curl}$ . The two spin-definite components of a general 3D incompressible flow untangle the right-handed motion from the left-handed one. Having observed that the non-linearity of Navier-Stokes has the structure of a cross-product and its weak (distributional) form is a determinant that involves the vorticity, the velocity and a test function, we revisit the conservation of energy and the balance of helicity in a geometrical fashion. We show that in the case of a finite-time blow-up, both spin-definite components of the flow will explode simultaneously and with equal rates, i.e. singularities in 3D are the result of a conflict of spin, which is impossible in the poorer geometry of 2D flows. We investigate the role of the local and non-local determinants

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \det(\text{curl}u, u, (-\Delta)^\theta u)$$

---

\*Corresponding author. *Email address:* francois.vigneron@univ-reims.fr (F. Vigneron)

and their spin-definite counterparts, which drive the enstrophy and, more generally, are responsible for the regularity of the flow and the emergence of singularities or quasi-singularities. As such, they are at the core of turbulence phenomena.

**AMS subject classifications:** 35Q30, 35B06, 76D05, 76F02

**Key words:** Navier-Stokes, vorticity, hydrodynamic spin, critical determinants, turbulence.

## 1 Introduction

The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes system for incompressible fluids is usually written as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu \Delta u = -\nabla p, & \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $u = u(t, x)$  is a time-dependent vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the viscosity  $\nu$  is a positive parameter (expressed in Stokes, i.e.  $L^2 T^{-1}$ ) and  $u_0$  is a given divergence-free vector field.

In 1934, Leray [58] proved the existence of global weak solutions in  $L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 \dot{H}_x^1$ . In 3D, the question of their uniqueness remains elusive and is intimately connected to deciding whether the weak solutions enjoy a higher regularity. Well-posedness in various function spaces has been studied thoroughly and culminates in Koch and Tataru's result [52] if the data  $u_0$  is small in the largest (i.e. less constraining) function space (called  $BMO^{-1}$ ) that is scale and translation invariant and on which the heat flow remains locally uniformly in  $L_{t,x}^2$ .

The set of singular times may or not be empty, but it is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , whose Hausdorff measure of dimension  $\frac{1}{2}$  is zero. The celebrated theorem of Caffarelli *et al.* [17] ensures that singularities form a subset of space-time whose parabolic Hausdorff measure of dimension 1 vanishes too (see also Arnold and Craig [2]).

Note that Eq. (1.1) corresponds to an Eulerian point of view, i.e. it describes the movement of the fluid in a fixed reference frame. The natural question of tracking individual fluid particles, i.e. the Lagrangian point of view, is equivalent to the existence of a flow  $\zeta: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = u(t, \zeta(t, x)), \quad \zeta(0, x) = x. \quad (1.2)$$