NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF NONSTATIONARY THERMISTOR PROBLEM*1)

Yue Xing-ye (Suzhou University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China)

Abstract

The thermistor problem is a coupled system of nonlinear PDEs which consists of the heat equation with the Joule heating as a source, and the current conservation equation with temperature dependent electrical conductivity. In this paper we make a numerical analysis of the nonsteady thermistor problem. $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ stability and error bounds for a piecewise linear finite element approximation are given.

1. A Mathematical Model and a Discrete Scheme

The model of a nonstationary thermistor problem is derived from the conservation laws of current and energy (see [1] [2] [3]):

Find a pair $\{\varphi, u\}$ such that

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma(u)\nabla \varphi) = 0$$
 in $Q_T = \Omega \times (0,T),$ (1.1)

$$\varphi = \varphi_{\partial}$$
 on $\partial \Omega \times (0, T)$, (1.2)

$$u_t - \Delta u = \sigma(u) |\nabla \varphi|^2 \quad \text{in} \quad Q_T,$$
 (1.3)

$$u = 0$$
 on $\partial \Omega \times (0, T)$, (1.5)

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \qquad \text{in} \quad \Omega \tag{1.5}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N (N \geq 1)$ is a bounded domain, occupied by the thermistor; $\varphi = \varphi(x,t)$, u = u(x,t) are distributions of the electrical potential and the temperature in Ω , respectively; $\sigma(u)$ is the temperature dependent electrical conductivity; $\sigma(u) \mid \nabla \varphi \mid^2$ is the Joule heating. Throughout this paper, we assume that $0 < \sigma_1 \leq \sigma(s) \leq \sigma_2 < +\infty \ \forall s, \in \mathbf{R}^1$.

There has been interest in the problem mathematically (see [1] [2] [3]) and references therein recent mathematical. Yuan [3] proved the following result.

^{*} Received February 24, 1993.

¹⁾ The Project Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Theorem 1. If $\varphi_{\partial} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}))$, $u_0 \in C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\sigma(s) \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^1)$, then problem (1.1)-(1.5) has a uniqe solution (φ, u) satisfying

$$u \in C^{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}}(\bar{Q}_T), \varphi \in L^{\infty}(0, T; C^{1+\beta}(\bar{\Omega}))$$

and

$$\|u\|_{C^{\beta,\frac{\beta}{2}}(\bar{Q}_T)} \leq C, \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;C^{1+\beta}(\bar{\Omega}))} \leq C$$

where $\beta \in (0, \alpha)$, and C depends only on the given data.

As a corollary, we have

Theorem 2. Under the conditions of Theorem 1 and $\sigma(s) \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^1)$, $\varphi_{\partial} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;H^2(\Omega))$,

(1) If $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, then

$$u \in W_P^{2,1}(Q_T), \quad \forall \ 2 \le P < +\infty; \quad \varphi \in L^{\infty}(0,T;H^2(\Omega))$$
 (1.6)

(2) If $u_0 \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, then

$$u_t \in W_P^{1,0}(Q_T), \ \forall 2 \le P < +\infty; \quad u \in L^{\infty}(0,T;H^2(\Omega))$$
 (1.7)

Proof. (1) From Theorem 1, $\sigma(u) \mid \nabla \varphi \mid^2 \in L^{\infty}(Q_T)$. By the standard parabolic estimate^[7], (1.3) gives

$$u \in W_P^{2,1}(Q_T), \quad \forall 2 \le P < +\infty.$$

Furthermore, by the Corollary in [7]

$$\exists \gamma \in (0,1), \quad u_{x_i} \in C^{\gamma}(\bar{Q}_T), \quad i=1,2,\cdots,N$$

Therefore, $\sigma(u) \in C^0(0,T;C^1(\bar{\Omega}))$.

By the standard elliptic estimate, from (1.1) we get

$$\varphi \in L^{\infty}(0,T;H^2(\Omega))$$

(2) From (1.3), we gain

$$u_{x_i,t} - \triangle u_{x_i} = \sigma'(u)u_{x_i} \mid \nabla \varphi \mid^2 + 2\sigma(u)\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \varphi_i \in L^{\infty}(Q_T).$$

It follows that

$$u_{x_i} \in W_P^{2,1}(Q_T), \quad \forall \ 2 \le P < +\infty.$$
 (1.8)

Hence, $u_t \in W_P^{1,0}(Q_T)$, $\forall 2 \leq P < +\infty$.

On the other hand, by the embedding theory, we again have

$$\exists \gamma' \in (0,1), u_{x_i x_j} \in C^{\gamma'}(\bar{Q}_T), i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Now the theorem is proved.

Problem (1.1)–(1.5) has a weak form as follows, Find $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $\varphi \in \varphi_{\partial} + H_0^1(\Omega)$, such that

$$(\sigma(u)\nabla\varphi,\nabla\psi)=0,\quad t\in(0,T),\quad \forall\psi\in H^1_0(\Omega),$$
 (1.9)

$$(u_t, v) + (\nabla u, \nabla v) = (\sigma(u) | \nabla \varphi|^2, v) \quad t \in (0, T), \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$
 (1.10)

$$u(x,0)=u_0(x), \quad x\in\Omega,$$
 (1.11)