

# 3D Hyperbolic Navier-Stokes Equations in a Thin Strip: Global Well-Posedness and Hydrostatic Limit in Gevrey Space

Wei-Xi Li<sup>1,\*</sup> and Tong Yang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *School of Mathematics and Statistics & Hubei Key Laboratory of Computational Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China.*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, SAR, China.*

Received 28 March 2022; Accepted 13 April 2022

---

**Abstract.** We consider a hyperbolic version of three-dimensional anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations in a thin strip and its hydrostatic limit that is a hyperbolic Prandtl type equations. We prove the global-in-time existence and uniqueness for the two systems and the hydrostatic limit when the initial data belong to the Gevrey function space with index 2. The proof is based on a direct energy method by observing the damping effect in the systems.

**AMS subject classifications:** 35Q30, 76D03, 76D10

**Key words:** 3D hydrostatic Navier-Stokes equations, global well-posedness, Gevrey class, hydrostatic limit.

---

## 1 Introduction and the main result

There have been extensive studies on the well-posedness of the Prandtl type equations, while most of them are concerned with the local-in-time existence and uniqueness. Compared with the local theory, the global in time property is far from being well investigated. Here, we mention Xin-Zhang's work [51] on global

---

\*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* wei-xi.li@whu.edu.cn (W.-X. Li), matyang@cityu.edu.hk (T. Yang)

weak solutions and some recent papers [1, 23, 36, 41–43, 50] on global analytic or Gevrey solutions. Note the above results are obtained mainly in the two-dimensional setting so that the global well-posedness of the three-dimensional case remains open.

In this paper, we aim to establish global well-posedness theories for some Prandtl type equations in the three-dimensional (3D) setting. Precisely, we will investigate the global-in-time existence and uniqueness of the hyperbolic version of 3D anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations and 3D hydrostatic Navier-Stokes equations. The proof relies on an observation that the vertical diffusion leads to a damping effect and the argument is a direct energy method. Note that this argument does not apply to the classical Prandtl equation because of the lack of Poincaré inequality in the half-space.

The system of hydrostatic Navier-Stokes equations plays an important role in the atmospheric and oceanic sciences and it describes the large scale motion of geophysical flow as a limit of Navier-Stokes equations in a thin domain where the vertical scale is significantly smaller than the horizontal one. By a proper rescaling (cf. [14, 43, 46] for instance and references therein), the 3D anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations in a thin domain read

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + u^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_x + v^\varepsilon \partial_y - \varepsilon^2 \Delta_x - \partial_y^2) u^\varepsilon + \partial_x p^\varepsilon = 0, & (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times ]0, 1[, \\ \varepsilon^2 (\partial_t + u^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_x + v^\varepsilon \partial_y - \varepsilon^2 \Delta_x - \partial_y^2) v^\varepsilon + \partial_y p^\varepsilon = 0, & (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times ]0, 1[, \\ \partial_x \cdot u^\varepsilon + \partial_y v^\varepsilon = 0, & (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times ]0, 1[, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon$  stand for tangential and normal components of the velocity field respectively, and the viscosity coefficient is denoted by  $\varepsilon^2$ . In this paper, the above system is considered with the following no-slip Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$u^\varepsilon|_{y=0,1} = 0, \quad v^\varepsilon|_{y=0,1} = 0.$$

By letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the first order approximation yields the following hydrostatic Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + u \cdot \partial_x + v \partial_y - \partial_y^2) u + \partial_x p = 0, & (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times ]0, 1[, \\ \partial_y p = 0, & (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times ]0, 1[, \\ \partial_x \cdot u + \partial_y v = 0, & (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times ]0, 1[, \\ u|_{y=0,1} = 0, \quad v|_{y=0,1} = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0^H, & (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times ]0, 1[. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Here,  $v$  is a scalar function and  $u = (u_1, u_2)$  is vector-valued, standing for the normal and the tangential velocity fields respectively. Compared with the Navier-Stokes equations, there is no time evolution equation for the normal velocity  $v$